STATE OF ILLINOIS PIATT COUNTY ZONING BOARD

GOOSE CREEK WIND, LLC APPLICATION FOR A SPECIAL USE PERMIT

11/29/2022, 2022 6:01 P.M. - 9:00 P.M. Held at Monticello, IL, Community Building

PIATT COUNTY ZONING OFFICER: Jennifer Harper

TEMPORARY HEARING FACILITATOR: Andy Keyt, Esq.

PIATT COUNTY ZONING BOARD MEMBERS:
Loyd Wax - Chairman
Jim Harrington - Vice Chairman
Kyle Lovin
William Chambers

PIATT COUNTY BOARD MEMBERS: Jerry Edwards Ms. Jones

COUNSEL FOR THE PIATT COUNTY BOARD: Andrew J. Keyt, Esq.

COUNSEL FOR THE APPLICANT:
Mark A. Gershon, Esq.
Adam C. Rayford, Esq.

APPLICANT - APEX CLEAN ENERGY:
Alan Moore, Senior Project Manager

COURT REPORTER: Tammy Greenfield, CSR

INDEX OF PROCEEDINGS

WITNESSES FOR

THE APPLICANT: Direct Cross Redirect Recross

Jennie Geiger T. Travis Brown

APPLICANT'S

EXHIBIT NO.	Description	<u>Offered</u>	<u>Admitted</u>
Exhibit 14	Geiger PP	5	
Exhibit 15	Wind Energy Interactions With Wildlife and their	31	
	Habitats	57	
Exhibit 16	West PP	67	
Exhibit 17	Preliminary site plan	112	

1	CHAIRMAN WAX: Call the meeting to order,		
2	please. First order of business, would you join me in the		
3	pledge of allegiance.		
4	(WHEREUPON, the Pledge of		
5	Allegiance was recited.)		
6	CHAIRMAN WAX: Thank you, and welcome to		
7	the meeting.		
8	Could we have the roll call, Ms. Harper.		
9	MS. HARPER: Mr. Larson, Mr. Harrington.		
10	MR. HARRINGTON: Here.		
11	MS. HARPER: Mr. Lovin.		
12	MR. LOVIN: Here.		
13	MS. HARPER: Mr. Wax.		
14	CHAIRMAN WAX: Here.		
15	MS. HARPER: Mr. Chambers.		
16	MR. CHAMBERS: Here.		
17	MS. HARPER: Mr. Foran, State's Attorney		
18	Perry, Mr. Spencer.		
19	MR. SPENCER: Here.		
20	MS. HARPER: Mr. Carol, Mr. Edwards.		
21	MR. EDWARDS: Here.		
22	MS. HARPER: Ms. Jones.		
23	MS. JONES: Here.		
24	MS. HARPER: Mr. Henricks, Mr. Shumard.		

CHAIRMAN WAX: Thank you. Looks like we have a quorum to proceed.

MR. KEYT: Okay. So welcome to tonight's Zoning Board of Appeals hearing on the Goose Creek Wind Farm application. As some of you have been regularly attending can tell, I am not Scott Kains, the regular hearing officer. He is ill today and sent me a message that he wasn't gonna be able to make it and apparently is very under the weather, so I have agreed to serve in his absence if the zoning board so chooses.

As a matter of course, someone from the zoning board would have to make a motion to appoint me to serve as the temporary hearing officer in Mr. Kain's absence. I'm happy to do that and still be able to do the stuff I'm regularly doing for the zoning board as well if someone so chooses to appoint me to that.

MR. HARRINGTON: Make a motion to ask that Andy Keyt serve as interim moderator tonight.

CHAIRMAN WAX: Thank you. Is there a second.

MR. LOVIN: I'll second.

MR. CHAMBERS: I'll second.

CHAIRMAN WAX: Okay. Two seconds, I

believe.

Okay. Any discussion? If not, could we have a 1 2 roll call, please. 3 MS. HARPER: Mr. Harrington. 4 MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. 5 MS. HARPER: Mr. Lovin. MR. LOVIN: 6 Yes. 7 MS. HARPER: Mr. Wax. 8 CHAIRMAN WAX: Yes. 9 MS. HARPER: Mr. Chambers. MR. CHAMBERS: 10 Yes. 11 CHAIRMAN WAX: Okay. It's been decided. 12 Thank you. 13 MR. KEYT: Well, unfortunately, that 14 passed so we left off last time we were getting ready for, 15 I think, environmental witnesses on behalf of the applicant 16 and we had finished off with property or economic impact 17 analysis. 18 So, Mr. Gershon, you can call your next witness, 19 please. 20 MR. GERSHON: Thank you. And welcome 21 back, everyone. I hope everyone had a great Thanksgiving. 22 I'd actually like to start by submitting into the 23 record Petitioner's Exhibit 14, which is the PowerPoint for 24 tonight's initial environmental presentation. I believe

the zoning board -- that Andy Keyt has copies for the entire zoning board, which he's passing out now. And with that, we're going to introduce our first witness, Jennie Geiger, who is Apex's director of environmental. And I'll do Andy a favor since he's not doing this one every night to ask that the reporter swear you in. 7 (WHEREUPON, the witness was sworn.) DIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. GERSHON: Would you please state your name and spell it for Ο. the record. 13 Yes, my name is Jennie Geiger. J-e-n-n-i-e. Last name G-e-i-g-e-r. Thank you. And could you -- oh, sorry about that. Q. Did you hear that okay? 17 THE REPORTER: I did. Α. Sorry. 19 Again, this would be Jennie, Jennie Geiger who's Q. given her name for the record.

20

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

18

- Would you give us your background and experience.
- 22 Α. Can I go so my slides?
- 23 Yes. Can we go to slide two? Q.
- 24 Yeah. Hi, my name's Jennie. I'm director of Α.

environmental for Apex Clean Energy. My background is that I have an undergrad degree in forestry and wildlife biology from the University of Georgia and I have a master's in environmental policy and administration from the Colorado State University.

I have spent over 22 years in the environmental field doing various types of work for commercial academics, nonprofits and commercial sectors. I've spent about ten years of that in the renewable energy sector primarily working on wind and solar projects. And I've been the environmental lead on seven wind and solar projects in Illinois, five of which are currently in operations.

I'm also a member of the Renewable Energy Wildlife
Institute Research committee which is a collaboration of
industry, nonprofits and academia that works to address
wildlife and renewable energy issues.

- Q. Thank you. If you would, would you please walk through the work you've done on this project and other information you'd like to provide?
- A. Yeah, sure. So Apex, in general -- one of our core values is sustainability. So all of our projects, we approach them with trying to minimize our impacts to the environment and wildlife to the greatest extent practicable.

Q. If I could pause for one second, I'm sure Andy was just about to do this, but we would like to ask that the zoning board accept Jennie as an expert.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Is there any objection? Okay. Hearing none, so accepted.

MR. GERSHON: Thank you.

A. So the slide up here is just kind of an overall roadmap of how we address, or approach, all of our projects. And so in order to minimize our potential impacts we start really early in the process doing site assessments and looking at where we're considering putting our project, making sure that there's nothing that exists there that is a concern or we're gonna have a negative impact on.

Then we work with agencies, various agencies depending on which state we're in, but in Illinois it's gonna be the Illinois Department of Natural History -- or, sorry -- Natural Resources, and the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service. And we coordinate with them on our project where we're siting any concerns that they might have and then we take the information that they give us and we create a study plan and we go out on site and we do site-specific studies for the project to understand how wildlife (inaudible), what kind of habitats are in the area. And

then that information from the studies is used to design —
to inform siting and design project facilities and make
sure that we're a minimizing our impacts. Once a project
is constructed, then on the back end of it during
operations, we monitor and make sure that our low risk
conclusions are appropriate and then we'll respond as
needed.

And so the overall process that's we approach all projects, including Goose Creek, is to avoid impacts. If we can't fully avoid, we minimize. And if we can't fully minimize, we'll mitigate as appropriate.

Next slide. This first slide here is Fish & Wildlife Service and talking about kind of how we approach Fish & Wildlife Service. So as an industry we are required to be in compliance with federal and state laws. For U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, that includes the Endangered Species Act, the Bald and Golden Eagle Protection Act, and the Migratory Bird Treaty Act. And, again, like I kind of mentioned on the last slide, what we do to make sure we're in compliance with those various regulations is that we do an initial site assessments using publically-available data to assess sites to make sure that they're appropriate for the projects that we're considering. And then we figure out which potential species of concern might occur in the

area. And a lot of what we're doing for Fish & Wildlife
Service specifically is following two sets of guidance that
they put out, one being the land-based wind energy
guidelines and the second one being the eagle conservation
plan guidance.

Once we do this initial site assessment we go and we meet with agencies. So for Fish & Wildlife Service for Goose Creek Wind, we initiated consultation in early 2019 and we talked to them about how we've assessed the site and our initial opinions or interpretation of what potential concerns there might be. And that information, they take it and they take into consideration and give us feedback if they agree. And then they also -- we use that to inform what appropriate studies will be for on the ground.

For Goose Creek Wind, that has included two years of avian and eagle use surveys, two years of raptor and eagle nest surveys, as well as habitat assessments for federally-listed bats, which includes the Indiana and northern long-eared bat, as well as the eastern massasauga snake. And all of these surveys are complete for the project.

Once the surveys are completed -- and actually after year one of surveys as well -- we go and meet again with Fish & Wildlife Service to discuss the results of the

surveys, make sure that they are in agreeance with our next steps and make sure we don't need to do any additional surveys, and then we use that information to inform avoidance and minimization measures for the project. For Goose Creek, that includes siting turbines a minimum of a thousand feet from a suitable bat habitat. It also means clearing any trees, if necessary, during winter when bats are not going to be present on the landscape. It also means curtailment of turbines during the fall migration season for bats, which is considered the higher risky season by Fish & Wildlife Service. We also -- all of our turbines are sited a minimum of 2.4 miles from any bald eagle nests.

Next slide.

Another agency that we coordinate closely with is the Illinois Department of Natural Resources. We are, again, required to be in compliance with state regulations which include the Illinois Endangered Species Protection Act and the Illinois Natural Areas Preservation Act. We, again, coordinate very early with IDNR, and that was in mid-2019, again, to discuss our initial site assessment and to discuss with them what they think appropriate studies are going to be based on their concerns for state-listed species.

The initial, kind of, review is called the EcoCAT in Illinois and so that gives initial information to us about what species might occur as well as any state-protected lands that might be in the area that we need to consider for setbacks.

For surveys for Goose Creek Wind, that has included habitat assessments for three different species, one being Sangamon phlox, which is a state-listed plant, Kirtland snake, which is a state-listed snake, and Upland Sandpiper, which is a state-listed bird.

We have also received formal consultation letters from IDNR through the EcoCAT system which is required by law. We received to two date, and they have been provided to Piatt County and they are part of the application in Appendix F. All surveys that we've done similarly to U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service we go and we meet with IDNR again to discuss survey results, make sure that they're in agreement with our next steps, and then we discuss and agree upon avoidance and minimization measures.

- Q. And if I could, just to clarify for the record, you said that was Appendix --
 - A. F.

Q. That's actually Exhibit 1, Appendix F6, just for the record.

A. Agreed-upon avoidance and minimization measures based on surveys for the project with IDNR include avoidance of suitable habitat for state-listed species. In the case of the Upland Sandpiper where there's a lot more suitable habitat out here also, if we can't completely avoid it is a construction timing item where we will clear habitat prior to the breeding season which IDNR has approved and agreed upon will avoid impacts to that species. We have implemented a number of recommended buffers from perennial streams, forested streams and five-acre wood lots.

2.1

Beyond just wildlife, we are also required to take into consideration cultural resources. So in the state of Illinois the overarching regulations are -- and federal regulations are -- the National Historic Preservation Act and the Illinois State Agency Historic Resource

Preservation Act. In order to do -- ensure that we minimize or avoid our impacts to cultural resources, we start by doing a database review of previously-identified or known cultural resources and we initially site around those areas. That is followed by in-the-field surveys based on state requirements and guidelines for archeological resources and architectural resources.

Archaeological resources are those that are historic or

prehistoric, kind of, items that you'd find in the ground.

Architectural resources are gonna be structures on

landscape that are 45 years or older. So those are

required surveys as part of the development process.

2.1

The results of those surveys and the methods that are used are then submitted to the State Historic Preservation Office for review and concurrence or for recommendations from them. All of the surveys are complete at this time. Architectural surveys have been submitted to SHPO for review. Archeological surveys have not been submitted at this time but will be submitted in the very near future. Information from these surveys is, again, incorporated into project design and avoidance and mitigation measures are developed from that.

Goose Creek Wind will have limited, if any, adverse effects on cultural resources listed in National Register of Historic Places. That is a register that, kind of, significant resources would be listed in. So there are no impacts to significant cultural resources.

We will also have an unanticipated discovery plan in place during construction, and that will outline what is to be done if a cultural resource is identified inadvertently during construction, and it tells the staff what to do and who we need to reach out to ensure we are

following regulations.

Finally, we also are required to be in compliance with the Clean Water Act and the Rivers, Lakes & Streams Act. These are various things that protect wetlands, streams and water bodies in the state of Illinois. And, again, similarly to cultural resources, we start with a general database review where we're looking for known wetlands, streams and water bodies that are available through public database and then we design around that information initially, and we further go out and do field surveys and follow-up protocols put out by the Corp of Engineers. There's a variety of guidelines that are required to be followed, and that will identify boundaries of streams and wetlands for us to design around or permit through.

This project has been designed to avoid siting turbines in other large infrastructure which would be things like substations and Operations and Maintenance buildings and any wetland, streams or water bodies. Linear facilities, such as roads and collection lines, have been designed to avoid or minimize impacts to wetland, streams and water bodies to the greatest extent practicable. If we are unable to fully avoid impacts from lineal facilities we will permit it as appropriate through Section 404 of the

Clean Water Act using what is called a nationwide permit from the Corp of Engineers. There's also a number of IDNR statewide permits for various impacts to water areas that we will also comply with or attain as necessary.

And then finally I just wanted to talk about Apex's conservation grant program. So, as I mentioned earlier, Apex -- sustainability is one of our core values and we really do try to minimize our potential impacts to the environment from our projects. And so, as something to benefit communities further, we've implemented this grant program. Apex was the first one to do it in the industry and is still one of the only ones that does do this.

It's a completely voluntary contribution of money. It's a thousand dollars per megawatt that is provided to a nonprofit organization, local or regional, that is going to enhance local ecosystems and habitats. There's a specific topic or focus area that we pick for each of our projects. We have not done that yet for Goose Creek, but we certainly will be talking to various people that decide what will be the most beneficial for the area from an environmental perspective. This money will be awarded -- someone will be selected to receive the money and the money will be awarded upon construction of the project.

We have awarded three of these grants in Illinois

to date. The first one was for Lincoln Land Wind, which is down in Morgan County. It was \$300,000 that was awarded to Ducks Unlimited to restore migratory bat habitat. Ford Ridge County Wind in Ford County, \$120,000 was given to two different entities, Prairie Land Conservancy and Grand Prairie Friends to manage and restore grassland and bird habitat, which included purchasing a cemetery of native prairie. And then Mulligan Solar, \$70,000 which was given to the conservation fund to establish an enhance grassland and prairie habitat.

That concludes my presentation.

2.1

MR. KEYT: All right. Is there any follow-up questions for Mr. Gershon for the witness?

MR. GERSHON: No further questions at this time.

MR. KEYT: Okay. We're gonna take a probably five-minute recess for the board to review information and compile any questions they may have for the witness and then we'll return at -- the time is 6:23. We'll come back at 6:30.

(WHEREUPON, a brief

recess was had.)

MR. KEYT: Okay. We're gonna call the meeting back to order.

The Zoning Board of Appeals, if there's any questions for the witness, you can proceed.

2.1

CHAIRMAN WAX: I have one.

EXAMINATION

BY CHATRMAN WAX:

- Q. On your fish and wildlife, the working with Fish & Wildlife Service on the survey, was that conducted in the site here or is that conducted elsewhere?
- A. Yeah. It was conducted within the project boundary. I think the boundary changed slightly but it's completely covered the current boundary.
- Q. Okay. So is the site turbines a thousand feet from potential bat habitat, is that an acceptable range from the Fish & Wildlife Service?
- A. Yes, that is the recommended setback from both IDNR and Fish & Wildlife Service to avoid impacts during roosting period, like the summers, to protected bats.
- Q. Okay. Could you explain what your definition of curtail turbines, how do you do that when you're talking about, well, we're going to, we're gonna minimize the potential by 1%, 30%, 50%, and how do you do that?
- A. Yes. Give me one second. I have exact numbers of the reduction and impacts. Hold on. Well, I don't have the exact number.

So curtailing, when we talk about it for bats specifically, they also call it feathering, but essentially at wind speeds that are underneath that -- at lower wind speeds, so below five meters per second -- the turbines are turned into the wind so that they are not producing energy. They're turned so that the wind hits them. It's not spinning them. And it's called feathering. slightly move but not very fast. And so that the lower wind is when the listed bats, or the protected bats, are moving through the area. And so when you do that it avoids impacts to those bats during the time that's most risky to them. And that is what is approved and requested from IDNR and Fish & Wildlife Service. And the reduction -- Travis, who is coming up after me -- may have a better number that he can whip out for you offhand. Oh, here we go.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

MR. KEYT: Ms. Geiger, can I just interrupt you for a second.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

MR. KEYT: You're a very fast talker.

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

MR. KEYT: It's okay. Tammy's trying to take down everything you say. If you could just slow down. And I'm trying to write it down, which I'm not very successful, but if you could just slow a beat down.

1 THE WITNESS: Yes. 2 MR. KEYT: That would be great. 3 THE WITNESS: Apologies. Do you want me 4 to start over, or are you good? 5 THE REPORTER: No, that's okay. 6 CHAIRMAN WAX: I'm not sure I picked up 7 on this yet, so maybe, maybe you could repeat --8 THE WITNESS: Yes. 9 CHAIRMAN WAX: -- some of that. 10 THE WITNESS: So --11 BY CHAIRMAN WAX: 12 What does curtail actually mean and how do you do Q. 13 it? 14 Yes. Well, and I'm not the turbine expert here so 15 this is my, you know, from my wildlife perspective. But --16 so the turbines will not start generating energy until they 17 get to what is considered a manufacturer's cut-in speed, so 18 it's a certain wind speed. I'm not sure what that is for these turbines, but I believe it's three meters per second. 19 20 Don't quote me on that. 21 That was a question I was gonna ask for myself Q. 22 rather than have to do the math, and maybe for some of the 23 crowd here, is that a -- give us a ball park number what 24 that means in miles per hour --

- A. Miles per hour --
- Q. -- wind speed.

A. I knew you were gonna ask me that. I am not -Travis might be able to answer that question coming up next
or somebody else.

MR. GERSHON: Mr. Chair, if you don't mind, I'm sure I can get that number calculated here. I'll make sure that we get that.

CHAIRMAN WAX: Okay. Sounds good. Okay.

MR. GERSHON: And that's how fast we are.

6.711 miles per hour, three meters per second equals.

THE WITNESS: That's cut-in. So what's the five again for the curtailment?

MR. GERSHON: We'll continue to get that to you.

CHAIRMAN WAX: Okay. Fine.

- Q. This number of no eagle nests within 2.4 miles. That's a U.S. Fish & Wildlife number?
- A. No. The Fish & Wildlife Services recommend -recommendation -- or they look -- we look for eagle nests
 within two miles. That's what they look for. And that
 doesn't necessarily mean that there's risk to eagle nests
 within two miles of a turbine, but that's, that's the
 distance that we research and look and where they kind of

consider there's more risk. We do not have a turbine 1 2 within 2.4 miles, so we're exceeding --3 Ο. Okay. 4 Α. -- what Fish & Wildlife Service recommendations 5 are. So you checked this out and no turbine will be 6 Q. 7 within 2.4-miles of an eagle's nest? 8 Correct. Currently, yes. Α. 9 Q. Okay. I'll let somebody else ask the questions. 10 MR. GERSHON: Mr. Chair, again, I 11 apologize. We're running some fast math here. But, as I 12 said, three meters per second would be 6.711 miles per hour 13 and five meters per second would be 11.185 miles per hour. 14 CHAIRMAN WAX: Thank you. 15 And to answer your -- the reduction in fatalities Α. 16 between for five to six meters per second is 50 to 87% 17 reduced from just regular operations, so it's --18 Q. How, how --19 -- a minimum. Α. 20 How much reduction? Q. A minimum of 50% reduction. 21 Α. 22 Okay. Q. 23 MR. KEYT: Any other questions from

members of the Zoning Board of Appeals?

1 MR. HARRINGTON: Yes. 2 EXAMINATION 3 BY MR. HARRINGTON: 4 So you referenced surveys a lot. Who's conducting Q. 5 the surveys? 6 Α. West is, and Travis will be our expert coming up 7 next. 8 So in-house essentially? 0. 9 It's a consultant, third-party consultant --Α. 10 Q. Third-party --11 -- that's a --Α. 12 -- consultant. Okay. Q. 13 -- certified wildlife biologist. Α. 14 And then, you know, so you make reference in some Q. 15 of your presentation of 2019 confirmed site assessment, right. So these, hypothetically, would be not installed 16 17 until 2023-24. How does that work in relation to obviously 18 the habitats progressing in that period of time? 19 rechecked? Are you -- how does this work? 20 Yeah. And so the 2019 date was when we started Α. 21 coordinating with Fish & Wildlife Service. Studies have 22 actually continued through 2021 for wildlife studies and 23 2022 for wetland and cultural studies. 24 And the habitat -- the item -- the areas on the

landscape that we're looking at are not going to increase, at least for habitat perspective. It's mostly cultivated farmland and cropland where the turbines are going to be located. And they -- there's three years of assessments of how birds are using the area, timing, and that data is not going to change -- that -- their general behavior is not going to change in a couple of years.

- Q. Okay. And if, by chance, it would, what happens?
- A. We're still out there looking at things and we're gonna be there for post-construction monitoring also. So if something had changed on the landscape and the risk profile changes we're gonna pick it up during operations and we will, we will respond accordingly.
- Q. Okay. So, so when you do these surveys are you basing it off of this map as your distances?
 - A. We're basing -- I'm not sure which map that is.
- Q. Well, this has been, this has been given to us as the tower location -- proposed tower locations. So assuming that's the case, but maybe not?
- A. The -- actually, all of our surveys are done -- well, all of the wildlife surveys are completed on the boundaries.
 - Q. Just the edge of the whole project?
 - A. I -- Travis, again, will probably be a little bit

better to answer this, but not all of them.

Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. So it depends on the survey.
- Q. Okay.
- A. Raptor nest surveys go out to two miles of the boundary.
 - Q. Right.
- A. I believe that the habitat assessments go out to a thousand feet for bats. Some of 'em are just the boundary.
- Q. Right. So it's really more about the boundary of the project than the individual turbine?
- A. For wildlife, yes, because the -- we didn't know where the turbines were gonna go. So we're trying to site the project accordingly, you know, earlier on. We want to understand where the potential risks are so that we can plan accordingly and site accordingly, and that's what we've done.
 - Q. Okay. I hear 'ya.

I guess outside of that -- I mean, your third-party group is really the one that managed the study, right? It wasn't in collaboration with IDNR; it was simply following IDNR's guidelines?

A. Yes. Well, yes. I mean, we -- so they're part of the discussions with the agencies also, but, yeah, it's in

accordance with their guidelines. So we go and we meet -we, myself -- I was part these meetings -- we go and sit
down with Fish & Wildlife Service and IDNR and there is
guidance that's already set out about how these studies are
supposed to be completed to make sure that they're
providing the correct information. So third-party follows
that.

- Q. Okay. You follow the protocol. But there wasn't an actual -- they didn't actually conduct them. You guys had the third-party?
 - A. Right. Correct.
 - Q. That's all. I was just trying to clarify.
 - A. Yep.

Q. I'm done.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions?

MR. CHAMBERS: I have a few.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. CHAMBERS:

- Q. So the site studies, are those pretty much a stand-alone study or are those kind of informed by, by other past studies that are done in the area or regionally or statewide? Is there -- where do you pull data from to kind of compare with for those studies?
 - A. In terms of which studies we decide undertake; is

that what you're asking?

- Q. Well, your, your wildlife studies, for example, for, say, the birds, do you, do you -- when you're looking at, you know, doing, doing this study for this area with birds, do you also pull in data for other, you know, statewide bird studies or anything else to kind of inform those, those numbers that you get? I just wanted, wanted to know if there's any, any relationship between the studies conducted for this project and, you know, a wider study elsewhere?
- A. Yeah. They're not really related to other wider studies, I wouldn't say, other than -- and, again, Travis is the one that can answer this question -- but there -- oftentimes there's comparisons to other projects nearby to kind of inform risk of a project, and so other regional studies will come in when they're kind of comparing this one to other ones.

But in terms of -- these are kind of stand-alone studies, I guess I would say, for this project. I will say that, you know, the studies that they go along they kind of inform whether or not you need other studies. So in this case the avian use surveys, when we're out there on a monthly basis counting birds and figuring out what species are out there using the landscape, we picked up an Upland

Sandpiper, which is a state-listed bird. And so then we went and did habitat assessments to understand where potential habitat for that bird is. So if we picked up something in one survey it will inform additional surveys that may be need to be done on the landscape.

Q. Okay. And then some of my other questions here might be questions for Travis here so I'll kind of go through these and you can let me know whether, whether this is in your expertise or not.

So on the, on the bald eagles, the 2.4 miles from the nest number there, what, what is the, the, the range of a bald eagle? So say they have a nest somewhere, but what's their, their hunting range, their actual habitat that they, that they travel?

- A. The two-mile buffer -- it used to be ten miles from Fish & Wildlife Service, and they reduced it two miles because of data that they collected over a really long time. So the two-mile buffer is what they feel like is the appropriate distance that eagles will travel. It is specific really to golden eagles more than bald eagles. And so if you talk to an eagle expert they will say it's even smaller for balds, but we still abide by or look that the two-mile to start out with.
 - Q. Okay. And is that mostly because of bodies of

water for bald eagles that they, they stick to the wetlands area and don't go outside of that, or is that just they just set that, that mile boundary and it doesn't matter whether that's wetlands or, or prairie lands or what it is?

- A. I will defer to Travis on the answer of that question but --
 - Q. Okay.

- A. -- I don't think it matters with the habitat within the two miles, but I'll let him answer that.
- Q. Okay. On the construction timing, so, so say -- so give us an example of that. Say there was, was something where, where there was a concern for construction timing. Give us an example of that and then how that would work with changing the construction timing?
- A. Yeah. So for this project there's -- from a wildlife perspective at least there's -- two different kind of time periods that we're taking into consideration. So one of them is going to be bat roosting habitats, so that suitable bat habitat we want to clear it in the winter so that would be -- I think it's, like, November until March -- and so any trees that need to be cleared for the project, which I -- if there are any, it's gonna be very minimal -- but they would need to be cleared during that period just to make sure that we don't accidentally take a

tree that has a bat in it or something like that.

And then for Upland Sandpipers, it's a breeding habitat thing. So we would need to clear any of the habitat that would be suitable breeding habitat for them before they could nest in there so they'll just go find another area to nest and so then there's no impact to that species either.

Q. Okay. Last couple questions here.

On the, the data on -- I don't know what the official label for it would be -- like bird strike data, the birds that are hit -- is there, is there specific data in the report on, on the projected rates for that and, and for, for each species, or is that, is that not included?

- A. It's not in any of the reports that I'm aware of.

 It's typically more of information I feel like that is,

 that is provided from other types of reports that compile a

 lot of information from different studies into one but --
 - Q. Okay.

- A. -- I don't believe it's in --
- Q. And then I was gonna have a follow-up question to that which would be if there are reports like that on existing installations nearby where they would do surveys and assessment of the, of the birds there and have data on that too?

A. There -- yes, there probably is. Whether or not it's publically available, I'm not sure for, you know, projects that surround Goose Creek. It kind of depends on a variety of factors of whether or not the post-construction data is made publically available. I know that there's general data for the Midwest, which would include Illinois, and there's kind of a general number for operating wind projects.

- Q. Okay. Do you know where we might find that?
- A. Yeah. We can provide this -- can I provide this later? Okay. We can submit one as an exhibit. There's a publication out by the American Wind Wildlife Institute.

MR. GERSHON: I'm sorry. Not trying to whisper. Is the only copy we have with us, this one?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. GERSHON: So when this witness is finished I will have a copy made -- copies made -- for you and submit. This will be Exhibit, Exhibit 15, which is the Wind Energy Interactions with Wildlife and their Habitats issued by the --

THE WITNESS: American Wind Wildlife Institute, 2001.

MR. GERSHON: September 2000 --

THE WITNESS: Oh, '21. Sorry 2021.

Apologies. 1 2 MR. GERSHON: September 2021. apologies. We didn't have extra copies of this, but we 3 4 will have those copies made before the end of this meeting 5 and submit it as Exhibit 15. 6 MR. KEYT: Can I make a suggestion? 7 there someone that can make a copy now so that the ZBA 8 could review it before she's dismissed? 9 MR. GERSHON: Thank you. We'll have 10 those copies made right now. 11 MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions 12 from members of the zoning board? 13 MR. LOVIN: Yeah. I got one for 'ya. 14 EXAMINATION 15 BY MR. LOVIN: 16 Who exactly are you working with with U.S. Fish & Q. 17 Wildlife and IDNR? Do you have names of those people? 18 Α. Yeah. Amber Schorg is the primary lead for Fish & 19 Wildlife Service. And then Kyle -- I want to say -- why am 20 I drawing a blank on his last name? It starts with a B. 21 Burkwald. I'm sorry. Kyle Burkwald. 22 MR. KEYT: Can, can you spell those last 23 names for the record, please. 24 Α. Yeah. Amber Schorg is S-c-h-o-r-g for U.S. Fish &

Wildlife Service. And Kyle Burkwald is B-u-r-k-w-a-l-d, I believe.

- Q. With those individuals, looking through this, this survey, a lot of these say potential to occur. So on the survey potential means could or could not in my mind. But looking through this whole area in this map, how do we know whether or not there is or isn't for sure, and who is the oversight on that? And do you have any information on that?
 - A. Can you -- what, what are we looking at?
- Q. Well, I'm lookin' at Table 3 on page 4 in Appendix F6.
- A. Is this the Goose Creek Wind avoidance of habitats and species of concern --
 - Q. Yep.

A. -- table?

Okay. So -- well, again, Travis, Travis may have better answers on these. But these are based on surveys that are conducted throughout project area. For, say, the bats possible is because we're just assuming that they could occur there. So that's -- we don't know for a fact that they are there or not there, so that's where possible comes from.

Some of these other items where it says unlikely,

eastern massasauga, that is specifically directly from IDNR and Fish & Wildlife Service that they do not believe that that species is in the project area. So that is from coordinations with them.

Same thing for eastern prairie fringed orchid. That is directly from U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.

Same for the Kirtland snake and the mud puppies.

So these are the experts in the state and of, you know, for federal for these species and they do not believe that they're going to be in the area, just based on the last time they've been documented to occur or based on habitat.

We're siting away from these habitats. So we have sited away from the bat habitat even though they're only possible. We've sited away from eastern massasauga habitat even though they're unlikely. We have sited away from Kirtland snakes, Sangamon phlox, Upland Sandpiper. All of these things we've taken into account --

Q. Okay.

- A. -- even if they're considered unlikely.
- Q. Do you know how the surveys are conducted?
- A. I will defer to Travis to discuss that.
- Q. Okay. Another question I have is obviously this is avoidance of habitat in this table, but listed you have

construction activities will avoid breeding season to the extent practicable. That's April 15th through July 31st. But then it says if construction activities occurred in breeding season suitable habitat wouldn't be removed prior to the 15th of April or; i.e., mowed. Okay.

2.1

So I guess why are we mowing or removing habitat if you're trying to avoid, you're just doing away with completely?

- A. We are avoiding to the greatest extent practicable with Upland Sandpipers. They are kind of more of a generalist species. They can be in a lot of different habitat areas and so IDNR is agreed and is fine with clearing of habitat prior to the breeding season because they are most concerned about take or impacts occurring during breeding. So if you remove the habitat -- and it wouldn't be very much in this case -- it would be for a collection line or something like that -- the bird will nest elsewhere, and IDNR considers that avoidance.
 - Q. Same with the bats and removing trees?
 - A. No. Well, in the winter, yes. Yes.

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIRMAN WAX:

Q. I have one more question and possibly I just didn't pick up on this and then maybe you may have already

- answered this when Will Chambers asked it. That is about this curtailment of -- indicated you could adjust the turbines so that you curtailed the bat kill and you gave an example of it might be possible to reduce bat kill up to 50%. Is that what, is that what you said?
- A. The number -- and honestly it's -- I can't exactly remember where I got it from, but Travis can -- ask Travis again when he comes up here. But curtailment below five meters per second, which is what we're planning to do for this project, is -- decreases fatalities approximately 50%.
- Q. Okay. Is that somebody's estimate, or are those data that came from one of your other wind farms where you ran a study of this?
- A. It's a, it's a -- it's more of a general piece of information that's out there from a variety of studies that that been completed and --
 - Q. So you really have no data to support that?
 - A. There is data --
 - Q. Is that what you're saying?
- A. No, there's data to support it. And I'm gonna defer to Travis because he's a bat expert --
- Q. Oh, okay.

A. -- and he -- but there is data to support it.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions 1 from the zoning board? 2 3 Mr. Harrington? 4 EXAMINATION 5 BY MR. HARRINGTON: 6 Q. So this is gonna sound a little 7 confrontational but I picked up on somethin' when Kyle was 8 asking you a question there in regards to the table, and 9 I'm just doin' it for clarity. I'm not --10 You're fine. Α. 11 -- trying to pick a fight with you. Ο. 12 You're fine. Α. 13 So you did make the comment -- I might have got it 14 wrong -- but it sounded like the listing in the table for 15 potential occurrence in reference to possible you were 16 saying a little bit of that comes from assumption, right? 17 I guess, I'm a little disconcerted by that because I guess 18 I would think that is the purpose of the survey is to 19 identify what the percentage of, you know, occurrence or 20 contact is here. So, I guess, maybe can you clarify what 21 22 Α. Yes. 23 -- you're doing on assumption? Q.

Yes. So the surveys that we completed for this

24

Α.

project were to identify habitat, suitable habitat for the species for roosting. We did those surveys. That doesn't tell us whether or not the bat is actually there. There's a different type of survey one would do to determine if they're present or absent on the landscape during summer. We did not do those surveys. We are assuming that they're there and we're managing the project accordingly. That is why it says possible.

- Q. That's good to know because assumption in that case sounded a little --
- A. Sorry. We are, we are -- yes, we are managing.
 We're being conservative and managing the project as if the species are present.
- Q. Right. Okay. Well, that's, that's appreciated.

 MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions

 from the members of the Zoning Board of Appeals?

Okay. Seeing none, we move on to any questions from units of local government including school districts.

Okay. Seeing and hearing none, we then move on from any questions from interested parties represented by licensed attorneys.

Okay. Seeing and hearing none, any questions from members of the public for the witness?

All right. Come on up and -- I think we're all

familiar, but you may share the stage and spell your, spell your name for the record, please.

MS. COIL: Claudia Coil.

MR. KEYT: Okay. You may proceed.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. COIL:

- Q. So bat questions should go to Travis?
- A. He is probably a better person to answer most of them, yes.
- Q. Okay. Just -- well, then I guess a couple general questions.

You -- would you say that you are, by this project, you are limiting habitat for wildlife and birds? You're, you're taking away habitat?

- A. This project has been sited to avoid habitat that I would consider to be preferential to wildlife. Turbines are sited in cropland and actively farmed agricultural fields.
- Q. Okay. So you mentioned eagles. We see a lot of hawks. We see owls. And in the summer a lot of bats. So how, how do you assess hawks and owls?
- A. We did two years of avian and eagle use surveys, and they're in accordance with Fish & Wildlife Services guidelines on counting small birds, large birds, eagles,

and to inform risk to those species.

- Q. And how, how do you go back to assess bats from --
- A. We will conduct post-construction monitoring surveys in accordance with -- we'll come up with a methodology in agreeance with Fish & Wildlife Service and IDNR to go out and make sure that our conclusions, our assessments, of low risk are accurate. And if we see something that concerns us or suggests the risk is different, then we will work with the agencies to figure out a solution.
- Q. Okay. So would you say that you, you can have an accurate count of bodies of, of birds and bats because scavengers will also remove some of this? So how do you assess that?
- A. There is a whole statistical methodology that's approved from Fish & Wildlife Service, USGS, that takes that into account. So you have to do -- figure out scavenger rates, you have to figure out how likely it is for an individual that's searching -- search for efficiency is what they call it -- to find it. So it's an entire statistical analysis, and so you're only looking and finding a small fraction of those carcasses but it's extrapolating them to the whole wind form.
 - Q. And then do you have -- how do you measure with

- wildlife in an area? You can measure maybe collisions.

 But how do you measure other things like noise pollution,

 the actual result of habitat loss, survival, decrease in

 reproduction because of all these changes? Do you have any
 - A. Not my area of expertise, I'm afraid, so I'm not sure.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

way of going back and assessing that?

A. Thank you.

MR. KEYT: All right. Thank you.

Any other questions for this witness from members of the public? Please come up -- and same routine -- state and spell your name.

MS. STALTER: Lori Stalter. L-o-r-i.

S-t-a-l-t-e-r.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

EXAMINATION

BY MS. STALTER:

- Q. So you mentioned that the project is, I think, two-and-a-half miles from the eagle's nest?
 - A. (The witness nodded her head.)
 - Q. Where was that nest?
- A. I will defer to Travis on that. He's gonna talk about the studies.
 - Q. Okay. On -- I noticed on one of the slides that

you talked about migratory birds. But you have not mentioned Canadian geese, loons, swans, ducks. What about monarch butterflies? Are they impacted?

- A. Um, waterfowl does not often end up being impacted by wind farms.
- Q. Well, I live on a lake, north Piatt County, and in the wintertime, like last winter, there were probably over a hundred geese on our lake. And in the mornings they take off, in the evenings they come back, and so I know that they're flying.
- A. The research -- and Travis can talk about this as well -- but waterfowl do not end up in the post-construction monitoring data very often. They avoid the turbines.
- Q. Okay. So when you talk about the Sandpiper, is that is that similar to the killdeer or --
 - A. It's a bird.
- Q. -- you don't know?
 Okay. I think that was it.
 - A. Okay.

2.1

Q. Thanks.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you.

Any other questions for the witness by members of the public?

Okay. Please come up. Please state and spell your 1 2 name for the record, please. 3 MS. RYAN: Susan Ryan. S-u-s-a-n 4 R-y-a-n. 5 MR. KEYT: All right. Please proceed. EXAMINATION 6 7 BY MS. RYAN: 8 Did I understand that you said that there's -- you 9 didn't hire any spotters, spotters as in people looking for 10 wildlife in the area? 11 Α. Yes. That's what Travis and his company did, and 12 he's coming up next to talk about it. 13 Okay. Okay. I misunderstood. Q. 14 Have you heard of the Mahomet aquifer? 15 I have heard of it. Α. So does this wind complex -- is that over the 16 Q. 17 Mahomet aquifer? 18 Α. My understanding is yes. 19 Do you happen to know how many tons of concrete go Q. 20 into each site for a wind turbine? 2.1 This is not my area of expertise and our Α. 22 construction person is coming up later. 23 For the environmental factor, do you have any Ο. 24 concerns with potentially 30,000 tons of concrete being

sited for each turbine?

- A. Again, not my area of expertise.
- Q. I see. Did you know that in 2017 a Mahomet aquifer protection task force was created? Have you heard of that task force?
 - A. (The witness shook her head.)
 - Q. You have not. Do you live in Illinois?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. The task force was created to see if there's any issues with water or aquifer because it's a sole-source aquifer for 500,000 people. But did you know that they were hoping to use electromagnetic mapping of the aquifer in all of the counties -- can't remember how many counties there are but it's 500,000 people that are -- use this sole-source aquifer. They're going to -- have you heard of this electronic magnet -- electromagnetic mapping that they wanted to do?
 - A. (The witness shook her head.)
- Q. So you don't know that they were going to use helicopters to do this; that the hope is to use helicopters? And do you know if that's even possible if --
 MR. KEYT: Can I hold up?
- A. -- these turbines are put up?
 - MR. KEYT: Let me hold you on that for a

second. You're asking a question but the witness is not at 1 2 times giving a verbal response. I think we just need to 3 have a verbal response before you move on to your next 4 question. 5 Α. No. MR. KEYT: Okay. Let's do this. 6 7 Can you -- yeah. Can you read -- can the court 8 reporter read it back? 9 (WHEREUPON, the record 10 was read by the reporter 11 as requested.) 12 MR. KEYT: Okay. So hold on. 13 answer to that question. 14 THE WITNESS: Oh, I didn't -- had she 15 finished her question? MR. KEYT: Well, I think -- there was a 16 17 question in there and I --18 THE WITNESS: Oh, no. My answer is no. 19 MR. KEYT: Okay. You can move on to your 20 next question. 2.1 BY MS. RYAN: 22 So you're not aware of anything that the Mahomet 23 aquifer task force is trying do to protect the citizens 24 here from water contamination for our sole-source aquifer?

1 Α. No, I am not. 2 Q. I think that's all I have. Thank you. 3 MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you. 4 Any other questions for this witness from members 5 of the public? MR. KEYT: Okay. Seeing none and hearing 6 7 none, are there any questions from Piatt County staff and 8 consultants for this witness? All right. Seeing none and hearing none, any 9 10 redirect from Mr. Gershon? 11 MR. GERSHON: Just a couple of questions for clarification. 12 13 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 14 BY MR. GERSHON: 15 Does the county or ZBA, as special use permit Q. 16 approval, allow you to violate or disregard federal or 17 state agencies such as IDNR, Illinois Fish & Wildlife 18 requirements, review, required approvals or laws, including the Illinois Clean Water & Rivers & Lakes & Stream Acts? 19 20 Α. No. 21 And, similarly, are you required to comply with both the county ordinances and such state and federal 22 23 review and requirements and laws?

24

Α.

Yes.

- Q. I want to clarify the issue that came up on where the surveys are done. Do you survey everything within the broader project boundary, not just the turbine locations?
- A. Again, it's survey-dependent. But for wildlife, yes. I would say for wetlands and cultural, it's more specific to linear design and turbine positions.
- Q. Thank you. And are those survey findings then used to help set turbine locations?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. And just to clarify, I think there was a good question asked by Member Harrington, but I want to make sure that I didn't miss it that when we talk about the assumption that the species are there, even if they're not there when you do these surveys, is that the most conservative method to use and, if so, why?
- A. Yes, I would say so, because you're assuming that they're present so you're managing accordingly. You're being more conservative in your assumptions.
 - Q. Thank you. No further questions?

MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Gershon.

I believe the copies are back that the witness had referenced earlier. And if I were -- how many pages are those documents?

THE WITNESS: I think they're 22.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Can, can we -- I'm 1 2 gonna suggest we do a brief break so the ZBA members can 3 look at those. And if they have any questions based on 4 those documents or any follow-up from what they've heard 5 they can then have an opportunity to ask those questions of the witness. 6 7 MR. GERSHON: Thank you. I will provide 8 this. And, again, this is Exhibit Number 15, correct? 9 MR. RAYFORD: Yes. 10 MR. GERSHON: We're giving you ten 11 copies, as we did previously, with the expectation that 12 when Phil returns you'll want to give him a copy of that. 13 MR. KEYT: Yes. 14 Okay. So the time is now 7:05. Let's take a break 15 until 7:10 so the ZBA members can review Exhibit Number 15, 16 which they have not yet seen, and if there's any follow-up 17 questions for the witness the ZBA members will ask those 18 questions. So we'll stand in recess until 7:10. 19 (WHEREUPON, a brief 20 recess was had.) 21 MR. KEYT: Okay. We're gonna reconvene. 22 Okay. Go ahead. Mr. Gershon has something to --23 MR. GERSHON: Just one clarification on 24 Exhibit 15. As the zoning board knows, we took Jennie

Geiger's copy of that document and it had copied, so I want to be clear for the record that the yellow highlighting in there is not part of the document. That's Jennie's own highlighting. You may disregard it to the extent you'd like to, but I didn't want you to think that that was a part of the article.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Understood.

All right. Are there any members of the zoning board that have questions for Ms. Geiger based on the new document or any information that's come up since the last session of questions?

EXAMINATION

BY CHAIRMAN WAX:

Q. I noticed a section in here -- by the way, thank you for this very detailed publications. It came out in '21. I'm assuming that, that most of the data, it looks like from the dates, were based on turbines that were perhaps two megawatt and maybe 400 feet tower tip height. But there is a section that indicates -- okay. There's a number of studies that indicate we kind of expect, it says, that the taller the turbine there's a, there's a reasonable likelihood of greater bat and bird kill, if I understood that right.

Could, could you address that in terms of now we're

going from 350 to 400-foot tip height to over 600-foot tip height?

A. Yeah. There's -- there isn't a definitive answer there yet. I think it's something that's still being studied because the taller turbines are kind of a newer infrastructure. But, in general, you either get more smaller or less taller. And so the actual wind -- like the area of risk, which is where the blades are spinning, is very similar typically from a smaller project to a larger project. You just have -- it's just different. It's bigger in one area versus a lot of smaller ones. So I think it's, it's an unknown answer if it's a higher risk or not. I think it's a time-will-tell thing. But there is no scientific evidence right now to say one way or the other if it is.

Q. Okay. Thank you.

2.1

MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions from the members of the zoning board?

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARRINGTON:

Q. I guess not in regard to your wind energy, but I guess thinking along the lines back on your page 7 of your presentation that one audience member did bring up a good question in regards to the aquifer. I would assume --

- correct me if I'm wrong -- your wetland, streams and water bodies that you did not include anything regarding the aguifer; is that right?
 - A. Correct. It is above-ground features --
 - O. Surfaces?
 - A. Uh-huh.

2.1

- Q. So out of curiosity, maybe not you, but was there anybody in the project that looked into groundwater effects and/or issues?
- A. My understanding is that the construction representative will speak to that.
 - Q. Okay. Good to know. What's his name or her?
- A. Adam Carlson.
- Q. Adam. All right. Thank you.
- MR. KEYT: Any other questions from the zoning board? Mr. Chambers.

EXAMINATION.

BY MR. CHAMBERS:

Q. So I tried to speed through the study a little bit here, but Lloyd already touched on this. The higher frequency with the taller turbines from, from most of the studies cited in here being, being a bit older with that, with that lower, you know, lower tip height, loader -- lower -- or smaller rotor diameter, you have quite a bit

different -- what, what they seem to indicate would be quite a bit different -- impact.

Is there any way to maybe take that data and -- do you have any baseline for, for taking their kill rates for, for these turbines, for example, and estimating that into a larger project with larger turbines? Is there any, is there any way to do that, or is this data just pretty much invalid when, when looking at the larger turbines?

A. I don't think it's invalid. Again, it's just an unknown question because it's, it's you know, science and things changing in realtime and as scientists you just have to kind of, you know, do studies and figure out if there is or is not more of an impact as we go along.

I have seen for projects I've seen them compare different layouts, you know one that uses a smaller turbine with lower megawatt, more lower versus the taller one. And the taller ones, at least for the project that I saw it done on, the taller turbines had a lower -- I don't know -- area, you know, area -- Travis is gonna have a better word for this -- but of potential impact, right. So the area of the blades spinning makes a circle and so when you compare the areas of the fewer, larger --

- Q. The cross-section?
- A. -- turbines versus the lots of smaller ones, it was

actually lower with the taller turbines. That doesn't necessarily mean that the impacts would be similar or different, but there was a decrease, at least for the project that I saw it compared for.

Q. Okay. Something I picked out of the, the bat section of this report is tends to be a little, little harder to get answers out of because of the variability and all the data that's in there, so I figured that out pretty quick and, and kind of skipped through it a little bit. But I did pick up in there there was discussion about ultrasonic transmitters for the deterrence of bats.

Is that something that's ever been implemented anywhere that we would be able to see data on that --

A. I will --

- Q. -- for example?
- A. -- defer to Travis. He probably has more information on that, but my understanding is it's definitely in more of a beta phase. You know, they're, they're trying to find things that are gonna be really effective in deterring bats and they have tried that and it has not been successful to my understanding. I think it's still trying to figure out what works, but I would defer to Travis.
 - Q. Okay. And this may be a question for Travis, but

it also mentions -- so for the larger birds, which are moving slower obviously, the impact to those birds is higher just because they're more -- if they are in the area they're more likely to be hit because of their slower speed.

It, it notes that population level impacts are more likely to raptor species. Is that something that for -- if you look at the population levels for those raptors in Piatt County, is there any concern there of the, of the impact being severe enough to cause population level impacts?

- A. I will let Travis answer that question.
- Q. Okay. Very good. I have no more questions. Thank you.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions from the members of the Zoning Board of Appeals?

Okay. Seeing none. Any redirect from Mr. Gershon based on those questions?

MR. GERSHON: Just a couple of quick ones for clarification.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GERSHON:

Q. Alan Moore testified previously that taller wind turbines have a higher megawatt per turbine which means

that we have fewer turbines being located. Does identify
-- does reducing the number of turbines give you greater
flexibility in turbine placement and location to avoid
habitat, to better avoid habitat?

A. Yes.

- Q. A lot of good questions, a lot of quick review we heard today, but do any of the issues that have been raised today change any of your findings or conclusions as provided to the zoning board?
 - A. No.
- Q. And we talked a great deal about impact on animals, et cetera. Are wind turbines the biggest thing that impacts bird kills, et cetera?
- A. No. There's a lot of research that's been done and information available from Fish & Wildlife Service and others that a lot of things on the landscape have a much higher impact on birds, including buildings, which has a 250,000% more chance of killing a bird.
 - Q. Thank you.

MR. HARRINGTON: Can I ask clarification?

MR. KEYT: Okay. Yeah, if there's any
follow-up questions from members of the zoning board you
may proceed.

EXAMINATION

_	BI M. HAMINGTON.
2	Q. You referenced buildings?
3	A. Yep.
4	Q. So, so can you maybe explain that in more context?
5	A. Structures like windows, buildings with windows.
6	Q. Right. You're saying the presence of buildings?
7	A. (The witness nodded her head.)
8	Q. Gotcha.
9	MR. KEYT: Anyone else? Okay.
10	EXAMINATION
11	BY MR. CHAMBERS:
12	Q. Is that only for small birds and not for raptor
13	species?
14	A. I believe that is just avian in general. It's on
15	the migratory birds page.
16	Q. Okay.
17	MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions
18	from members of the board?
19	Okay. Seeing none, Ms. Geiger, you're excused.
20	Mr. Gershon, you can call your next witness,
21	please.
22	MR. GERSHON: Can we also confirm that
23	she is also released
24	MR. KEYT: Yes.

BY MR. HARRINGTON:

```
1
                         MR. GERSHON: -- as required by your
 2
       rules?
              Thank you.
 3
               Andy, do you want to take break before our next
 4
       witness comes up or should we start --
 5
                         MR. KEYT: Yeah, that's what I was gonna
       suggest actually. Why don't we do -- it is currently 7:25.
 6
 7
       Let's come back at 7:35 so the ZBA members can have a
 8
       chance to take a break. And we'll be in recess until then.
 9
                         MR. GERSHON. Thank you.
10
                         (WHEREUPON, a brief
11
                         recess was had.)
12
                         MR. KEYT: Okay. Folks, we're gonna get
13
       restarted here.
14
               Mr. Gershon, call your next witness, please.
15
                         MR. GERSHON: I'd like to call Travis
       Brown with West Environmental.
16
17
               Before I have him start, I'd like to submit as
18
       Exhibit 16 the -- our PowerPoint that he's about to
19
       present.
20
                         MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you.
21
               Mr. Brown, can you raise your right hand and have
22
       the court reporter swear you in, please.
23
                          (WHEREUPON, the witness
24
                         was sworn.)
```

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. GERSHON:

- Q. Would you state your, state your name and spell it for the record.
 - A. Travis Brown. T-r-a-v-i-s B-r-o-w-n.
- Q. And would you identify your qualifications and those western ecosystems technology.
- A. Yes. West is a -- we're an environmental and statistical consultant company. We've been working on the interaction of wind and wildlife for over 25 years. We employ mostly biologists, ecologists and statisticians who design studies, carry out the studies and then look at the results and the study designs with the agencies to try to come up with effective management and conservation strategies.

I have a bachelor's degree in wildlife biology and a master's degree in biology. I've been a professional biologist for over 15 years, with experience as a technician, intern, field biologist before that.

I worked on over 60 wind projects in the Midwest, over 25 projects in Illinois, some of those are proposed or existing projects. And I'm permitted to survey for over 50 species of animals. I work on a wide variety of species, from birds and bats to reptiles and other species.

Q. And, just for the record, we previously submitted -- I don't have the exhibit in front of me. We previously submitted as an exhibit Travis's resume, and we'd ask that he be accepted as an expert?

MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you.

Is there any objection to Mr. Brown being accepted as an expert witness in the matter? Hearing none, seeing none, so accepted.

- Q. Travis, did (sic) you walk through the studies and work you did here and your findings?
- A. Yes, so consultation with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and Illinois DNR started back in 2019, so that's where you meet, talk about the project. They let you know what records of rare species are already known from the area and what their concerns are. And then you come up with a series of studies that need to be completed. You review the study design with them and then meet periodically to go over results.

But those studies included avian use surveys with an emphasis on eagles, eagle and raptor nest surveys,

Sangamon phlox habitat assessment, Upland Sandpiper habitat, eastern massasauga habitat assessment and then also Kirtland snake and bat habitat assessments.

Next slide. So the avian and eagle use survey is

one of the more long-term studies that we do for obvious reasons at a wind project. And the objective there is to characterize use of the project area by birds, figure out what species are then, but then especially by eagles and any listed species.

We conducted those for two years, from 2019 to 2021. Following the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Services wind energy guidelines as long -- as well as their eagle conservation planning guidelines -- and then Illinois DNR recommendations. And most of the birds we observed, as you might expect in cropland, were common agricultural species. Bald eagle use was, was pretty typical for the Midwest, and we didn't observe any golden eagles in the project area. No federally-listed species were observed and two state-listed bird species were observed, Northern Harrier and Upland Sandpiper.

During the eagle and raptor nest surveys we looked for nests for two years in the spring when the leaves are off the trees. The objective is to identify large stick nests, especially huge nests that could be bald eagle nests. And ultimately no occupied bald eagle nests were found in the project or the two-mile buffer that the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service recommends that we search.

For the eastern massasauga rattlesnake, we looked

for suitable habitat for that species which tends to include wetland habitats, particularly with an open canopy. So you're looking for things that a prairie wetland rattlesnake would live in, basically. And approximately 3.7% of the project area was thought to be suitable habitat, so that's 3.7% of that larger nearly 70,000-acre project boundary.

Next slide. During the bat habitat assessment the idea was to identify a suitable habitat for listed bats, which includes forest or wood lots where they're suitable roost trees or trees of the appropriate size and species, and less than 1% of that project area provides suitable summer habitat for listed bat species. It's largely concentrated in the southeast along the Sangamon River and its tributaries.

Similarly, for the Sangamon phlox, we did a habitat assessment. And in that case you're looking for prairie remnants and abandoned fields, but it's also located mostly along the Sangamon River, with less, less than 1% of the project area providing some potential habitat.

For Upland Sandpipers, since we had some observations in the project area, we identified suitable nesting habitat for that species, which -- this is a -- it's a prairie bird that really inhabits prairies where

there's short grass areas, like around 12 inches for it to inhabit. In this part of the country it sort of hangs on by nesting occasionally in, in mowed areas. And that's mostly what we identify things that, where I'm from, Edgar County, we call waterways, grass strips, which mostly are mowed throughout the year so they don't provide -- we wouldn't call them optimal habitat, not like a prairie remnant or something like that which we wouldn't call marginal. And approximately 1.2% of the project area was considered to be suitable habitat for Upland Sandpipers.

During the Kirtland snake habitat assessment we're looking for basically wetlands, whether that's prairie fins, kind of grassy wetland areas, or wet meadows or forested wetlands. And less than 1% of the project area was considered suitable for that species and it mostly overlapped with bat habitat.

Next slide. So a couple of the, the takeaway messages with the wildlife surveys from this project were that, as you would expect in cropland, we mostly observed common agricultural bird species. No bald eagle nests within 2.4 miles of the proposed turbines. Out of that 70 -- almost 70,000-acre area, a small portion, less than 1% to up to 3.7%, provides suitable habitat for one of the listed species of concern, but the turbines have and been

sited to avoid those areas and then construction will be coordinated to avoid potential impacts to Upland Sandpipers during the breeding season.

The project meets Illinois DNR setback requirements from streams and forests, and then the project will also reduce impacts by curtailing during the fall migration season and avoiding tree-clearing during the summer, so clearing trees during the wintertime. In addition, after the project is built, they've agreed to conduct three years of post-construction monitoring during which basically a third-party monitor will be out there doing studies, trying to determine what species have actually been hit, whether the project meets the assumption that we are making right now that it's a low-impact project. And then those results are coordinated with the agencies each year so they'll have an opportunity to weigh in in case there's adaptive management that needs to happen.

So ultimately they've reviewed the project with the agencies, designed the studies with the agencies and have agreed to the recommendations from the agencies. So this project has been designed to be constructed and operated in a way that avoids wildlife impacts as much as the agency guidance recommends.

MR. KEYT: Any follow-up questions here

from Mr. Gershon?

2

1

EXAMINATION

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

BY MR. GERSHON:

- Can you explain the three-year post-construction Q. survey that we are -- monitoring that we're doing and how that compares to the county code requirement?
- Yeah. I believe the county code requires one year, Α. and the project has agreed to three years.
- Ο. Again, just for the record, it's 3 years to do what?
- Go out to the project after it's built and conduct Α. searches under the turbines that will identify any bird or bat species that have been hit. While you're doing that you also conduct bias trials, is what we call them. that's where we, for example, may put bats out on a site without the observer knowing and use that to estimate what percentage of searcher efficiency we're getting. We also put out carcasses to try to estimate the persistence rate, how many of those were scavenged, and put together area corrections to determine how much of the area you're actually surveying. All of that stuff basically goes into a complicated statistical analysis that you use to estimate how many of the different birds and bats that are being killed at the project.

- Q. I'd like to ask you to clarify that when we talk about the project area for these studies we're generally talking -- is it correct that we're generally talking about 70,000 area -- acre area?
 - A. That's true.

Q. And for this purpose, just for the board's review,
I'd like to show you our large map here, which is a
compilation of Appendix B4 of Exhibit 1, our site plan.

Just -- I think a picture's worth a thousand words here, so
I just want to pull it out real quick.

I'll let you see it and then show it to them. Can you confirm for the record that the black outlined are here, which obviously includes both participating property owners who have turbines, other participants, but also people beyond that, that that black area is the approximately 70,000-acre area that we talk about as the project area?

- A. Yes. It's been nearly the same -- there are a few boundary changes that, you know, add or subtract a little bit, but that is the boundary that we studied.
- Q. I think I'm loud enough without a microphone, but just for your purposes, as you can see from the exhibit, that is the black line showing here. So we talk about the project area is a much larger area than just the parcels

that have turbines on it and that's what we, what we study.

And let me get back to the microphone. Can you explain for the record why we look at a broader area rather than just the parcels that have turbines?

A. Yeah. So the idea is to identify parts of the project that are sensitive, that have sensitive wildlife habitat, and try to site in the places where those impacts are avoided to the greatest extent possible.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Wait. Before you go on to another question, this exhibit that is sitting here, is there a copy of this exhibit somewhere?

MR. GERSHON: The exhibit -- this exhibit is a compilation of Appendix B4, the preliminary site plan, identified in the Exhibit 1, the application binder.

MR. KEYT: Okay. So is that exact image on that exhibit?

MR. GERSHON: It's just a blowup of those maps. It's, it's large enough that if we --

MR. KEYT: Right. But my question -you're saying it's a compilation. Are you suggesting it's
two maps overlaid on each other? Like I, I -- we have
something that looks similar. What I want to make sure is
that exhibit gets into the record because you're
referencing it as an exhibit so that there's a copy of it.

MR. GERSHON: Yes, it is. I'm just confirming it is exactly the same as the four maps that are included in Appendix B4 simply placed onto one board to make it easier for people to see.

MR. KEYT: So my question is is that image depicted in one of those maps? It sounds like it is an overlay -- it's combination of those four maps.

MR. GERSHON: That is correct. I'm happy to submit that as an exhibit if you'd rather we do so. The reason we did not is that if we reduce that map and put in the binder as one map you wouldn't be able to see the details, but it is --

MR. KEYT: I understand, but I think what

-- so our record is complete, we need a copy of that exact

image, even if it's not necessarily readable later on.

They can see it now. But, for the record, I think we need
a copy of that map.

MR. GERSHON: Absolutely. We will submit that. We'll identify -- for now I'm going to submit but we will replace it with a smaller version. I can also provide a digital version. This is Exhibit 17, which is our preliminary site plan and we will bring to next week's meeting a copy for each of you of that reduced down with the recognition that it won't be readable.

1	MR. KEYT: That's okay. We need
2	something so that it's identified in the record. Okay.
3	MR. GERSHON: We will also present, for
4	the record, one full-sized copy the size of this board
5	that's folded so you can maintain in your records as
6	opposed to this Styrofoam board version. But, for the
7	record, this map has, I believe, been on an easel and used
8	by the community a number of times throughout these
9	hearings.
10	MR. KEYT: Understood. Okay.
11	MR. GERSHON: Thank you. Appreciate the
12	clarification.
13	MR. KEYT: Go ahead.
14	MR. GERSHON: No further questions.
15	MR. KEYT: Okay. The zoning board have
16	any questions for this witness? And if you want to take a
17	break we can.
18	MR. HARRINGTON: I think Will's got one.
19	MR. CHAMBERS: I'll go ahead and start.
20	MR. KEYT: Mr. Chambers.
21	EXAMINATION
22	BY MR. CHAMBERS:
23	Q. So some of these will be from, you know, re-asking
24	some, some stuff that's already been asked a little bit

here but let me figure out where to start first.

So I'll just kind of go down my list here. The surveys that you're talking about for the post, post-build surveys that are done, when those are done and you start to, to try to put together that data and factor in the, you know, the scavenging from predators, do you do any sort of control tests in this area to try to figure that out with, you know, coyote population, raccoons, all that, or is that just something that you just try to estimate?

- A. So most all of these studies incorporate what we call a carcass persistence trial, or a series of them, in different seasons. And that's where we basically just put dead bats that we have found on the project or from, you know, from a vet or something like that, out on the ground and look to see how long it takes them to disappear.
- Q. Okay. And then that is used as a control for the data that's collected for the next the three years for the post study?
- A. It's used as a, as a correction factor. So it's one of the bias trials that we do, and those are typically done each year of the monitoring.
- Q. That was gonna be my next question. As, as, say the predators learn that they can go, you know, to the turbine for food, if that increases the -- or decreases the

-- duration of the carcass being present, that that would be accounted for as well?

A. It -- yeah, that is the intent.

Q. Okay. Let's see here. On the migratory birds, the AWWI study talks a little bit about the -- well, especially for waterfowl, that they tend to avoid the turbines and so you don't see a lot of kill on waterfowl.

Does that have any -- does that avoidance have any impact on, on the actual presence and habitat of those particular birds? In other words, does, does avoidance equal a change in, in their habitat in the area of a, of a wind farm?

- A. Well, you're right, they're not typically found as fatalities. They seem to be able to avoid the turbines. In terms of whether they, you know, they may not nest right next to a turbine, but, in general, you know, geese are pretty tolerant. Over time they learn to live in the county park so they're pretty tolerant of disturbance. I don't know of a study off the top of my head that references avoidance directly or --
- Q. Okay. Let's see. We'll talk about eagles for a minute here. We're all Americans so we, we like our bald eagles. The -- what I had asked about earlier were, you know, the higher risk for larger raptors like eagles and

that being more likely to move into a population level impact. Do you see any -- with the current eagle population in this area, do you have any concerns for population level impact for raptors?

A. For bald eagles specifically, you know, they're one of our greatest wildlife success stories. They -- in some areas their nesting rates have increased as much as 40% in ten years. So I don't -- bald eagles are doing much better, so I don't foresee -- and they're not particularly susceptible to wind turbine impacts compared to golden eagles which live out west and they are more susceptible to wind turbine impacts.

In regard to other raptor species, we mostly observe common ones, red tail hawks, kestrels that you typically see. The one rare raptor that we saw, relatively rare, was the Northern Harrier, which is state-listed, but they typically fly about this high (indicating) off the ground and just are not found as fatalities at wind projects very often. So for that species I don't foresee a lot of impacts either.

Q. Okay. So on bats the ultrasonic transmitter idea, it seems like it hasn't been implemented many places. Do you, do you have any knowledge or background to, to give us an idea what that, what that would look like and if that

would be something that is commercially available?

- A. Yes. Actually we work on a lot of those projects and, you know, my company, and especially my office, has recently published literature on that topic. I think the short answer is that the ultrasonic deterrence can -- they can have -- they can reduce mortality. Sometimes it's a species-specific thing for certain bats. There are a few problems with it still so it's not something that's commercially ready to go. You know, it's not something that we fully figured out, the biggest problem being ultrasound doesn't, doesn't transfer very far. It attenuates very quickly. So getting that ultrasound out to the end of the blade tip where you can scare bats away from that, you would think it would be as easy as puttin' like a deer whistle on turbine blades, but, unfortunately, it's more complicated than that.
- Q. Right. And then kind of along the same lines of that, there's automated detection systems for large birds that have been, I guess, recently trialed. The study mentions Wyoming for golden eagles. Is that something that's also not, not commercially available yet, not just -- or it's just in its trial phase, not ready for implementation?
 - A. My understanding of that is, you know, it's mostly

right now an out-west thing where golden eagles, which are more susceptible to being hit by turbines exist, and it's something that's used at very high-risk sites, which is not really what we see here.

- Q. Okay. Just out of curiosity for, for the difference in risk between golden eagles, for example, and bald eagles, why is it that a golden eagle is so much more susceptible? Is it, is it the height?
- A. It's, like most things, probably a few different things. For one, bald eagles are pretty closely tied to water bodies a lot of the time for a majority of their movements. I mean, obviously they fly out over fields, especially in the winter. They go find roadkill or carrion. But golden eagles are, are hunting throughout, all over the landscape. And, you know, I hate to repeat conjecture, but basically they're hunting a lot so their attention is focused on the ground, not up where the turbine blades are.
- Q. All right. Okay. And one last question. We've talked a lot about birds. But other, other mammals such as deer, do you have any, any reason to believe that the movement of deer population is, is affected by turbines, if they, if they avoid turbines or if they, like the geese for example, get tolerant of it and, and retain their normal

1 movements? 2 I'm not aware of any specific studies on that. Like the geese, you know, they are resilient and travel 3 4 throughout urban landscapes all over the place. So that's 5 the best information I can give you. 6 Q. All right. Thank you. 7 MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions 8 from members of the Zoning Board of Appeals? 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. LOVIN: 11 On this list we have a bat that is endangered. Ο. 12 What is one of the biggest, I guess, reasons why the 13 species go endangered? Is it habitat loss? 14 It is often habitat loss. With bats specifically, 15 especially the one that was recently up-listed from 16 threatened to endangered, it's more white nose syndrome, 17 which is a fungus that attacks bats in their caves and it's 18 been produced to the U.S. 19 But you would agree, though, that part of that is 20 habitat loss? 2.1 Α. Yes. 22

- Okay. So part of this conclusion is is that tree Q.
- 23 clearing, would you agree that's habitat loss?

24

Α. Yes, but I think that the kind of tree clearing they're talking about is like clearing through a fence row for the most part. And so the turbines are sited in cropland, and I don't, I don't think this would represent a whole lot of bat habitat loss.

- Q. But is there fence rows in this area?
- A. Yeah.

Q. Okay.

MR. HARRINGTON: Are you done?

MR. LOVIN: Yeah.

EXAMINATION

BY MR. HARRINGTON:

- Q. So I notice on your presentation here you did mention there was no nests, bald eagle nests, found, right?
 - A. (The witness nodded his head.)
- Q. But you said bald eagle use was typical for Midwest. Does that mean you assume they fly through this area, correct, or no?
 - A. Yeah. I mean, they'll -- yes.
- Q. All right. So I guess that sort of leads into the bigger question, which is maybe describe how you conduct your survey. You know, how is it you -- is it one, two, three, four people? How many days, weeks? I don't know how you're doing it so --
 - A. Okay. So we follow the eagle conservation planning

guidance the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service put together.

They kind of describe how you're supposed to do it.

The idea is that you randomly select points throughout the project area such that an 800-meter circle around that point covers at least 30% of the project area. Then we send an observer out typically once, once per month to sit out there at each point for an hour. Those are sited in the areas that are representative of where the turbines will be. So ultimately we use the observations from that 800-meter area to kind of have a standardized way of comparing across the country. That's how we do it.

- Q. I got 'ya. So once month for about an hour 800-meter general geography. Is it at the proposed sites or are you, because you're doin' it for the whole project, you're just picking random locations wherever, I assume?
- A. Usually, you know, two years ago the turbine locations it's in the planning stage so we --
 - Q. Right.

- A. -- we base it off that larger project boundary.
- Q. Gotcha. Gotcha. And what, what -- you know, that was, like you said, two years ago. Is there any thought or, like I said before to the previous expert, do we think there's any reason to review that closer to the construction or prior, you know what I'm saying, in time

line? We assume these birds change their, their habits somewhat.

- A. Well, we started two years ago. We just ended in 2021, so ended very recently. Usually the way that's dealt with is the project is built and then, you know, you're out there doing post-construction monitoring and you're checking in with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service each year based on, based on the results of that. So you're kind of switching to post-construction methodology.
- Q. Right. But -- correct me if I'm wrong -- once it's constructed, I mean, your, your tactics are relatively limited, aren't there?
- A. Yeah. Then you're basically figuring out if your assumptions were, correct.
- Q. Right. It's not -- you're not really gonna do much to help the species?
- A. Well, there may be situations where you go back to the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and, and, you know, revisit that each year and they may have some recommendations. But yeah.
- Q. Yeah. I understand. Once it's constructed it's gonna be hard to change, I think.

But is there, is there anything about -- you know,

I know you referenced the Sangamon River on the south

2 Α. (The witness nodded his head.) Is there anything about that particular section of 3 Ο. 4 the Sangamon that lends to high populations of red hawks or 5 anything you noticed or anything you can tell us about what you observed? 6 7 Just in general, you know, those large river 8 corridors are gonna be where you're gonna have more 9 activity --Right. 10 Q. 11 -- consistently, and that's pretty consistent no 12 matter which large area of corridor it is. 13 Okay. Nothin' special about this one, you're Q. 14 sayin'? 15 Well, I'm sure there are special things but I --Α. 16 Okay. All right. That's all I got. Q. 17 MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions 18 from members of the zoning board? 19 CHAIRMAN WAX: I've got a guestion here. 20 EXAMINATION 21 BY CHAIRMAN WAX: 22 Ο. You stated and concluded, and the previous speaker 23 concluded the same thing, that it is possible to minimize 24 bat impact by curtailing, by changing the system a bit,

eastern portion of this project, right?

slowing down under certain wind conditions. You said it's 1 2 possible. To your knowledge, is it the intention or the commitment of the company to actually do that? 3 4 Yes, they've, they've committed to meet those Α. 5 recommendations from the Illinois DNR. 6 Q. Okay. Okay. 7 Just looking at your overall broad area, which is 8 outlined here, how close to the edge of that black-lined 9 area are some of your turbines? 10 That would probably be a developer question. Α. 11 MR. GERSHON: I believe that Adam Carlson 12 could probably answer that question when he discusses 13 construction. 14 CHAIRMAN WAX: Okay. 15 MR. HARRINGTON: I don't have anything 16 else. 17 MR. KEYT: Any other questions from 18 members of the zoning board? 19 Okay. Seeing none, hearing none, any questions 20 from local units of local government including school 2.1 districts? 22 Seeing none, hearing none, any questions from 23 interested parties represented by counsel?

Seeing none, hearing none, any questions from

members of the public?

Come on up, ma'am. Okay. If you could state and spell your name for the record, please, and then --

MS. DILLAVOU: Michele, with one L,

Dillavou.

THE REPORTER: Can you spell that?

MS. DILLAVOU: D-i-l-l-a-v, as in Victor,

o-u.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. DILLAVOU:

- Q. I had a -- my questions kind of stem from the lack of information, I guess, just, just this evening on migratory birds. Is, is that just not a problem with them getting into turbines?
- A. Well, most, most migratory birds are at much higher altitudes while they're migrating. But it's, it's not as big of an issue as a lot of other things. That's the only way I know how to really put it in perspective.

I think Jennie mentioned windows on all the buildings in the U.S. are estimated to kill 599 -- 599 million birds a year, whereas wind projects are more on the order of 2 to 300,000. Cats are 2.4 billion per year. So just putting it in perspective, that's, that's kind of the only way I know how to frame that.

- Q. Were you aware of the migratory patterns in Piatt County?
- A. Well, during our avian use survey we characterize use of birds in the project boundary. We can always make generalizations about fly-aways and moving through river corridors, but, you know, bird migration is something that we're always learning more about too.
- Q. Did you do any kind of a study at all on the migratory patterns of our county?
- A. Our avian use survey that focused on avian use in the project boundary.
 - Q. It's kind of hard to hear.
 - A. Oh, sorry. Is that better?
- Q. Over here it, for some reason, it's like dead space.
- A. Oh, well, just our avian use survey which focused on avian use patterns within the project boundary.
 - Q. Okay. So general, general bird patterns?
- A. Uh-huh.

- Q. So you're not aware of large groups of migratory birds in the winter coming through here?
- A. Yeah. Similar to most of the cropland in Illinois, you know, large groups of geese and things like that move around. During our avian use surveys we pick up a lot of

things that are likely just migrating through, you know, warblers that don't nest here but nest far up north, that kind of thing.

Q. Yeah. Well, they wouldn't have a lot of nests or anything if they're just going through four or five days so I can see why that you wouldn't be aware of something like that.

But it's -- it is a, it is a pretty good migratory area because of the fact that we've got the drainage ditches just, you know, every mile or two so they got plenty of water. You've got all of residue left from the fields so they've got plenty of food. And they are here, and I'm just wondering what kind of studies -- I mentioned -- somebody said that there was some information in things that we couldn't see about migratory issues and I just wondered if that was a, was a problem that you thought of.

I've seen -- I've only lived here for about
25 years. But in that period of time there'll be years on
our farm where we won't have any migratory birds. And
there have been -- there was -- there have been at least
twice where we've had thousands and thousands and
thousands. And, and it just seems to me that there's an
awful -- that it may be a spotty type of thing and there's
not a consistent type of thing, but it is, it is something

1	that I think should have been studied
2	MR. KEYT: Ma'am, is there
3	Q for our county.
4	MR. KEYT: I'm not trying to cut you off,
5	but there's a fine line here between giving testimony
6	MS. DILLAVOU: Okay.
7	MR. KEYT: and asking a question. But
8	if you have a question
9	MS. DILLAVOU: No, I don't have it. I, I
10	think he's answered my questions that
11	MR. KEYT: Okay.
12	MS. DILLAVOU: Okay.
13	MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other members of
14	the public that have questions for Mr. Brown?
15	Please come up, sir.
16	MR. MCKANIC: Dennis McKanic.
17	D-e-n-n-i-s M-c-K-a-n-i-c.
18	EXAMINATION
19	BY MR. MCKANIC:
20	Q. For lack of a better words, would you say you're a
21	scientist?
22	A. Yes.
23	Q. Would you agree that animals are more sensitive to
24	vibration and sound than humans are?

A. In some cases certain kinds of animals, yes.

- Q. So in your professional opinion do you think that the sound or vibrations coming from wind turbines would affect or just cause stress on the animals or it may affect breeding or migration or anything like that?
- A. I'm not, I'm not aware of studies looking at the effects of vibration on --
- Q. I'm just thinking, you know, 'cause there's farmers that have livestock, you know. And if the breeding is altered, that ultimately is going to affect their livelihood.
- A. I guess I just -- I would have to say that I'm not a, not a veterinarian and I don't, I don't really -- I'm not aware of studies of the effects of vibration on, on animals.
 - Q. Okay. All right. Thanks.

MR. KEYT: Thank you, sir.

Any other questions from members of the public? I thought I saw a few hands up.

Okay. Come on up.

MS. COIL: Claudia Coil.

EXAMINATION

BY MS. COIL:

Q. As a biologist would you say that any loss of

habitat has a negative impact on the environment?

A. Can, can you repeat the question?

2.1

- Q. As a biologist would you say any loss of habitat has a negative impact on the environment?
- A. I would say yes. From, from a development perspective, you know, basically any sort of development has potential to negatively affect the environment. And there are agencies like the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service and the Illinois DNR that, that basically produce the guidelines for us to study and try to determine what's acceptable and what -- how we should avoid impacts as much as possible.
- Q. Would you say there's need for better and continued studies?
- A. I, I think, yes, we can always increase our understanding of those impacts.
- Q. When you're talking about the main species and birds that you're following, they seem to be more in the endangered-type area. Do you study the impact on all other wildlife in this area?
- A. The studies are mostly limited to species that have laws protecting them, the rare species.
- Q. Would you say that the other wildlife can be impacted possibly, potentially?

A. Yes. Yeah, wildlife can be impacted by any kind of development.

- Q. Okay. Is there any way that you assess the economic impact that loss of bats would have for the agricultural community through, you know, like increase of pesticides, lack of pollination, other than just the number of bats that died? How do you assess the impact it has on the agricultural community?
- A. There have been some studies that extrapolate that based on how many bats, you know, how many insects bats eat and that sort of thing. Really, it's just a handful of, of studies, and it's mostly the direct impacts to the bats that we try to avoid, sort of worry about what you can worry about.
- Q. Okay. My last question has to do with -- and this isn't a construction question -- is on the blade erosion that happens. There's more articles lately on the microand nanoparticles that are constantly being eroded from blades, and these have this federal A or BTA in them because of the epoxy and plastics that are used in the blade. What impact do you think that would have on groundwater and streams for wildlife?
- A. I'm not a, not a toxicologist. I think that might be a better question for someone with an engineering

background. 1 2 Would you agree that if BPA that has negative 3 effects on biology? 4 Α. I don't know. Q. Okay. All right. Thank you. 5 MR. KEYT: Okay. Anyone else with 6 7 questions? 8 Okay. Please come up. 9 MS. STALTER: Lori Stalter. L-o-r-i. 10 S-t-a-l-t-e-r. 11 EXAMINATION 12 BY MS. STALTER: 13 So I asked Ms. Geiger where the eagles' nests were 14 that you had found. She said that they were not -- they 15 were outside of the area. Where were the eagle nests? 16 The closest one was south of the project area. I Α. 17 don't want to share exact locations of eagle nests because 18 they're a sensitive, protected resource, but it was south 19 of the project area more than 2.4 miles. 20 Q. Okay. Did you check in DeWitt County? 2.1 We checked within two miles of the project Α. 22 boundary, so if that extended into DeWitt County we did. 23 Okay. Because we've had, we've had eagles that Ο.

have come to our lake and there isn't a nest anywhere close

to our lake so I -- my understanding is that there is a nest that is over in DeWitt County but the eagles are willing to fly from there to our house or to our lake. And I'm concerned, as other members, about our bald eagles and would hate to see something happen to a pair of our bald eagles that are very rare in our area.

So do you think we should be concerned about the fact that these turbines could impact a very small portion of our wildlife but a portion that we enjoy because they are so rare?

- A. That's what our surveys focus on is trying to site the turbines in places where they'll have the least amount of impact on rare wildlife, and these are mostly sited in cropland. Most bald eagle movements are gonna be along large water courses. They will move around in the winter after roadkill or carrion. So, you know, if in the future there was a bald eagle fatality, the project operators would meet with U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service to come up with additional adaptive management strategies, like picking up roadkill and keeping those away from turbines, things like that. But I do agree that that's what we do most of the these surveys for.
- Q. But do you, do you come out for an hour, did you say, once a month or once every three months? I didn't

catch that.

- A. Once a month, an hour at each point. And I forget how many points there were in this particular project area. Probably 20 or -- I can look it up but, you know, 20 or 30 points. So it's -- it does turn out to be hundreds of hours over the course of two years.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. It's 50 points, sorry.
- Q. You said you have 25 years of experience. And my question is since you started this 25 years ago doing these studies and such, have you seen, have you seen or found ecological problems with wind turbines? And I'm assuming things have improved, but have there been problems?
- A. Well, I said our company, West, has been working on wind --
 - Q. Oh, not you personally?
- A. -- and wildlife for 25 years. I've been working as a biologist for over 15 years.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. So that, that is why we continue to do these post-construction monitoring surveys is there there's potential for projects to impact bats and eagles. And there have been stories along the way that have led to changes in the way that turbines are sited. And as I was

- talking about with the deterrence studies, we are continually trying to improve to avoid those impacts.
 - O. There have been?
 - A. Yes.

- Q. So the sample of birds, the number of birds that have been killed by flying into buildings, I'm assuming that includes large cities?
- A. Yes. The reason that number is so high is because it includes big --
 - Q. Tall buildings?
 - A. -- glass-covered buildings.
- Q. Tall buildings, large cities, yeah. Where as in Piatt County, what is our tallest building?
- 14 MR. HARRINGTON: Probably an elevator.
 - Q. This one? So, I mean, I had a bird fly into my window yesterday but it shook it off and flew off so, yeah.

I also, I also question the concern of Apex and other wind turbine companies, you know, their concern about wildlife. You know, I question whether or not there is true concern about blue herons and loons and swans and ducks and the pelicans that come through every once in a while. And I see them rarely but they do come through.

MR. GERSHON: Can you clarify what the

1 question is? 2 MR. KEYT: Yeah. Ms. Stalter, at some 3 point --4 MS. STALTER: I know. 5 MR. KEYT: -- you'll have an opportunity to present evidence, but you need to get to a question --6 7 MS. STALTER: Right. You are right. 8 MR. KEYT: -- for Mr. Brown. I'm assuming you have -- did you ever see any of 9 Ο. 10 those migrating birds come through in your one hour in any 11 different zones? 12 Yes. We -- I can come up with a --Α. 13 I mean, you named basically the Sandpiper and 14 eagles and hawks? 15 Α. Yes. I focused on the rare species that come 16 through, but we also see herons, geese, all the typical 17 birds that you probably see around your home, and we 18 recorded those. 19 Do you know how, how far off the ground do the 20 blades come? I mean, the tip of the blades is how far 21 above the ground? 22 Α. I think it's 610 feet. 23 Q. At the top?

Isn't that, isn't that what you said?

24

Α.

- Q. I want to know how far it is off of the --
- 2 A. Oh, the --

- Q. -- the bottom. When it hits the low point, how far is that above the ground?
 - A. Seventy-eight feet.
 - Q. Seventy-eight feet. Okay.

MR. GERSHON: If I can clarify, that's, that's directly from our prior submittals that you've received.

- Q. And will you, will you agree that that the damage done is irreversible? Even Ms. Geiger said -- I believe it was her that said -- you know, time will tell. And if that's true, the damage will have been done?
- A. If, if there were species here that were just completely killed off by the project, I could agree with that. But they're gonna meet each year to talk about any adaptive management strategies that need to be put into place if there's, you know, an impact on wildlife. And the common, mostly common species that we observed, are capable of reproducing. So the damage wouldn't be permanent in that case.
- Q. Okay. Two eagles all we've ever seen. Two eagles. Thank you.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions

from members of the public for Mr. Brown? 1 2 Okay. Come on up, ma'am. 3 MS. RYAN: My name again? 4 MR. KEYT: Yes, please. 5 MS. RYAN: Susan Ryan. 6 EXAMINATION 7 BY MS. RYAN: 8 I asked this question of the previous witness. Ο. 9 you aware of the Mahomet aquifer? 10 I am aware that it exists. Α. 11 So the Mahomet aguifer is our sole-source aguifer Ο. 12 and so you didn't know that it's serves over 500,000 -- I'm 13 asking a question -- 500,000 people in central Illinois? 14 And am I understanding that, at least we were told, that it 15 was above this turbine complex -- or, sorry -- the aquifer 16 is below this wind tower complex? 17 MR. GERSHON: Just to repeat. 18 construction witness, who will be here next week, will 19 discuss the aquifer. 20 MR. KEYT: Well, I think Mr. Brown can answer the question even if that answer I don't know. 21 22 That's --23 I was assuming that since you were talking about 24 waterways and the ground water that --

MR. KEYT: Well, hold on. You have a 2 question pending.

Mr. Brown, if you can answer the question, you may. If you don't know, I don't know is a fine answer as well.

Α. I don't know.

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- You don't know if the Mahomet aquifer is below this Q. complex?
- Α. I conducted wildlife studies for the project so that's not my area of expertise.
- Okay. So any questions having to do with the Q. aquifer, you're not able to answer; is that correct?
 - Α. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. Thank you.

You said that there was a study for three years, post-construction, about studying the wildlife around the area; is that correct?

- That's a post-construction mortality monitoring, studying what impacts may come from the project.
- So -- I know it's been touched on, but I'm confused. What, what are the consequences of what you study for three years, that post-construction, when these turbines are already built?
- Well, for example, if there was an eagle fatality Α. or a state- or federally-listed species found as a fatality

then that would be reported to the agencies usually within one business day, and at that point there would need to be — in consultation with the agencies, there would be adaptive management strategies, whatever that might be, like making sure roadkill is not in the project or look at getting a take permit, which is a whole other process for the project so —

- Q. The take permit basically allows you to kill the wildlife; is that, is that -- I don't know. Is that what I'm understanding?
- A. It's a process where you get a permit to take a certain amount of wildlife in exchange for agreeing to abide by certain conservation measures and also mitigate for habitat impacts.
- Q. So what would be the conservation measures that would be taken with a completed wind turbine complex that's already going for three years and more?
- A. It would, it would have to be developed in, in association with the agencies to come up with what that might specifically look like, and it would depend on what species you were talking about.
- Q. So my understanding that currently there's really no consequences to killing wildlife from, you know, even though you're studying it? You study it but you don't

really -- there aren't any consequences to like, oh, hey, we need to shut these down, that's -- that wouldn't happen?

A. No. That could potentially happen.

2.1

- Q. Has that ever happened in your knowledge?
- A. That has happened at projects in the past that I can think of.
 - O. Just individual turbines are shut down?
- A. I can think of one project where the whole project was shut down.
- Q. Okay. I guess that kind of brings me to the curtailment feathering, I guess it -- is that what it's called, curtailment? I understood there was a 50% reduction in deaths when that is done?
- A. It varies by bat species, and we're continually getting better information on that. It could range from -- the most recent study I saw was between 33 and 79%.

 There's a lot of variables there.
- Q. Okay. So we were told that there is data to support this reduction that was, that was caused because of curtailment. Do you have the data for that?
- A. The most recent information I have comes from a report that summarizes eight studies. And in those studies the average reduction in bat mortality from curtailing up to five meters per second was 62%, with a range of 33 to

1 79%. 2 Q

- Q. So 50% reduction if it's like 10,000 bats and 50% is down to, you know, 5000 bats that aren't killed or are killed. That's still significant, isn't it?
- A. That sounds like it would, in the case you cited, sounds like it would be significant.
- Q. Yeah. I, I -- I'm not hearing any data numbers about how many are actually killed.

MR. GERSHON: Can you provide the reference for the source for the numbers you're providing?

MS. RYAN: I'm sorry. What?

MR. GERSHON: You just identified a number of kills and the impact on it. Can you provide what is the source for that?

MS. RYAN: I don't have those numbers, but we were told that there was data to support this reduction number. I don't -- we were told that. We were told there's data. I threw out a number because I have no idea. But you guys are the experts.

MR. KEYT: Hold on. Hold on. Hold on. We're not gonna argue back and forth. I believe what she was doing is coming up with a hypothetical --

MS. RYAN: Yeah.

MR. KEYT: -- numbers, correct?

1	MS. RYAN: Because I don't
2	MR. KEYT: as opposed to referencing a
3	specific report or something like that?
4	MS. RYAN: Yeah. I have no idea.
5	MR. KEYT: Okay. With that said, go on
6	with your next question.
7	MS. RYAN: I guess that's all I've got.
8	I had some questions about the aquifer, but I guess that
9	will have to wait for the construction guy. Thank you.
10	MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you, ma'am.
11	Any other questions from members of the public for
12	this witness?
13	Okay. Mr. Gallagher, please come up.
14	After Mr. Gallagher are there any is there
15	anyone else after Mr. Gallagher?
16	Okay.
17	MR. GALLAGHER: Bill Gallagher. B-i-l-l
18	G-a-l-l-a-g-h-e-r.
19	EXAMINATION
20	BY MR. GALLAGHER:
21	Q. Mr. Brown, you referenced a project that was shut
22	down because of a kill situation.
23	A. Yes.
24	Q. Could you tell us some details about that. You

- 1 said you knew about it.
- 2 A. I believe that was the Mountaineer.
 - O. Pardon me.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

17

18

19

20

- A. I believe that was the Mountaineer wind project in West Virginia.
 - Q. What exactly happened? What species was affected?
 - A. That's a project that was in a forested area of Appalachia and that was the Indiana bat in that situation. There were no protective measures that -- at that point in
- Q. Was there a study done prior to that wind farm being sited?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. It would have been required, right?

time. It was an earlier era.

- 15 A. Or recommended, yes.
- Q. Recommended.
 - So that was a big deal. So they shut it clear down; is that right? Is that what you said?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. For how long?
- 21 A. I don't know.
- Q. Not sure?
- A. I'm not sure. I'd have to go back and --
- Q. Not sure if they shut it down completely and never

1 started back up?

- A. I believe it operates today, but I think it was shut down for a period of time.
- Q. Okay. Back to the eagle, what's the significance of the 2.4, 2.5 miles of no nests?
- A. It's -- generally the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service recommends that you survey out to two miles from the project boundary just to try to figure out what eagle use is like in the area. If, if there were nests closer you might do some additional studies.

In general, projects are recommended to try to avoid -- it's -- the latest information from the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service that I've seen is for a project to avoid eagle nests by at least half-a-mile.

- Q. Eagles travel quite a distance, though, to feed throughout the day; is that correct?
 - A. That's true.
- Q. It's not like two-and-a-half miles is only their range, that's all they travel?
- A. That's true, but usually, you know, along rivers and --
 - Q. Creeks, yeah?
- A. -- things likes that.
- Q. Okay. All right.

That's all I have. Thank you. MR. KEYT: Thank you, sir. I believe there was one other person in the back. MR. CHAMBERS: My name is Bob Chambers. It's B-o-b C-h-a-m-b-e-r-s. EXAMINATION BY MR. BOB CHAMBERS:

Q. Mr. Brown, I haven't heard anyone say what the wing -- or the blade tip -- speed is on these turbines, but I gather that they're 550 feet in diameter. And if they go 10 RPM, I calculate that out to approximately 196 miles per hour.

My question is can an eagle or a hawk avoid turbine blades going nearly 200 miles per hour?

- A. Well, typically they can they can see it and not fly into it most of the time. In terms of whether or not they can fly faster than that, that's unlikely unless it's, you know, a diving peregrine falcon.
- Q. Well, they typically just glide around and end up in the blades' path, don't they?
 - A. Potentially, if they don't see it and avoid it.
- Q. I farm and where my house is next to my farmland I have seen seagulls and Canada geese on this piece of property. And you said Canada geese typically are high

altitude. So between high altitude and my farmland, they have to come down through what would be the height of those wind turbines. So they would be vulnerable to getting hit there; is that correct?

- A. To some extent. We don't typically find many waterfowl -- you guys have that AWWI report that has a good citation. Waterfowl typically aren't found as fatalities very often in wind farms.
- Q. Okay. Well, the seagulls I've seen they would have had to come either from the Clinton power plant lake or from Lake Decatur. So to get to my farm from those areas they would be flying directly through this project; is that correct?
 - A. Potentially. I'm not sure exactly how they fly.
- Q. Let's see. I have one more question. Oh, you mentioned there's a three-year after-the-construction study, and I kind of wondered what the point of that study is because if -- well, you did say one farm was shut down because of bats. I really don't -- do you really think that this company would shut a project down if your study showed that there was a widespread damage to wildlife?
- A. Well, the results of those studies will be reviewed with the agencies that, that manage and oversee the wildlife.

- Q. Okay. You mentioned a number of birds that were killed by cats. Do you remember what that number was?
 - A. I believe it's 2.4 billion.

- Q. Okay. And what size are those birds that cats typically kill?
- A. Largely small birds, passerines, but some larger birds.
 - Q. Nothing near the size of eagles or hawks?
 - A. Yeah, that would be unlikely.
- Q. And then there was a term called kill rate. So rate leads me to think that that's a value or numerical value. So what is an acceptable level kill rate for Goose Creek Wind considered is okay?
- A. There is not a specific value. It would be, you know, if something was observed where it was outside the range of what's typically seen at most other wind farms by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, that would be cause for concern.
- Q. Well, by saying it's a kill rate, rate means a number to me. So is that like one hawk per turbine per day, or what is that rate? What does -- what's indicated in that rate?
- A. Well, if there are certain species where they're listed or protected like eagles where even one would be a

- big issue. But for the other species it's really up to the
 U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.
 - Q. Okay. Did you mention a 300,000 figure for livestock for wildlife that were damaged or destroyed in a year?
 - A. I think I'm citing a U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service web page, and that -- the number they list, it was between 2 and 300,000 --
 - Q. So is that an acceptable number for dead wildlife?
 - A. -- 234,000 per year. It's not my position to judge what's acceptable. It's just -- that's -- those are the numbers reported by the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.
 - Q. As a biologist, that's a substantial number of birds, would you say?
 - A. Not when I put it in the context of 2.4 billion lost to cats.
 - Q. Tame, tame birds maybe?
 - A. What's that?
 - Q. Those are small birds lost to cats?
- 20 A. Yeah.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. All right. That's all I have.
- MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you, sir.
- Any other questions for Mr. Brown from members of the public? Going once, going twice.

Seeing none, hearing none, any questions 1 Okav. 2 from Piatt County staff and consultants? Seeing none, any redirect from you, Mr. 3 Okav. 4 Gershon? 5 MR. GERSHON: Just to clarify a couple of 6 issues. 7 REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 BY MR. GERSHON: 9 Ο. 10

- Q. There were a number of questions which relate to the surveys that are done by the project. Can you confirm what the primary purpose is of all of the state agencies that required surveys is to allow placement of turbines to avoid impacting habitat?
- A. Yes, that's true.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Part of that was a question related to impact on geese nesting areas. Would those surveys also direct how turbines are located to avoid geese nesting areas?
- A. In -- well, not really. In a large goose nesting area here, other than some of the resident species, but, yes, if there were nesting areas, that would be picked up in the avian use survey.
- Q. We talked about the buffer for bald eagles nests. Has there recently -- and tell me again what the current buffer is in our project.

A. Over 2.4 miles.

- Q. And has there been recent guidance from U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service suggesting that a half-mile setback from bald eagles nests is adequate?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. So are we, therefore, significantly in excess of what U.S. Fish & Wildlife Survey (sic) would recommend?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I wanted to clarify, again, the number of points in which studies were done. Is it, is it accurate that there are over 1200 hours of observations done in only the first two years of observations of the project?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And that doesn't count the observations we'll be doing for three years after the project?
- A. That's true. It'll be a different kind of survey, but yes.
- Q. There was a fair question and a fair concern that once constructed it's hard to change what's occurred.

 Again, you mentioned a number of items here as to what that's done. The most extreme was shutting down an entire wind farm.

Can you identify whether the developer gets to decide how to curtail that, or is that done in consultation

with the agencies and the laws that respect that?

- A. That's done in consultation with the agencies that are in charge of that.
- Q. There was also some question -- and I think maybe it was early on so people didn't realize that there were years of studies done -- but do you do bird counts during all seasons, winter, summer breeding, that -- I guess first that question. Do you do studies during all those periods?
 - A. Yes, every month for two years.
- Q. And while you, in accordance with the law concentrate on those that are actually protected, do you -- protected species -- do you also count all other birds species that you identify that you see?
- A. Yes. During the first year we look at all birds.

 And then during the second year we tend to focus on eagles,
 which are the main, the main focus of the study. But we
 record all large birds in the second year.
- Q. Is the project required to be in compliance with the Migratory Bird Treaty Act?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

Q. We identified earlier the 70,000 acres that's included in this project. For the record, the Piatt County's website indicates there are 279,600 acres in Piatt County. Are you aware that this project only impacts

approximately 25 acres of farmland for all of the turbines and improvements?

A. Yes.

- Q. And when you talked about other forms of development that could also impact birds and habitat species, would that include all of those 279,680 acres in the county, development on those acres?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. You discussed the project -- I apologize. I did not get the name of it -- but the project that was shut down for a portion of time. Are you aware that that project may have predated U.S. Fish & Wildlife survey land-based wind energy guidelines that this project is required to follow?
 - A. That is, that is likely true.
- Q. And that that would include the obligation to do the types of bird studies that we're doing?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And just to emphasize again the -- there are numerous ways from the curtailment -- you've identified numerous ways from curtailment to full shutdown of what can be required. But, again, that's not a decision by the -- can you confirm that that is not a decision by the developer or the operator of the wind farm; that's a

decision done in consultation with the state agencies? 1 2 Α. That's, that's correct. 3 MR. GERSHON: No further questions. 4 Thank you. 5 MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you. Any other questions from any members of the Zoning 6 Board of Appeals? 7 8 Okay. Mr. Harrington. 9 EXAMINATION 10 BY MR. HARRINGTON: 11 So it's been a big topic here, right, the adaptive Q. 12 management strategies and the post-construction 13 surveillance, right. So do I take it correctly that your 14 firm would be conducting that post-construction, or is that 15 assumption incorrect? Could be. It's -- there are a number of different 16 Α. 17 companies who do this kind of work, and I don't, I don't 18 know who it would end up being. 19 I guess while I ask that you have served in that 20 function for other projects? 2.1 Yes, we conduct those studies --Α. 22 Q. Right. 23 -- a lot. Α. 24 So in your experience doing that essentially it's Q.

up to you as the surveyor to call out the issue, correct, if you see one, 'cause there wouldn't really be anybody else watching, right?

- A. Well, I am aware that on some projects U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service does do site visits, but it would be our responsibility, or the operator's, to notify the agencies in most cases.
- Q. Gotcha. So, so, I guess, just trying to provide some clarity in the case that, you know, that did happen, you know, I think you guys are trying to make a point of who's actually the judge and jury there, and I think, I think that's probably a key point, right. And obviously you're in consultation with IDNR or U.S. Fish & Wildlife, but you still are the boots on the ground in that case, right, 'cause I'm sure U.S. Fish & Wildlife have a very broad swatch to cover so --
- A. Yeah. Our company does provide the boots on the ground and we're required to report those very quickly to the agencies.
- Q. Right. When it comes to the consultation and presumptively you look at adaptive management strategies, is it the company and the state and federal agencies that are conferring, or is the county involved at all?
 - A. It may vary by county, but I think it's typically

the company and the agencies. 1 2 Right. I think that's the way I interpret it also. That may be all I have. 3 4 MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you, sir. 5 Any other questions from members of the Zoning Board of Appeals? 6 7 MR. CHAMBERS: I've got one to add. 8 EXAMINATION 9 BY MR. CHAMBERS: 10 We talked earlier about the process for applying Q. 11 for takes for, for eagles, for example. Is it true that 12 they -- there's already been applications for takes in 13 relation to this project? 14 I'm, I'm not really familiar with how exactly 15 they're, they're handling their permitting process. would be a question for the developer. 16 17 MR. GERSHON: If you would permit, my 18 client has confirmed that we have not requested any take 19 permits for birds on this project. 20 There, there's no preemptive application for those? Q. 21 MR. GERSHON: Correct, no preemptive bird 22 takes. 23 Thank you. MR. CHAMBERS: 24 MR. GERSHON: And, again, to review as

was said before, the whole reason we do these studies and locate is to avoid that.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Any other questions from members of the zoning board?

MR. CHAMBERS: That was all.

MR. KEYT: All right. Mr. Brown, you're

excused and released, subject to recall by the zoning board.

But with that, Mr. Gershon, we have gone through the two witnesses we plan to go through tonight. Can you give us and the public a list of who you plan to call next at the December 6th hearing then?

MR. GERSHON: On December 6th we anticipate calling Dr. Jonathan Rogers with Persimia, LLC. This zoning board is familiar with him. He testified previously as part of the text amendment hearings regarding ice and blade throw issues. And in that respect, I would like to submit -- is this 9 -- I'd like to submit what will be Exhibit 18, which is an ice shatter and blade throw risk assessment study done by Persimia dated as of November 28th, 2022, which he will be presenting.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you.

MR. GERSHON: And the reason we're bringing in this new expert to testify before you is to

address the concerns that were raised about ice throw occurring over, I think it was identified, as up to a one-to two-mile area. Which, since it's not physically possible, given gravity and the energy generated, we wanted to have him testify to, you know, give some comfort level as to what actually occurs on ice and blade throw.

We would also have Jason Conley here again to hopefully this time testify on safety, and Adam Carlson to testify on construction issues.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Thank you.

So the three witnesses planned for December 6th are Dr. Jonathan Rogers, who will testify about ice and blade throw; Jason Conley. Who will testify about safety; and Adam, who will testify about construction, correct?

MR. GERSHON: Correct.

MR. KEYT: Okay. Sounds good.

We are in recess until Tuesday. We are in recess until Tuesday, December 6th, at p.m. I believe we're right back here in this room. Yep, we will be right back here in this room.

If you see Mr. Kains, make sure to reference that we got through two witnesses tonight as opposed to his average of one. So we'll stand in recess.

MR. GERSHON: Thank you very much.

1	
1	(WHEREUPON, the proceedings
2	were adjourned for the evening.)
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Tammy Greenfield, CSR# 084-004214, an Official Court
Reporter for the Circuit Court of DeWitt County, Sixth
Judicial Circuit of Illinois, reported in machine shorthand
the proceedings had in the above-entitled cause and
transcribed the same by computer-aided transcription, which
I hereby certify to be a true and accurate transcript of
the proceedings had before the Piatt County Zoning Board of
Appeals.

19 Dated this 15th day

20 of January, 2023.

Official Court Reporter

6	2022 [3] - 1:4, 23:23,	6:30 [1] - 17:20	24:13, 25:14, 25:16,	agency [2] - 11:15,
\$	112:22	6th [4] - 112:13,	38:7, 47:17	63:22
\$120,000 [1] - 17:4	2023 [1] - 115:20	112:14, 113:12,	account [2] - 34:18,	ago [4] - 76:16, 76:21,
\$300,000 [1] - 17:2	2023-24 [1] - 23:17	113:19	40:17	77:3, 89:10
\$70,000 [1] - 17:8	22 [2] - 7:6, 47:24	_	accounted [1] - 70:2	agree [9] - 10:13,
	234,000 [1] - 104:11	7	accurate [4] - 40:7,	12:19, 74:19, 74:23,
•	25 [7] - 58:10, 58:21,	7 [1] - 50:22	40:12, 106:11, 115:8 acre [2] - 13:11, 65:4	83:23, 87:2, 88:21, 92:10, 92:15
'21 [2] - 31:24, 49:16	82:18, 89:9, 89:10, 89:17, 108:2	70 [1] - 62:21	acres [5] - 107:22,	agreeance [2] - 11:1,
'em [1] - 25:9	250,000 % [1] - 55:18	70,000 [2] - 65:4,	107:24, 108:2,	40:5
'ya [3] - 25:18, 32:13,	279,600 [1] - 107:24	107:22	108:7, 108:8	agreed [7] - 4:9, 13:1,
76:12	279,680 [1] - 108:7	70,000-acre [3] - 61:6,	Act [11] - 9:17, 9:18,	13:8, 35:12, 63:9,
	28th [1] - 112:22	62:22, 65:16	11:19, 13:15, 13:17,	63:20, 64:8
0		79% [2] - 96:16, 97:1	15:3, 15:4, 16:1,	agreed-upon [1] -
094 004244 11512	3	7:05 [1] - 48:14	107:20	13:1
084-004214 [1] - 115:3	2 m 22.11 64.0	7:10 [2] - 48:15, 48:18	actively [1] - 39:17	agreeing [1] - 95:12
1	3 [2] - 33:11, 64:9 3.7% [3] - 61:5, 61:6,	7:25 [1] - 57:6 7:35 [1] - 57:7	activities [2] - 35:1, 35:3	agreement [1] - 12:18 agricultural [5] -
	62:23	7.00 [i] * 07.7	activity [1] - 78:9	39:17, 60:11, 62:20,
1 [3] - 12:23, 65:8,	30 [1] - 89:5	8	Acts [1] - 46:19	86:5, 86:8
66:14	30% [2] - 18:21, 76:5		actual [5] - 26:9,	ahead [3] - 48:22,
1% [5] - 18:21, 61:12,	30,000 [1] - 43:24	800-meter [3] - 76:4,	28:13, 41:3, 50:7,	68:13, 68:19
61:19, 62:14, 62:22	300,000 [3] - 80:22,	76:10, 76:13	70:9	aided [1] - 115:7
1.2% [1] - 62:9	104:4, 104:9	87% [1] - 22:16	Adam [6] - 1:16,	Alan [2] - 1:18, 54:23
10 [1] - 101:12 10,000 [1] - 97:2	31st [1] - 35:2	0	51:13, 51:14, 79:11,	allegiance [1] - 3:3
11.185 [1] - 22:13	33 [2] - 96:16, 96:24	9	113:9, 113:15	Allegience [1] - 3:5
11/29/2022 [1] - 1:4	350 [1] - 50:1	9 [1] - 112:19	adaptive [6] - 63:16, 88:19, 92:17, 95:4,	allow [2] - 46:16, 105:13
12 [1] - 62:1	4	9:00 [1] - 1:4	109:12, 110:22	allows [1] - 95:8
1200 [1] - 106:12	4		add [2] - 65:19, 111:8	almost [1] - 62:22
13th [1] - 115:19	4 [1] - 33:11	Α	addition [1] - 63:8	alone [2] - 26:20,
14 [2] - 2:6, 5:23	40% [1] - 71:7	-hd04.40	additional [4] - 11:2,	27:18
15 [8] - 2:7, 31:18,	400 [1] - 49:18	abandoned [1] - 61:18	28:4, 88:19, 100:11	altered [1] - 84:10
32:5, 48:8, 48:15,	400-foot [1] - 50:1	abide [2] - 28:22, 95:13	address [4] - 7:15,	altitude [2] - 102:2
48:24, 58:18, 89:18 15th [2] - 35:2, 35:5	404 [1] - 15:24	able [7] - 4:8, 4:14,	8:8, 49:24, 113:2	altitudes [1] - 80:16
16 [2] - 2:8, 57:18	45 [1] - 14:3	21:4, 53:13, 67:11,	adequate [1] - 106:5 adjourned [1] - 114:3	amber [2] - 32:18,
17 [2] - 2:9, 67:21	5	70:14, 94:11	adjust [1] - 36:2	32:24 amendment [1] -
18 [1] - 112:20	3	above-entitled [1] -	administration [1] -	112:17
196 [1] - 101:12	50 [3] - 22:16, 58:22,	115:6	7:4	American [2] - 31:12,
	89:8	above-ground [1] -	Admitted [1] - 2:5	31:21
2	50% [7] - 18:21, 22:21,	51:4 absence [2] - 4:10,	adverse [1] - 14:15	Americans [1] - 70:22
2 _[2] - 80:22, 104:9	36:5, 36:11, 96:12,	4:13	affect [4] - 84:4,	amount [2] - 88:12,
2 [2] - 80.22, 104.9 2.4 [11] - 11:12, 21:17,	97:2 500,000 [4] - 44:11,	absent [1] - 38:5	84:10, 85:7	95:12
22:2, 28:10, 62:21,	44:14, 93:12, 93:13	absolutely [1] - 67:18	affected [2] - 73:22, 99:7	analysis [3] - 5:17,
80:22, 87:19, 100:6,	5000 [1] - 97:3	academia [1] - 7:15	99.7 afraid [1] - 41:6	40:21, 64:22 Andrew [1] - 1:14
103:4, 104:16, 106:2	550 [1] - 101:11	academics [1] - 7:7	after-the-	andy [1] - 57:3
2.4-miles [1] - 22:7	599 [2] - 80:20	accept [1] - 8:3	construction [1] -	Andy [5] - 1:8, 4:18,
2.5 [1] - 100:6	_	acceptable [5] -	102:17	6:1, 6:5, 8:1
20 [2] - 89:4	6	18:13, 85:11, 103:13, 104:10,	agencies [24] - 8:15,	animals [6] - 55:11,
200 [1] - 101:15 2000 [1] - 31:23	6.711 [2] - 21:11,	104:12	10:7, 25:24, 40:9,	58:23, 83:23, 84:1,
2000 [1] - 31:23 2001 [1] - 31:22	22:12	accepted [4] - 8:5,	46:17, 58:13, 63:15,	84:4, 84:15
2017 [1] - 44:3	60 [1] - 58:20	59:4, 59:6, 59:8	63:19, 63:20, 85:8, 95:1, 95:3, 95:19,	answer [19] - 21:4, 22:15, 25:1, 27:13,
2019 [5] - 10:8, 23:15,	600-foot [1] - 50:1	accidentally [1] -	102:24, 105:12,	29:5, 29:9, 39:8,
23:20, 59:12, 60:6	610 [1] - 91:22	29:24	107:2, 107:3, 109:2,	45:13, 45:18, 50:3,
2021 [5] - 23:22,	62% [1] - 96:24	accordance [4] - 26:1,	110:7, 110:20,	50:12, 54:12, 72:5,
31:24, 32:2, 60:7,	6:01 [1] - 1:4	39:23, 40:4, 107:11	110:23, 111:2	79:12, 93:21, 94:3,
77:4	6:23 [1] - 17:19	accordingly [6] -	Agency [1] - 13:16	94:4, 94:11

answered [2] - 36:1, 83:10 answers [2] - 33:18, 53.7 anticipate [1] - 112:15 **APEX** [1] - 1:17 Apex [5] - 7:1, 7:20, 16:7, 16:11, 90:17 Apex's [2] - 6:4, 16:5 apologies [3] - 20:3, 32:1, 32:3 apologize [2] - 22:11, 108:10 Appalachia [1] - 99:9 Appeals [9] - 4:4, 18:1, 22:24, 38:16, 54:16, 74:8, 109:8, 111:7, 115:10 **Appendix** [7] - 12:15, 12:21, 12:23, 33:11, 65:8, 66:13, 67:3 applicant [1] - 5:15 **APPLICANT**[3] - 1:15, 1:17, 2:2 APPLICANT'S [1] -2:5 application [4] - 4:5, 12:14, 66:14, 111:21 APPLICATION[1] applications [1] -111:13 applying [1] - 111:11 appoint [2] - 4:12, 4:16 appreciate [1] - 68:11 appreciated [1] approach [5] - 7:22, 8:8, 9:8, 9:13, 34:12 appropriate [8] - 9:6, 9:11, 9:22, 10:14, 11:22, 15:24, 28:19, 61:11 approval [1] - 46:16 **approvals** [1] - 46:18 approved [3] - 13:8, 19:12, 40:16 April [2] - 35:2, 35:5 aquifer [19] - 43:14, 43:17, 44:3, 44:10, 44:11, 44:12, 44:15, 45:23, 45:24, 50:24, 51:3, 93:9, 93:11, 93:15, 93:19, 94:6, 94:11, 98:8 archaeological [1] -13:24 archeological [2] -

13:23, 14:10

13:23, 14:2, 14:9 area [72] - 8:24, 10:1, 12:4, 16:17, 16:20, 19:10, 24:5, 26:21, 27:4, 29:2, 30:6, 33:6, 33:19, 34:3, 34:10, 41:1, 41:6, 43:10, 43:21, 44:2, 50:8, 50:11, 52:19, 52:20, 54:3, 59:15, 60:3, 60:13, 61:5, 61:12, 61:20, 61:22, 62:9, 62:14, 62:22, 64:19, 64:20, 65:2, 65:4, 65:15, 65:16, 65:17, 65:24, 66:3, 69:7, 70:11, 71:3, 75:5, 75:17, 76:4, 76:5, 76:10, 78:12, 79:7, 79:9, 82:9, 85:19, 85:20, 87:15, 87:16, 87:19, 88:6, 89:3, 94:9, 94:16, 99:8, 100:10, 105:20, 113:4 Areas [1] - 11:19 areas [15] - 13:21, 16:3, 23:24, 35:12, 52:22, 62:1, 62:3, 62:13, 63:1, 71:7, 76:8, 102:12, 105:17, 105:18, 105:21 argue [1] - 97:21 article [1] - 49:6 articles [1] - 86:17 assess [6] - 9:22, 39:21, 40:2, 40:14, 86:3, 86:7 assessed [1] - 10:9 assessing [1] - 41:5 assessment [10] -10:6, 11:21, 23:15, 30:23, 59:21, 59:22, 61:8, 61:17, 62:11, 112:21 assessments [9] -8:11, 9:21, 10:17, 12:7, 24:4, 25:8, 28:2, 40:7, 59:23 association [1] -95:19 assume [4] - 50:24, 75:16, 76:15, 77:1 assuming [9] - 24:19, 33:20, 38:6, 47:16, 49:16, 89:12, 90:6, 91:9. 93:23 assumption [6] -

architectural [3] -

37:16, 37:23, 38:9, 47:13, 63:13, 109:16 assumptions [2] -47:18, 77:14 attacks [1] - 74:17 attain [1] - 16:4 attending [1] - 4:6 attention [1] - 73:17 attenuates [1] - 72:12 Attorney [1] - 3:17 attorneys [1] - 38:21 audience [1] - 50:23 automated [1] - 72:18 available [7] - 9:21, 15:8, 31:2, 31:5, 55:15, 72:1, 72:21 average [2] - 96:23, 113:24 avian [13] - 10:16, 27:22, 39:22, 56:14, 59:19, 59:24, 81:3, 81:10, 81:16, 81:17, 81:24, 105:22 avoid [30] - 9:9, 9:10, 13:6, 13:8, 13:18, 15:16, 15:21, 15:23, 18:16, 35:1, 35:7, 39:15, 42:13, 55:3, 55:4, 63:1, 63:2, 70:6, 70:14, 73:23, 85:11, 86:13, 90:2, 100:13, 100:14, 101:14, 101:22, 105:14, 105:18, 112:3 avoidance [11] - 11:4, 12:19, 13:1, 13:3, 14:13, 33:13, 34:24, 35:18, 70:8, 70:10, 70:20 avoided [1] - 66:8 avoiding [2] - 35:9, 63.7 avoids [2] - 19:10, 63:22 awarded [4] - 16:21, 16:22, 16:24, 17:2 aware [13] - 30:14, 45:22, 74:2, 81:1, 81:20, 82:6, 84:6, 84:14, 93:9, 93:10, 108:1, 108:12, 110:5 aways [1] - 81:5

В

AWWI [2] - 70:5, 102:7

awful [1] - 82:23

B-r-o-w-n [1] - 58:5 **B4** [3] - 65:8, 66:13,

67:3 bachelor's [1] - 58:16 background [4] -6:21, 7:1, 71:23, 87:1 Bald [1] - 9:17 bald [22] - 11:12, 28:10, 28:12, 28:20, 29:1, 60:12, 60:20, 60:21, 62:20, 70:22, 71:5, 71:8, 73:7, 73:10, 75:13, 75:15, 88:4, 88:5, 88:14, 88:17, 105:23, 106:5 balds [1] - 28:22 ball [1] - 20:23 base [1] - 76:19 based [15] - 10:3, 11:23, 13:2, 13:22, 33:18, 34:10, 34:11, 48:3, 49:9, 49:17, 54:18, 77:8, 86:10, 108:14 baseline [1] - 52:4 basing [2] - 24:15, 24:16 **basis** [1] - 27:23 bat [26] - 10:19, 11:6, 17:3, 18:13, 29:18, 29:19, 30:1, 34:14, 36:3, 36:4, 36:22, 38:3, 39:7, 49:22, 53:5, 59:23, 61:8, 61:13, 62:16, 64:13, 74:11, 75:4, 78:24, 96:14, 96:23, 99:9 bats [35] - 10:18, 11:7, 11:10, 18:17, 19:1, 19:9, 19:11, 25:9, 33:20, 35:19, 39:20, 40:2, 40:12, 53:11, 53:20, 58:24, 61:9, 64:15, 64:23, 69:13, 71:21, 72:7, 72:13, 74:14, 74:17, 86:4, 86:7, 86:10, 86:12, 89:22, 97:2, 97:3, 102:20 beat [1] - 19:24 behalf [1] - 5:15 **behavior** [1] - 24:6 below [4] - 19:4, 36:8, 93:16, 94:6 beneficial [1] - 16:20 benefit [1] - 16:10 best [1] - 74:5 beta [1] - 53:18 better [12] - 19:14, 25:1, 33:18, 39:8, 52:19, 55:4, 71:9,

81:13, 83:20, 85:13, 86:24, 96:15 between [7] - 22:16, 27:8, 73:6, 83:5, 96:16, 102:2, 104:8 beyond [2] - 13:12, 65:15 bias [2] - 64:14, 69:20 **big** [5] - 80:17, 90:9, 99:18, 104:2, 109:12 bigger [2] - 50:11, 75:20 biggest [3] - 55:12, 72:10, 74:12 Bill [1] - 98:18 BILL [1] - 98:18 billion [3] - 80:22, 103:4, 104:16 binder [2] - 66:14, 67:11 biologist [7] - 23:13, 58:18, 58:19, 84:24, 85:3, 89:18, 104:14 biologists [1] - 58:11 biology [4] - 7:2, 58:16, 58:17, 87:3 bird [21] - 12:10, 17:6, 27:6, 28:1, 28:3, 30:10, 35:17, 42:17, 49:22, 55:13, 55:18, 60:15, 61:24, 62:20, 64:12, 81:6, 81:18, 90:15, 107:7, 108:18, 111:22 Bird [2] - 9:18, 107:20 birds [48] - 24:5, 27:3, 27:5, 27:23, 30:11, 30:23, 39:13, 39:24, 40:12, 42:1, 54:1, 54:2, 55:17, 56:12, 56:15, 58:24, 60:3, 60:10, 64:23, 70:4, 70:10, 72:18, 73:20, 77:1, 80:13, 80:15, 80:21, 81:4, 81:21, 82:19, 85:18, 90:5, 91:10, 91:17, 103:2, 103:5, 103:7, 103:8, 104:15, 104:18, 104:20, 107:13, 107:15, 107:18, 108:6, 111:20 **bit** [11] - 24:24, 37:16, 51:19, 51:22, 51:24, 52:2, 53:9, 65:20, 68:24, 70:5, 78:24 black [4] - 65:12, 65:15, 65:23, 79:8 black-lined [1] - 79:8 blade [8] - 72:13,

86:16, 86:21, 66:3 88:16 check [1] - 87:20 97:22 101:10, 112:18, Brown [14] - 2:4, carry [1] - 58:12 comment [1] - 37:13 checked [2] - 22:6, 112:20, 113:7, 57:16, 57:21, 58:5, case [11] - 13:4, 24:19, 87:21 commercial [2] - 7:7, 113.13 59:6, 83:14, 91:8, 27:22. 35:16. 38:9. checking [1] - 77:7 7:8 blades [8] - 50:8, 93:1, 93:20, 94:3, 61:17, 63:16, 92:21, chooses [2] - 4:10, commercially [3] -52:21, 72:15, 73:18, 98:22, 101:9, 97:5, 110:10, 110:15 4.16 72:1, 72:9, 72:21 86:19. 91:20. 101:15 104:24, 112:7 **commitment** [1] - 79:3 cases [2] - 84:1, 110:8 circle [2] - 52:21, 76:4 blades' [1] - 101:21 **BTA**[1] - 86:19 catch [1] - 89:1 Circuit [2] - 115:4, **committed** [1] - 79:4 blank [1] - 32:20 buffer [5] - 28:15, cats [5] - 80:22, 103:3, 115:5 committee [1] - 7:14 28:18. 60:22. 103:5, 104:17, **blowup** [1] - 66:17 citation [1] - 102:8 common [5] - 60:11, 105:23, 106:1 **blue** [1] - 90:20 104:20 cited [2] - 51:22, 97:5 62:20, 71:14, 92:19 buffers [1] - 13:10 **BOARD**[4] - 1:1, 1:9, caused [1] - 96:19 communities [1] cities [2] - 90:7, 90:12 1:12, 1:14 **build** [1] - 69:3 caves [1] - 74:17 citing [1] - 104:7 16:10 Board [9] - 4:4, 18:1, **building** [1] - 90:13 cemetery [1] - 17:7 citizens [1] - 45:23 community 131 - 68:8. **Building** [1] - 1:5 22:24, 38:16, 54:16, central [1] - 93:13 clarification [5] -86:5. 86:8 buildings [10] - 15:19, 74:8, 109:8, 111:7, certain [7] - 20:18, Community [1] - 1:5 46:12, 48:23, 54:20, 55:17, 56:2, 56:5, 72:7, 79:1, 84:1, companies [2] -55:20, 68:12 board [25] - 4:10, 4:12, 56:6, 80:20, 90:6, 95:12, 95:13, 103:24 clarify [10] - 12:20, 90:18, 109:18 4:15, 6:1, 6:2, 8:3, 90:10, 90:11, 90:12 certainly [1] - 16:18 26:12, 37:20, 47:1, company [9] - 43:11, 17:17, 32:12, 37:2, built [4] - 63:9, 64:11, CERTIFICATE[1] -58:9, 72:3, 79:3, 47:10, 65:1, 90:24, 48:24, 49:9, 50:18, 77:5. 94:22 89:14, 102:21, 115:1 92:7, 105:6, 106:10 51:16, 55:9, 55:22, Burkwald [3] - 32:21, certified [1] - 23:13 clarity [2] - 37:9, 110:18, 110:23, 56:18, 67:3, 68:4, 33:1 certify [1] - 115:8 110:10 111:2 68:6. 68:15. 78:18. BURKWALD [1] - 33:1 cetera [2] - 55:12, Claudia [2] - 39:3, compare [3] - 26:23, 79:18, 112:5, 112:9, business [2] - 3:2, 52:14, 52:21 55:13 84:21 112:16 95:2 Clean [4] - 7:1, 15:3, compared [2] - 53:4, chair [2] - 21:6, 22:10 board's [1] - 65:6 butterflies [1] - 42:3 **CHAIRMAN** [20] - 3:1, 16:1, 46:19 71:10 **Bob** [1] - 101:5 **BY** [35] - 6:10, 18:5, 3:6, 3:14, 4:1, 4:19, **CLEAN**[1] - 1:17 compares [1] - 64:6 **BOB** [2] - 101:6, 101:8 20:11, 23:3, 26:18, 4:23, 5:8, 5:11, 18:3, clear [5] - 13:6, 29:19, comparing [2] - 27:16, **bodies** [3] - 15:19, 32:15, 35:22, 37:5, 20:6, 20:9, 20:11, 30:3, 49:2, 99:18 76:11 28:24, 40:12 39:6, 41:17, 43:7, 21:9, 21:16, 22:14, cleared [2] - 29:21, comparisons [1] boots [2] - 110:15, 45:21, 46:14, 49:13, 35:22, 49:13, 78:19, 27:14 29:23 110:18 78:21, 79:14 50:20, 51:18, 54:22, compilation [3] - 65:8, clearing [7] - 11:7, **bottom** [1] - 92:3 56:1, 56:11, 58:2, Chairman [2] - 1:10, 35:13, 63:7, 63:8, 66:13, 66:20 64:3, 68:22, 74:10, boundaries [2] compile [2] - 17:18, 1:10 74:23, 74:24, 75:1 15:13, 24:22 75:11, 78:21, 80:10, chambers [4] - 3:15, client [1] - 111:19 30:16 boundary [17] - 18:10, 83:19, 84:23, 87:12, 5:9, 51:16, 68:20 Clinton [1] - 102:11 complete [3] - 10:20, 93:7, 98:21, 101:8, 18:11, 25:6, 25:9, Chambers [3] - 1:11, close [2] - 79:8, 87:24 14:8, 67:14 105:9, 109:11, 25:10, 29:3, 47:3, 36:1, 101:5 completed [7] - 10:22, closely [2] - 11:15, 61:7, 65:19, 65:20, 111:10 CHAMBERS [15] -24:21, 26:5, 36:17, 73:10 76:19, 81:4, 81:11, 3:16, 4:22, 5:10, 37:24, 59:16, 95:16 closer [2] - 76:23, 81:17, 87:22, 100:9 C 26:16, 26:18, 51:18, completely [6] - 13:5, 100:10 **BPA** [1] - 87:2 56:11, 68:19, 68:22, 16:13, 18:11, 35:8, closest [1] - 87:16 C-h-a-m-b-e-r-s [1] break [5] - 48:2, 101:5, 101:8, 111:8, 92:15, 100:1 code [2] - 64:6, 64:7 101:6 48:14, 57:3, 57:8, 111:10, 111:24, complex [5] - 43:16, **COIL** [4] - 39:3, 39:6, calculate [1] - 101:12 68:17 112:6 93:15, 93:16, 94:7, 84:21, 84:23 calculated [1] - 21:7 breeding [11] - 13:7, chance [3] - 24:8, 95:16 Coil [2] - 39:3, 84:21 Canada [2] - 101:24, 30:2, 30:4, 35:1, 55:18, 57:8 compliance [5] - 9:15, collaboration [2] -102.1 35:4, 35:13, 35:15, change [7] - 24:6, 9:20, 11:17, 15:2, 7:14, 25:21 Canadian [1] - 42:2 63:3, 84:5, 84:9, 24:7, 55:8, 70:11, 107:19 collected [2] - 28:17, 107:8 canopy [1] - 61:2 77:1, 77:22, 106:20 complicated [2] -69:17 brief [4] - 17:21, 48:2, capable [1] - 92:19 changed [2] - 18:10, 64:22, 72:16 collection [2] - 15:20, carcass [2] - 69:11, 48:19, 57:10 24:11 35:17 comply [2] - 16:4, bring [2] - 50:23, 70:1 changes [4] - 24:12, 46:21 collisions [1] - 41:1 carcasses [2] - 40:22, 67:22 41:4, 65:19, 89:24 computer [1] - 115:7 Colorado [1] - 7:4 bringing [1] - 113:1 64:18 changing [3] - 29:14, computer-aided [1] combination [1] - 67:7 Carlson [3] - 51:13, brings [1] - 96:10 52:11, 78:24 115:7 comfort [1] - 113:6 79:11, 113:9 broad [2] - 79:7, characterize [2] concentrate [1] coming [8] - 19:14, Carol [1] - 3:20 110:17 60:3, 81:3 107:12 21:4, 23:6, 43:12, carrion [2] - 73:14, broader [2] - 47:3, charge [1] - 107:4 concentrated [1] -43:22, 81:21, 84:3,

61:14 concern [11] - 8:13, 9:24, 29:12, 33:14, 54:9, 62:24, 90:17, 90:18, 90:20, 103:19, 106:19 concerned [3] - 35:14, 88:4, 88:7 concerns [8] - 8:20, 10:11, 11:23, 40:8, 43:24, 59:15, 71:3, 113:2 concluded [2] - 78:22, 78.23 concludes [1] - 17:11 conclusion [1] - 74:22 conclusions [3] - 9:6, 40:6, 55:8 concrete [2] - 43:19, 43:24 concurrence [1] - 14:7 **conditions** [1] - 79:1 conduct [7] - 26:9, 40:3, 63:9, 64:11, 64:14, 75:20, 109:22 conducted [8] - 18:7, 18:8, 18:9, 27:9, 33:19, 34:21, 60:6, 94:8 conducting [2] - 23:4, 109:15 conferring [1] -110:24 confirm [4] - 56:22, 65:12, 105:11, 108:24 confirmed [2] - 23:15, 111:19 **confirming** [1] - 67:2 confrontational [1] confused [1] - 94:20 conjecture [1] - 73:16 Conley [2] - 113:8, 113:14 consequences [3] -94:20, 95:23, 96:1 Conservancy [1] -17:5 conservation [8] -10:4, 16:6, 17:9, 58:14, 60:9, 75:24, 95:13, 95:15 conservative [4] -34:12, 38:12, 47:15, 47:18 consider [3] - 12:5, 22:1, 39:16 consideration [3] -

considered [6] -11:10, 20:17, 34:20, 62:10, 62:15, 103:14 considering [2] - 8:11, considers [1] - 35:18 consistent [2] - 78:11, 82:24 consistently [1] -78:11 constantly [1] - 86:18 constructed [5] - 9:4, 63:21, 77:11, 77:21, 106:20 construction [34] -13:6, 14:21, 14:23, 16:23, 24:10, 29:10, 29:12, 29:14, 31:5, 35:1, 35:3, 40:3, 42:13, 43:22, 51:10, 63:1, 63:10, 64:4, 76:24, 77:6, 77:9, 79:13, 86:16, 89:21, 93:18. 94:15. 94:17. 94:21. 98:9. 102:17. 109:13, 109:15, 113:10, 113:15 consultant [4] - 23:9, 23:12, 58:9 consultants [2] - 46:8, 105:3 consultation [9] -10:8, 12:11, 59:11, 95:3, 107:1, 107:3, 109:2, 110:14, 110:21 contact [1] - 37:20 contamination [1] -45.24 context [2] - 56:4, 104:16 continually [2] - 90:2, 96.14 continue [2] - 21:14, 89:20 continued [2] - 23:22, 85:13 contribution [1] -16:13 control [2] - 69:7, 69:16 coordinate [3] - 8:19, 11:15, 11:20 coordinated [2] -63:2, 63:15 coordinating [1] -23:21 coordinations [1] -

34:4

10:12, 13:13, 29:17

copied [1] - 49:1

copies [7] - 6:1, 31:17, 32:3, 32:4, 32:10, 47:21, 48:11 copy [11] - 31:14, 31:17, 32:7, 48:12, 49:1, 66:11, 66:24, 67:14, 67:17, 67:23, 68:4 core [2] - 7:20, 16:7 Corp [2] - 15:11, 16:2 correct [23] - 22:8, 26:6, 26:11, 48:8, 51:1, 51:4, 65:3, 67:8, 75:17, 77:10, 77:14, 94:11, 94:12, 94:16, 97:24, 100:17, 102:5, 102:14, 109:3, 110:2, 111:22, 113:15, 113:16 correction [1] - 69:19 corrections [1] - 64:20 correctly [1] - 109:14 corridor [1] - 78:12 corridors [2] - 78:8, 81:6 **COUNSEL** [2] - 1:14, 1:15 **counsel** [1] - 79:23 count [3] - 40:12, 106:15, 107:13 counties [2] - 44:13 counting [2] - 27:23, 39:24 country [2] - 62:2, 76:11 counts [1] - 107:7 county [10] - 46:15, 46:22, 64:6, 64:7, 70:18, 81:9, 83:3, 108:8, 110:24, 111:1 **COUNTY** [5] - 1:1, 1:6, 1:9, 1:12, 1:14 County [17] - 12:14, 17:2, 17:4, 42:6, 46:7, 54:9, 62:5, 81:2, 87:20, 87:22, 88:2, 90:13, 105:3, 108:1, 115:4, 115:9 County's [1] - 107:24 couple [7] - 24:7. 30:8, 39:10, 46:11, 54:19, 62:17, 105:6 course [2] - 4:11, 89:6 courses [1] - 88:15 Court [3] - 115:3, 115:4, 115:17 COURT [2] - 1:19, 3:11

cover [1] - 110:17 covered [2] - 18:11, 90:11 covers [1] - 76:5 coyote[1] - 69:8 create [1] - 8:21 created [2] - 44:4, 44:9 Creek [11] - 4:4, 9:9, 10:8, 10:15, 11:5, 12:6, 14:15, 16:18, 31:3, 33:13, 103:14 CREEK [1] - 1:2 creeks [1] - 100:23 cropland [7] - 24:3, 39:17, 60:11, 62:19, 75:3, 81:22, 88:14 cross [1] - 52:23 Cross [1] - 2:2 cross-section [1] -52:23 crowd [1] - 20:23 **CSR** [2] - 1:19, 115:3 cultivated [1] - 24:2 cultural [9] - 13:13, 13:18, 13:20, 14:16, 14:19, 14:22, 15:6, 23:23, 47:5 **curiosity** [2] - 51:7, 73:5 current [3] - 18:11, 71:2, 105:24 curtail [3] - 18:19, 20:12, 107:1 **curtailed** [1] - 36:3 curtailing [4] - 19:1, 63:6, 78:24, 96:23 curtailment [9] - 11:9, 21:13. 36:2. 36:8. 96:11, 96:12, 96:20, 108:21, 108:22 cut [3] - 20:17, 21:12, 83.4 cut-in [2] - 20:17, 21:12

D

damage [4] - 92:10, 92:13, 92:20, 102:22 damaged [1] - 104:5 data [29] - 9:21, 24:5, 26:22, 27:5, 28:17, 30:9, 30:10, 30:11, 30:23, 31:5, 31:6, 36:13, 36:18, 36:19, 36:21, 36:24, 42:13, 49:16, 52:3, 52:7, 53:8, 53:13, 69:5, 69:17, 96:18, 96:20,

97:7, 97:16, 97:18 database [3] - 13:19, 15:7, 15:9 date [3] - 12:13, 17:1, 23:20 dated [1] - 112:21 Dated [1] - 115:19 dates [1] - 49:17 days [2] - 75:22, 82:5 dead [3] - 69:13, 81:14, 104:10 deal [2] - 55:11, 99:18 dealt [1] - 77:4 deaths [1] - 96:13 **Decatur** [1] - 102:12 December [4] -112:13, 112:14, 113:12, 113:19 decide [3] - 16:19, 26:24, 107:1 decided [1] - 5:11 decision [3] - 108:23, 108:24, 109:2 decrease [2] - 41:3, 53:3 decreases [2] - 36:10, 69:24 deer [3] - 72:15, 73:21, 73:22 defer [6] - 29:5, 34:22, 36:22, 41:22, 53:16, 53:22 **definitely** [1] - 53:18 definition [1] - 18:18 **definitive** [1] - 50:3 degree [3] - 7:2, 58:16, 58:17 **Dennis** [1] - 83:16 **DENNIS** [1] - 83:17 **Department** [2] - 8:17, 11:16 dependent [1] - 47:4 depicted [1] - 67:6 describe [2] - 75:20, 76:2 Description [1] - 2:5 design [8] - 9:1, 9:2,

14:13, 15:9, 15:14, 47:6, 58:12, 59:17 **designed** [4] - 15:16, 15:21, 63:19, 63:21 **designs** [1] - 58:13 **destroyed** [1] - 104:5

destroyed [1] - 104:5 detailed [1] - 49:15 details [2] - 67:12, 99:1

detection [1] - 72:18 **determine** [4] - 38:4, 63:12, 64:20, 85:10 **deterrence** [3] - 53:11,

court [2] - 45:7, 57:22

72:5, 90:1 70:18 88:14, 88:17, 94:23, deterring [1] - 53:20 ditches [1] - 82:10 100:5, 100:9, 100:15, 101:14 developed [2] - 14:14, diving [1] - 101:19 95:18 **DNR** [5] - 59:12, 60:9, Eagle [1] - 9:17 108:20 developer [4] - 79:10. 63:4, 79:5, 85:9 eagle's [2] - 22:7, 106:24, 109:1, document [3] - 49:1, 41:19 111.17 49:3, 49:10 eagles [37] - 28:10, 28:19, 28:20, 29:1, development [6] documented [1] -39:19, 39:24, 59:20, 14:4, 85:5, 85:6, 34:11 60:4, 60:13, 70:21, 86:2, 108:6, 108:8 documents [2] -70:23, 70:24, 71:5, 85:19 **DeWitt** [4] - 87:20, 47:23, 48:4 71:8, 71:11, 72:20, 87:22, 88:2, 115:4 doin' [2] - 37:9, 76:14 diameter [2] - 51:24, dollars [1] - 16:14 73:1, 73:6, 73:7, 73:10, 73:14, 87:23, 101:11 done [29] - 7:18. 88:2, 88:4, 88:6, died [1] - 86:7 12:15. 14:22. 16:18. 89:22, 91:14, 92:22, difference [1] - 73:6 24:20, 25:17, 26:14, 100:16, 103:9, different [18] - 12:7, 26:21, 28:5, 47:2, 104:1, 105:23, 17:5, 29:16, 30:17, 52:18, 55:14, 69:4, 106:5, 107:16, 35:11, 38:4, 40:9, 69:21, 75:8, 92:11, 111:12 50:10, 52:1, 52:2, 92:13, 96:13, 99:12, eagles' [1] - 87:13 105:11, 106:11, 52:15, 53:3, 64:23, 69:12, 73:9, 91:11, 106:12, 106:22, eared [1] - 10:19 106:17, 109:17 107:1, 107:3, 107:7, early [4] - 8:10, 10:8, 113:5 11:20, 107:6 digital [1] - 67:21 109:2, 112:21 easel [1] - 68:7 **DILLAV** [1] - 80:7 down [22] - 17:2, 86:24 **DILLAVOU** [6] - 80:4, 19:22, 19:23, 19:24, easier [1] - 67:4 eastern [7] - 10:19, 80:7, 80:10, 83:6, 26:3, 67:23, 69:2, 16:2 79:1, 96:2, 96:7, 34:1, 34:5, 34:15, 83:9, 83:12 59:22, 60:24, 78:1 96:9, 97:3, 98:23, **Dillavou** [1] - 80:5 17.9 99:19, 100:1, 100:4, easy [1] - 72:14 **DIRECT** [2] - 6:9, 58:1 102:3, 102:19, eat [1] - 86:10 direct [2] - 86:12, 102:21, 106:22, EcoCAT [2] - 12:1, 105:17 14:24 108:12 12:12 Direct [1] - 2:2 directly [5] - 34:1, **Dr** [2] - 112:15, 113:13 ecological [1] - 89:12 106:22 drainage [1] - 82:9 ecologists [1] - 58:11 34:6, 70:20, 92:8, drawing [1] - 32:20 economic [2] - 5:16, 102.13 ducks [2] - 42:2, 90:21 86:4 director [2] - 6:4, 6:24 **Ducks** [1] - 17:3 ecosystems [2] disappear [1] - 69:15 duration [1] - 70:1 16:16, 58:7 disconcerted [1] during [25] - 9:4, 11:7, Edgar [1] - 62:4 37:17 11:9, 14:21, 14:23, edge [2] - 24:23, 79:8 discovery [1] - 14:20 57:16 18:16, 19:11, 24:12, **EDWARDS**[1] - 3:21 discuss [7] - 10:24, 29:23, 35:15, 38:5, 11:21, 11:22, 12:17, Edwards [2] - 1:13, 60:17, 61:8, 62:11, 12:18, 34:22, 93:19 63:3, 63:6, 63:7, effective [2] - 53:20, discussed [1] -63:8, 63:10, 81:3, 108:10 58.14 81:24, 107:7, 107:9, discusses [1] - 79:12 effects [5] - 14:16, 107:15, 107:16 discussion [2] - 5:1, 51:8, 84:7, 84:14, 87:3 53:10 Ε efficiency [2] - 40:19, discussions [1] -25:24 64:17 eagle [32] - 10:4, eight [3] - 92:5, 92:6, dismissed [1] - 32:8 10:16, 10:17, 11:13, 96:22 disregard [2] - 46:16, 21:17, 21:20, 21:22, either [4] - 30:7, 50:6, 49.4 28:12, 28:21, 39:22, 71:20, 102:11 distance [3] - 21:24, 59:20, 59:24, 60:8, electromagnetic [2] -28:19, 100:16 60:12, 60:17, 60:20, 44:12, 44:16 distances [1] - 24:15 60:21, 62:20, 71:2, districts [2] - 38:18, **electronic** [1] - 44:16 73:7, 75:13, 75:15, elevator [1] - 90:14 79:21 75:24, 87:15, 87:17, elsewhere [3] - 18:8, disturbance [1] estimate [5] - 36:12,

27:10, 35:18 64:16, 64:18, 64:22, emphasis [1] - 59:20 69:9 estimated [1] - 80:20 emphasize [1] **estimating** [1] - 52:5 employ [1] - 58:11 et [2] - 55:12, 55:13 end [7] - 9:4, 32:4, evening [2] - 80:12, 42:4, 42:12, 72:13, 114:3 101:20, 109:19 evenings [1] - 42:9 endangered [4] evidence [2] - 50:14, 74:11, 74:13, 74:16, 91.6 exact [5] - 18:22, Endangered [2] -18:24, 66:15, 67:14, 9:16, 11:18 87:17 endangered-type [1] exactly [6] - 32:16, 36:6, 67:2, 99:7, ended [2] - 77:3, 77:4 102:15, 111:15 **ENERGY** [1] - 1:17 eXAMINATION[2] -Energy [4] - 2:7, 7:1, 75:10, 78:20 **EXAMINATION**[31] -7:13, 31:19 6:9, 18:4, 23:2, energy [9] - 7:9, 7:16, 10:3, 19:5, 20:16, 26:17, 32:14, 35:21, 37:4, 39:5, 41:16, 50:21, 60:8, 108:14, 43:6, 46:13, 49:12, 50:19, 51:17, 54:21, engineering [1] -55:24, 56:10, 58:1, 64:2, 68:21, 74:9, Engineers [2] - 15:12, 80:9, 83:18, 84:22, 87:11, 93:6, 98:20, enhance [2] - 16:16, 101:7, 105:8, 109:10, 111:9 enjoy [1] - 88:9 example [11] - 27:2, ensure [2] - 13:17, 29:11, 29:13, 36:4, 52:5, 53:15, 64:15, entire [3] - 6:2, 40:20, 73:6, 73:24, 94:23, 111:12 entities [1] - 17:5 exceeding [1] - 22:2 entitled [1] - 115:6 excess [1] - 106:7 environment [5] exchange [1] - 95:12 7:23, 16:9, 85:1, excused [2] - 56:19, 85:4, 85:7 112:8 Environmental [1] exhibit [12] - 31:11, 59:2, 59:3, 65:22, environmental [10] -66:10, 66:11, 66:12, 5:15, 5:24, 6:4, 7:1, 66:16, 66:23, 66:24, 7:4, 7:6, 7:11, 16:20, 67.9 43:23, 58:8 **EXHIBIT** [1] - 2:5 epoxy [1] - 86:20 equal [1] - 70:11 Exhibit [17] - 2:6, 2:7, 2:8, 2:9, 5:23, 12:23, equals [1] - 21:11 31:18, 32:5, 48:8, era [1] - 99:11 48:15, 48:24, 57:18, eroded [1] - 86:18 65:8, 66:14, 67:21, erosion [1] - 86:16 112:20 especially [6] - 60:4, exist [1] - 73:2 60:20, 70:5, 72:3, existing [2] - 30:22, 73:13, 74:15 58:22 Esq [4] - 1:8, 1:14, exists [2] - 8:12, 93:10 1:16. 1:16 expect [3] - 49:20, essentially [3] - 19:2, 60:11, 62:19 23:8, 110:1 expectation [1] establish [1] - 17:9 48:11

experience [4] - 6:21, 58:18, 89:9, 110:1 expert [9] - 8:3, 20:14, 23:6, 28:21, 36:22, 59:4, 59:7, 76:22, 113.1 expertise [5] - 28:9, 41:6, 43:21, 44:2, 94.9 experts [2] - 34:8, 97:19 explain [4] - 18:18, 56:4, 64:4, 66:3 extended [1] - 87:22 extent [7] - 7:23, 15:22, 35:2, 35:9, 49:4, 66:8, 102:6 extra [1] - 32:3 extrapolate [1] - 86:9 extrapolating [1] -40:23 extreme [1] - 106:22

F

F6 [2] - 12:23, 33:12 FACILITATOR[1] -1:8 facilities [3] - 9:2, 15:20, 15:23 fact [3] - 33:21, 82:9, 88:88 factor [3] - 43:23, 69:5, 69:19 factors [1] - 31:4 fair [2] - 106:19 falcon [1] - 101:19 fall [2] - 11:9, 63:6 familiar [3] - 39:1, 111:15, 112:16 far [6] - 72:11, 82:2, 91:19, 91:20, 92:1, 92:3 farm [8] - 70:12, 82:19, 99:12, 101:23, 102:12, 102:19, 106:23, 109:1 Farm [1] - 4:5 farmed [1] - 39:17 farmers [1] - 84:8 farmland [4] - 24:3, 101:23, 102:2, 108:2 farms [4] - 36:13, 42:5, 102:9, 103:17 fast [4] - 19:8, 19:19, 21:10, 22:11 faster [1] - 101:18 fatalities [5] - 22:15, 36:10, 70:14, 71:18,

feathering [3] - 19:2, 19:7, 96:11 features [1] - 51:4 federal [7] - 9:15, 13:14, 34:9, 46:16, 46:22, 86:19, 110:23 federally [3] - 10:18, 60:14, 94:24 federally-listed [3] -10:18, 60:14, 94:24 feed [1] - 100:16 feedback [1] - 10:12 feet [8] - 11:6, 18:12, 25:9, 49:18, 91:22, 92:5, 92:6, 101:11 fence [2] - 75:1, 75:5 **few** [5] - 26:16, 65:18, 72:7, 73:9, 84:19 fewer [2] - 52:22, 55:1 field [4] - 7:7, 13:21, 15:10, 58:19 fields [4] - 39:18, 61:18, 73:12, 82:12 fight [1] - 37:11 figure [11] - 9:23, 40:9, 40:17, 40:18, 52:12, 53:22, 60:3, 69:1, 69:7, 100:9, 104:4 figured [2] - 53:8, 72:10 figuring [2] - 27:23, 77:13 finally [2] - 15:2, 16:5 findings [3] - 47:7, 55:8, 59:10 fine [6] - 21:16, 35:12, 37:10, 37:12, 83:5, 94:4 finished [3] - 5:16, 31:17, 45:15 fins [1] - 62:13 firm [1] - 109:15 first [9] - 3:2, 6:3, 9:12, 16:11, 17:1, 69:1, 106:13, 107:8, 107:15 fish [1] - 18:6 Fish [49] - 8:18, 9:12, 9:14, 9:16, 10:1, 10:7, 10:24, 11:11, 12:16, 18:6, 18:14, 18:16, 19:13, 21:18, 21:19, 22:4, 23:21, 26:3, 28:16, 32:16, 32:18, 32:24, 34:2, 34:6, 39:23, 40:5,

102:8

fatality [3] - 88:17,

94:23, 94:24

favor [1] - 6:5

59:11, 60:7, 60:23, 76:1, 77:7, 77:18, 85:8, 88:18, 100:7, 100:13, 103:18, 104:3, 104:7, 104:13, 106:3, 106:8, 108:13, 110:5, 110:14, 110:16 five [10] - 7:12, 13:11, 17:17, 19:4, 21:13, 22:13, 22:16, 36:9, 82:5, 96:24 five-acre [1] - 13:11 five-minute [1] - 17:17 flew [1] - 90:16 flexibility [1] - 55:3 fly [9] - 71:17, 73:12, 75:16, 81:5, 88:3, 90:15, 101:17, 101:18, 102:15 fly-aways [1] - 81:5 flying [3] - 42:10, 90:6. 102:13 focus [4] - 16:17, 88:11, 107:16, 107:17 focused [4] - 73:17, 81:10, 81:16, 91:15 **folded** [1] - 68:5 **folks** [1] - 57:12 follow [10] - 15:11, 17:13, 26:8, 30:20, 48:4, 48:16, 55:22, 63:24, 75:24, 108:15 follow-up [7] - 15:11, 17:13, 30:20, 48:4, 48:16, 55:22, 63:24 followed [2] - 13:21, 15:13 following [5] - 10:2, 15:1, 25:21, 60:7, 85:18 **follows** [1] - 26:6 food [2] - 69:24, 82:12 **FOR** [4] - 1:3, 1:14, 1:15, 2:2 foran [1] - 3:17 force [4] - 44:4, 44:5, 44:9, 45:23 ford [1] - 17:3 Ford [1] - 17:4 foresee [2] - 71:9, 71:19 forest [1] - 61:10 forested [3] - 13:10, 62:14, 99:8 forestry [1] - 7:2

40:16, 46:17, 55:15,

forget [1] - 89:2 form [1] - 40:23 formal [1] - 12:11 forms [1] - 108:5 forth [1] - 97:21 four [4] - 67:2, 67:7, 75:22, 82:5 fraction [1] - 40:22 frame [1] - 80:24 frequency [1] - 51:21 Friends [1] - 17:6 fringed [1] - 34:5 front [1] - 59:2 full [2] - 68:4, 108:22 full-sized [1] - 68:4 fully [4] - 9:10, 15:23, 72:10 function [1] - 109:21 fund [1] - 17:9 fungus [1] - 74:17 future [2] - 14:12, 88:16

G

G-a-I-I-a-g-h-e-r [1] -

98:19 G-e-i-g-e-r [1] - 6:14 GALLAGHE[1] -98.18 Gallagher [4] - 98:14, 98:15, 98:16, 98:18 GALLAGHER [1] -98:21 gather [1] - 101:11 geese [11] - 42:2, 42:8, 70:16, 73:23, 74:3, 81:23, 91:16, 101:24, 102:1, 105:17, 105:18 Geiger [10] - 2:3, 2:6, 6:4, 6:13, 6:19, 19:16, 49:9, 56:19, 87:13, 92:11 Geiger's [1] - 49:1 general [15] - 7:20, 15:7, 24:6, 31:6, 31:7, 36:15, 39:10, 50:6, 56:14, 70:16, 76:13, 78:7, 81:18, 100:12 generalist [1] - 35:11 generalizations [1] -81:5 generally [3] - 65:2, 65:3, 100:7 generated [1] - 113:5 generating [1] - 20:16

geography [1] - 76:13

Georgie [1] - 7:3

Gershon [12] - 1:16, 5:18, 17:13, 46:10, 47:20, 48:22, 54:17, 56:20, 57:14, 64:1, 105:5, 112:10 **GERSHON** [50] - 5:20. 6:10, 8:6, 17:14, 21:6, 21:10, 21:14, 22:10, 31:13, 31:16, 31:23, 32:2, 32:9, 46:11, 46:14, 48:7, 48:10, 48:23, 54:19, 54:22, 56:22, 57:1, 57:9, 57:15, 58:2, 64:3, 66:12, 66:17, 67:1, 67:8, 67:18, 68:3, 68:11, 68:14, 79:11, 90:24, 92:7, 93:17, 97:9, 97:12, 105:6, 105:9, 109:4, 111:18, 111:22, 112:1, 112:14, 112:24, 113:16, 114:1 given [5] - 6:20, 17:4, 17:8, 24:17, 113:5 glass [1] - 90:11 glass-covered [1] -90:11 glide [1] - 101:20 Golden [1] - 9:17 golden [8] - 28:20, 60:13, 71:10, 72:20, 73:1, 73:6, 73:7, 73:14 gonna [31] - 4:8, 8:13, 8:17, 14:2, 17:16, 17:23, 18:20, 20:21, 21:3, 24:10, 24:12, 25:13, 29:22, 30:20, 36:21, 37:6, 41:22, 48:2, 48:21, 52:19, 53:19, 57:5, 57:12,

69:22, 77:15, 77:22, 78:8, 88:14, 92:16, 97:21 GOOSE [1] - 1:2 goose [1] - 105:19 Goose [11] - 4:4, 9:9, 10:8, 10:15, 11:5, 12:6, 14:15, 16:18, 31:3, 33:13, 103:13 gotcha [4] - 56:8, 76:20, 110:9 government [2] -38:18, 79:20 Grand [1] - 17:5 grant [2] - 16:6, 16:10 grants [1] - 16:24 grass [2] - 62:1, 62:5

forests [1] - 63:5

grassland [2] - 17:6, 17.9 grassy [1] - 62:13 gravity [1] - 113:5 great [3] - 5:21, 20:2, 55.11 greater [2] - 49:22, 55:2 greatest [5] - 7:23, 15:22, 35:9, 66:8, Greenfield [2] - 1:19, 115:3 ground [12] - 10:14, 14:1, 51:4, 69:14, 71:18, 73:17, 91:19, 91:21, 92:4, 93:24, 110:15, 110:19 groundwater [2] -51:8, 86:22 group [1] - 25:20 groups [2] - 81:20, 81:23 guess [21] - 25:19, 27:19, 35:6, 37:17, 37:20, 39:10, 50:21, 50:22, 72:19, 74:12, 75:19, 80:12, 84:12, 96:10, 96:11, 98:7, 98:8, 107:8, 109:20, 110:9 guidance [6] - 10:2, 10:5, 26:4, 63:23, 76:1, 106:3 guidelines [10] - 10:4, 13:22, 15:12, 25:22, 26:1, 39:24, 60:8, 60:9, 85:10, 108:14 guy [1] - 98:9 guys [4] - 26:9, 97:19, 102:7, 110:11

Н

habitat 1681 - 10:17. 11:6, 12:7, 13:3, 13:5, 13:7, 17:3, 17:7, 17:10, 18:13, 23:24, 24:2, 25:8, 28:2, 28:3, 28:13, 29:8, 29:19, 30:3, 30:4, 34:11, 34:14, 34:15, 34:24, 35:4, 35:6, 35:12, 35:13, 35:15, 38:1, 39:13, 39:14, 39:15, 41:3, 55:4, 59:21, 59:22, 59:23, 61:1, 61:6, 61:8, 61:9, 61:13, 61:16, 61:20, 61:23, 62:7, 62:10, 62:11, 62:16, 62:23, 66:7, 70:9, 70:11, 74:13, 74:14, 74:20, 74:23, 75:4, 85:1, 85:3, 95:14, 105:14, 108:6 Habitats [2] - 2:8, 31:19 habitats [7] - 8:24, 16:16, 23:18, 29:18, 33:13, 34:13, 61:2

16:16, 23:18, 29:1
33:13, 34:13, 61:2
habits [1] - 77:1
half [4] - 41:19,
100:15, 100:19,
106:4
half-a-mile [1] 100:15

half-mile [1] - 106:4 hand [1] - 57:21 handful [1] - 86:11 handling [1] - 111:16 hands [1] - 84:19 hangs [1] - 62:2

happy [2] - 4:14, 67:8 hard [3] - 77:22, 81:12, 106:20 harder [1] - 53:7 Harper [2] - 1:7, 3:8 HARPER [11] - 3:9, 3:13, 3:15, 3:17, 3:20, 3:22, 3:24, 5:3, 5:5, 5:7, 5:9

71:16 **Harrington** [5] - 1:10, 3:9, 37:3, 47:11, 109:9

Harrier [2] - 60:15,

HARRINGTON [13] - 3:10, 23:1, 23:3, 37:5, 50:20, 55:20, 56:1, 68:18, 75:8, 75:11, 79:15, 90:14, 109:11

109:11 hate [2] - 73:15, 88:5 hawk [2] - 101:14, 103:21

hawks [6] - 39:20, 39:21, 71:14, 78:4, 91:14, 103:9 head [7] - 41:20, 44:6, 44:18, 56:7, 70:19, 75:14, 78:2

hear [3] - 6:16, 25:18, 81:12 heard [7] - 43:14,

43:15, 44:4, 44:15, 48:4, 55:7, 101:9 hearing [15] - 4:4, 4:7,

hearing [15] - 4:4, 4: 4:13, 8:5, 38:19, 38:22, 46:6, 46:9, 59:7, 79:19, 79:22, 79:24, 97:7, 105:2, 112:13

HEARING [1] - 1:8 **hearings** [2] - 68:9, 112:17

height [6] - 49:18, 50:1, 50:2, 51:23, 73:8, 102:3

Held [1] - 1:5 helicopters [2] -44:20, 44:21 help [2] - 47:8, 77:16

Henrick [1] - 3:24 hereby [1] - 115:8 herons [2] - 90:20, 91:16

HERRINGTON [2] - 4:17, 5:4

Herrington [1] - 5:3 hi [1] - 6:24 high [6] - 71:17, 73:3,

78:4, 90:8, 102:1, 102:2

high-risk [1] - 73:3 higher [8] - 11:10, 50:12, 51:20, 54:3, 54:24, 55:17, 70:24, 80:15

highlighting [2] -49:2, 49:4 hire [1] - 43:9

Historic [4] - 13:15, 13:16, 14:6, 14:17 historic [1] - 13:24

History [1] - 8:17 **hit** [6] - 30:11, 54:4, 63:12, 64:13, 73:2, 102:4

hits [2] - 19:6, 92:3 hold [8] - 18:23, 44:22, 44:24, 45:12, 94:1, 97:20

home [1] - 91:17 honestly [1] - 36:6 hope [2] - 5:21, 44:20 hopefully [1] - 113:9

hoping [1] - 44:12 hour [12] - 20:24, 21:1, 21:11, 22:12, 22:13, 76:7, 76:12, 88:23,

89:2, 91:10, 101:13, 101:15 **hours** [2] - 89:6,

106:12 **house** [3] - 23:8, 88:3, 101:23

huge [1] - 60:20 humans [1] - 83:24 hundred [1] - 42:8 hundreds [1] - 89:5 hunting [3] - 28:13, 73:14, 73:16 hypothetical [1] -97:22 hypothetically [1] -

23:16

- 1

i.e [1] - 35:5 ice [5] - 112:18, 112:20, 113:2, 113:7, 113:13 idea [7] - 61:9, 66:5, 71:21, 71:24, 76:3, 97:19, 98:4 identified [9] - 13:19, 14:22, 61:22, 66:14, 68:2, 97:12, 107:22, 108:21, 113:3 identify [13] - 15:13, 37:19, 38:1, 55:1, 58:6, 60:19, 61:9, 62:4, 64:12, 66:5, 67:19, 106:24, 107:14 IDNR [17] - 11:20, 12:12, 12:16, 13:2, 13:7, 16:2, 18:15, 19:12, 25:21, 26:3, 32:17, 34:1, 35:12, 35:18, 40:6, 46:17, 110:14 IDNR's [1] - 25:22 IL [1] - 1:5 ill [1] - 4:7 Illinois [24] - 7:12, 8:16, 8:17, 11:16, 11:18, 11:19, 12:2, 13:14, 13:16, 15:5, 16:24, 31:7, 44:7, 46:17, 46:19, 58:21, 59:12, 60:9, 63:4, 79:5, 81:22, 85:9, 93:13, 115:5 **ILLINOIS** [1] - 1:1 image [3] - 66:15, 67:6, 67:15 impact [28] - 5:16, 8:14, 30:6, 52:2, 52:13, 52:20, 54:2, 54:10, 55:11, 55:17, 63:14, 70:9, 71:2, 71:4, 78:24, 85:1, 85:4, 85:19, 86:4, 86:7, 86:21, 88:8, 88:13, 89:22, 92:18,

97:13, 105:16, 108:6

impacted [4] - 42:3,

42:4, 85:24, 86:1
impacting [1] - 105:14
impacts [33] - 7:22,
8:10, 9:3, 9:9, 13:8,
13:18, 14:19, 15:21,
15:23, 16:3, 16:8,
18:16, 18:23, 19:11,
35:14, 53:2, 54:6,
54:11, 55:13, 63:2,
63:6, 63:22, 66:7,
71:10, 71:12, 71:20,
85:11, 85:16, 86:12,
90:2, 94:18, 95:14,
108:1

implementation [1] -72:23 implemented [4] -

mplemented [4] -13:9, 16:10, 53:12, 71:22 improve [1] - 90:2

improved[1] - 89:13 improvements[1] -108:3

in-house [1] - 23:8 in-the-field [1] - 13:21 inadvertently [1] -14:23

inaudible [1] - 8:24 inches [1] - 62:1 include [7] - 11:18, 13:2, 31:7, 51:2, 61:2, 108:7, 108:17 included [7] - 10:15,

12:6, 17:7, 30:13, 59:19, 67:3, 107:23 **includes** [7] - 9:16, 10:18, 11:5, 61:10,

65:13, 90:7, 90:9 **including** [5] - 9:9, 38:18, 46:18, 55:17, 79:20

incorporate [1] - 69:10

incorporated [1] -14:13 incorrect [1] - 109:16

increase [3] - 24:1, 85:15, 86:5 increased [1] - 71:7

increases [1] - 69:24 INDEX [1] - 2:1 Indiana [2] - 10:18, 99:9

indicate [2] - 49:20, 52:1

indicated [2] - 36:2, 103:22

indicates [2] - 49:19, 107:24 indicating [1] - 71:17

individual [3] - 25:11, 40:19, 96:7 individuals [1] - 33:3 industry [3] - 7:15, 9:14, 16:11 $\pmb{\text{inform}} \ [8] \ \textbf{-} \ 9{:}2, \ 10{:}13,$ 11:3, 27:6, 27:15, 27:21, 28:4, 40:1 information [23] -7:19, 8:21, 9:1, 10:11, 11:3, 12:2, 14:12, 15:10, 17:18, 26:6, 30:15, 30:17, 33:8, 36:16, 49:10, 53:17, 55:15, 74:5, 80:12, 82:14, 96:15, 96:21, 100:13 informed [1] - 26:20 infrastructure [2] -15:17, 50:6 inhabit [1] - 62:2 inhabits [1] - 61:24 initial [7] - 5:24, 9:21, 10:6, 10:10, 11:21, 12:1, 12:2 initiated [1] - 10:8 insects [1] - 86:10 installations [1] -30:22 installed [1] - 23:16 Institute [3] - 7:14, 31:12, 31:22 intent [1] - 70:3 intention [1] - 79:2 **interaction** [1] - 58:10 Interactions [2] - 2:7, 31:19 interested [2] - 38:20, 79:23 interim [1] - 4:18 intern [1] - 58:19 interpret [1] - 111:3 interpretation [1] -10.10 interrupt [1] - 19:17 **introduce** [1] - 6:3 invalid [2] - 52:8, 52:9 involved [1] - 110:24 irreversible [1] - 92:11 issue [4] - 47:1, 80:17, 104:2, 110:2 issued [1] - 31:20 issues [8] - 7:16, 44:10, 51:9, 55:7, 82:15, 105:7, 112:18, 113:10 it'll [1] - 106:17 item [2] - 13:6, 23:24 items [3] - 14:1, 33:24, 106:21

J

J-e-n-n-i-e [1] - 6:13 January [1] - 115:20 Jason [2] - 113:8, 113:14 Jennie [9] - 2:3, 6:3, 6:13, 6:19, 6:24, 8:3, 48:24, 80:19 Jennie's [1] - 49:3 Jennifer [1] - 1:7 Jerry [1] - 1:13 Jim [1] - 1:10 **join** [1] - 3:2 Jonathan [2] - 112:15, 113:13 Jones [1] - 3:22 **JONES** [1] - 3:23 judge [2] - 104:11, 110:12 Judicial [1] - 115:5 **July** [1] - 35:2 jury [1] - 110:12

Κ

Kain's [1] - 4:13 Kains [2] - 4:6, 113:22 keeping [1] - 88:20 kestrels [1] - 71:14 key [1] - 110:13 Keyt [4] - 1:8, 1:14, 4:18, 6:1 **KEYT** [87] - 4:3, 5:13, 8:4, 17:12, 17:16, 17:23, 19:16, 19:19, 19:21, 20:2, 22:23, 26:15, 32:6, 32:11, 32:22, 37:1, 38:15, 39:4, 41:10, 42:22, 43:5, 44:22, 44:24, 45:6, 45:12, 45:16, 45:19, 46:3, 46:6, 47:20, 48:1, 48:13, 48:21, 49:7, 50:17, 51:15, 54:15, 55:21, 56:9, 56:17, 56:24, 57:5, 57:12, 57:20, 59:5, 63:24, 66:9, 66:15. 66:19. 67:5. 67:13, 68:1, 68:10, 68:13, 68:15, 68:20, 74:7, 78:17, 79:17, 83:2, 83:4, 83:7, 83:11, 83:13, 84:17, 87:6, 91:2, 91:5, 91:8, 92:24, 93:4, 93:20, 94:1, 97:20, 97:24, 98:2, 98:5, 98:11, 101:3,

104:23, 109:6, 111:5, 112:4, 112:7, 112:23, 113:11, 113.17 kill [12] - 36:3, 36:4, 49:22, 52:4, 70:7, 80:20. 95:8. 98:23. 103:6. 103:11. 103:13, 103:20 killdeer [1] - 42:16 killed [7] - 64:24, 90:6, 92:15, 97:3, 97:4, 97:8, 103:3 **killing** [2] - 55:18, 95:23 kills [2] - 55:13, 97:13 kind [42] - 8:7, 8:24, 9:13, 9:18, 12:1, 14:1, 14:17, 21:24, 26:20, 26:23, 27:6, 27:15, 27:16, 27:18, 27:20, 28:7, 29:16, 31:3, 31:7, 35:10, 49:20, 50:5, 52:12, 53:9, 62:13, 69:2, 72:17, 74:24, 76:2, 76:10, 77:8, 80:11, 80:23, 81:8, 81:12, 82:3, 82:13, 86:1, 96:10, 102:18, 106:17, 109:18 kinds [1] - 84:1 Kirtland [5] - 12:8, 34:7, 34:17, 59:23, 62:11 knowing [1] - 64:16

32:21, 33:1, 37:7 **L**

Kyle [5] - 1:11, 32:19,

knowledge [3] -

71:23, 79:2, 96:4

known [3] - 13:20,

knows [1] - 48:24

15:7, 59:14

L-o-r-i [2] - 41:14, 87:9 label [1] - 30:10 lack [3] - 80:11, 83:20, 86:6 Lake [1] - 102:12 lake [6] - 42:6, 42:8, 87:24, 88:1, 88:3, 102:11 Lakes [2] - 15:3, 46:19 land [2] - 10:3, 108:14 Land [2] - 17:1, 17:5 land-based [2] - 10:3, 108:14 lands [2] - 12:4, 29:4

landscape [9] - 11:8, 14:3, 24:1, 24:11, 27:24, 28:5, 38:5, 55:16, 73:15 landscapes [1] - 74:4 large [15] - 15:17, 39:24, 60:19, 65:7, 66:18, 72:18, 78:7, 78:12, 81:20, 81:23, 88:15, 90:7, 90:12, 105:19, 107:18 largely [2] - 61:13, 103:7 larger [11] - 50:9, 52:6, 52:8, 52:22, 54:1, 61:6, 65:24, 70:24, 76:19, 103:7 Larson [1] - 3:9 last [11] - 5:14, 6:13, 9:19, 30:8, 32:20, 32:22, 34:10, 42:7, 49:10, 73:19, 86:15 lately [1] - 86:17 latest [1] - 100:13 law [2] - 12:13, 107:11 laws [5] - 9:15, 46:18, 46:23, 85:22, 107:2 layouts [1] - 52:15 lead [2] - 7:11, 32:18 leads [2] - 75:19, 103:12 learn [2] - 69:23, 70:17 learning [1] - 81:7 least [9] - 24:2, 29:16, 52:17, 53:3, 76:5, 82:20, 88:12, 93:14, 100:15 leaves [1] - 60:18 led [1] - 89:23 left [2] - 5:14, 82:11 lends [1] - 78:4 less [6] - 50:7, 61:12, 61:19, 62:14, 62:22 letters [1] - 12:11 level [6] - 54:6, 54:10, 71:1, 71:4, 103:13, 113:6 levels [1] - 54:8 licensed [1] - 38:21 likelihood [1] - 49:22 likely [6] - 40:18, 54:4, 54:7, 71:1, 82:1, 108:16 limited [3] - 14:15, 77:12, 85:21 limiting [1] - 39:13 Lincoln [1] - 17:1 line [4] - 35:17, 65:23,

lineal [1] - 15:23 linear [2] - 15:19, 47:6 lined [1] - 79:8 lines [3] - 15:20, 50:22, 72:17 list [4] - 69:2, 74:11, 104:8, 112:12 listed [21] - 10:18, 11:23, 12:8, 12:9, 12:10, 13:3, 14:16, 14:18, 19:9, 28:1, 34:24, 60:5, 60:14, 60:15, 61:9, 61:13, 62:24, 71:16, 74:15, 94:24, 104:1 listing [1] - 37:14 literature [1] - 72:4 live [5] - 42:6, 44:7, 61:4, 70:17, 71:11 lived [1] - 82:17 livelihood [1] - 84:11 livestock [2] - 84:9, 104:5 **LLC** [2] - 1:2, 112:15 **Lloyd** [1] - 51:20 loader [1] - 51:23 local [5] - 16:15, 16:16, 38:18, 79:20 locate [1] - 112:3 located [4] - 24:4, 55:1, 61:18, 105:18 location [2] - 24:18, 55:3 locations [6] - 24:18, 47:3, 47:8, 76:15, 76:17, 87:17 long-eared [1] - 10:19 long-term [1] - 60:1 look [16] - 21:20, 21:21, 21:24, 28:22, 48:3, 54:8, 58:12, 66:3, 69:15, 71:24, 89:4, 95:5, 95:20, 107:15, 110:22 looked [3] - 51:8, 60:17, 60:24 lookin' [1] - 33:11 looking [16] - 8:11, 15:7, 24:1, 24:9, 27:3, 33:3, 33:6, 33:10, 40:21, 43:9, 52:8, 61:3, 61:17, 62:12, 79:7, 84:6 looks [3] - 4:1, 49:16, 66:22 loons [2] - 42:2, 90:20 **Lori** [2] - 41:14, 87:9 loss [9] - 41:3, 74:13, 74:14, 74:20, 74:23,

75:4, 84:24, 85:3,

77:1, 83:5

86:4

lost [2] - 104:17,
104:20

loud [1] - 65:21

LOVIN [7] - 3:12, 4:21,
5:6, 32:13, 32:15,
74:10, 75:9

Lovin [3] - 1:11, 3:11,
5:5

low [4] - 9:5, 40:7,
63:14, 92:3

low-impact [1] - 63:14

lower [9] - 19:3, 19:8,
51:23, 51:24, 52:16,
52:18, 53:1

Loyd [1] - 1:10

М

M-c-K-a-n-i-c [1] -

ma'am [4] - 80:2, 83:2, 93:2, 98:11 machine [1] - 115:5 magnet [1] - 44:16 Mahomet [7] - 43:14, 43:17, 44:3, 45:22, 93:9, 93:11, 94:6 **main** [3] - 85:17, 107:17 maintain [1] - 68:5 Maintenance [1] -15:18 majority [1] - 73:11 mammals [1] - 73:20 manage [2] - 17:6, 102:24 managed [1] - 25:20 management [7] -58:14, 63:17, 88:19, 92:17, 95:4, 109:13, 110:22 Manager [1] - 1:18 managing [4] - 38:7, 38:11, 38:12, 47:17 manufacturer's [1] -20:17 map [8] - 24:15, 24:16, 33:6, 65:7, 67:10, 67:11. 67:17. 68:7 mapping [2] - 44:12, 44:16 maps [5] - 66:18, 66:21, 67:2, 67:6, 67:7 March [1] - 29:20 marginal [1] - 62:9 Mark [1] - 1:16 massasauga [5] -10:19, 34:1, 34:15,

59:22, 60:24 master's [2] - 7:3, 58:17 math [2] - 20:22, 22:11 matter [4] - 4:11, 29:3, 59:7, 78:12 matters [1] - 29:8 MCKANIC [2] - 83:16, 83.19 McKanic [1] - 83:16 meadows [1] - 62:13 mean [12] - 20:12, 21:22, 25:19, 25:23, 53:2, 73:12, 75:16, 75:18, 77:11, 90:15, 91:13, 91:20 means [6] - 11:6, 11:9, 20:24, 33:5, 54:24, 103:20 measure [3] - 40:24, 41:1, 41:2 measures [7] - 11:4, 12:19, 13:1, 14:14, 95:13, 95:15, 99:10 meet [9] - 10:7, 10:23, 12:16, 26:1, 59:13, 59:17, 79:4, 88:18, 92:16 meeting [5] - 3:1, 3:7, 17:24, 32:4, 67:23 meetings [1] - 26:2 meets [2] - 63:4, 63:13 megawatt [4] - 16:14, 49:18, 52:16, 54:24 Member [1] - 47:11 member [2] - 7:13, 50:23 MEMBERS [2] - 1:9, 1:12 members [29] - 22:24, 32:12, 38:16, 38:23, 41:11, 42:23, 46:4, 48:2, 48:15, 48:17, 49:8, 50:18, 54:16, 55:22, 56:18, 57:7, 74:8, 78:18, 79:18, 80:1, 83:13, 84:18, 88:4, 93:1, 98:12, 104:24, 109:7, 111:6, 112:5 mention [2] - 75:13, 104:4 mentioned [10] - 9:19, 16:6, 39:19, 41:18,

42:2, 80:19, 82:13,

102:17, 103:2,

mentions [2] - 54:1,

106:21

72:20

message [1] - 4:7 messages [1] - 62:18 meters [8] - 19:4, 20:19, 21:11, 22:12, 22:13, 22:16, 36:9, 96:24 method [1] - 47:15 methodology [3] -40:5, 40:15, 77:9 methods [1] - 14:5 Michele [1] - 80:4 micro [1] - 86:17 microphone [2] -65:21. 66:2 mid-2019 [1] - 11:21 Midwest [4] - 31:6, 58:20, 60:12, 75:16 might [15] - 8:20, 9:24, 10:11, 12:3, 12:4, 21:4, 28:7, 31:9, 36:4, 37:13, 60:11, 86:23, 95:4, 95:20, 100:11 migrating [3] - 80:16, 82:1, 91:10 migration [4] - 11:9, 63:6, 81:6, 84:5 Migratory [2] - 9:18, 107:20 migratory [12] - 17:3, 42:1. 56:15. 70:4. 80:13, 80:15, 81:1, 81:9, 81:20, 82:8, 82:15, 82:19 mile [9] - 28:15, 28:18, 28:23, 29:3, 60:22, 82:10, 100:15, 106:4, 113:4 miles [25] - 11:12, 20:24, 21:1, 21:11, 21:17, 21:21, 21:23, 22:2, 22:12, 22:13, 25:5, 28:10, 28:15, 28:16, 29:9, 41:19, 62:21, 87:19, 87:21, 100:6, 100:8, 100:19, 101:12, 101:15, 106:2 million [1] - 80:21 mind [2] - 21:7, 33:5 minimal [1] - 29:23 minimization [3] -11:4, 12:19, 13:1 minimize [9] - 7:22, 8:9, 9:10, 9:11, 13:18, 15:21, 16:8, 18:20, 78:23 **minimizing** [1] - 9:3 minimum [4] - 11:5, 11:12, 22:19, 22:21

minute [2] - 17:17, 70:22 miss [1] - 47:12 misunderstood [1] -43.13 mitigate [2] - 9:11, 95.13 mitigation [1] - 14:14 moderator [1] - 4:18 monarch [1] - 42:3 money [4] - 16:13, 16:21, 16:22 monitor [2] - 9:5, 63:11 monitoring [9] -24:10, 40:3, 42:13, 63:10, 64:5, 69:21, 77:6, 89:21, 94:17 month [5] - 76:6, 76:12, 88:24, 89:2, 107:10 monthly [1] - 27:23 months [1] - 88:24 Monticello [1] - 1:5 Moore [2] - 1:18, 54:23 Morgan [1] - 17:2 mornings [1] - 42:8 mortality [3] - 72:6, 94:17, 96:23 most [22] - 16:20, 19:11, 35:14, 39:8, 47:14, 49:16, 51:21, 60:10, 69:10, 73:9, 75:2, 80:15, 81:22, 88:14, 88:22, 96:16, 96:21, 101:17, 103:17, 106:22, 110:8 mostly [14] - 24:2, 28:24, 58:11, 61:18, 62:4, 62:5, 62:15, 62:19, 71:13, 72:24, 85:21, 86:12, 88:13, 92:19 motion [2] - 4:12, 4:17 Mountaineer [2] -99:3, 99:5 move [8] - 19:8, 38:17, 38:19, 45:3, 45:19, 71:1, 81:23, 88:15 movement [1] - 73:22 movements [3] -73:12, 74:1, 88:14 moving [3] - 19:10, 54:2, 81:5 mowed [3] - 35:5, 62:3, 62:6 mowing [1] - 35:6 MR [180] - 3:10, 3:12,

3:16, 3:19, 3:21, 4:3, 4:17, 4:21, 4:22, 5:4, 5:6, 5:10, 5:13, 5:20, 6:10, 8:4, 8:6, 17:12, 17:14, 17:16, 17:23, 18:5, 19:16, 19:19, 19:21, 20:2, 21:6, 21:10, 21:14, 22:10, 22:23, 23:1, 23:3, 26:15, 26:16, 26:18, 31:13, 31:16, 31:23, 32:2, 32:6, 32:9, 32:11, 32:13, 32:15, 32:22, 37:1, 37:5, 38:15, 39:4, 41:10, 42:22, 43:5, 44:22, 44:24, 45:6, 45:12, 45:16. 45:19. 46:3. 46:6. 46:11. 46:14. 47:20, 48:1, 48:7, 48:9, 48:10, 48:13, 48:21, 48:23, 49:7, 50:17, 50:20, 51:15, 51:18, 54:15, 54:19, 54:22, 55:20, 55:21, 56:1, 56:9, 56:11, 56:17, 56:22, 56:24, 57:1, 57:5, 57:9, 57:12, 57:15, 57:20,58:2, 59:5, 63:24, 64:3, 66:9, 66:12, 66:15, 66:17, 66:19, 67:1, 67:5, 67:8, 67:13, 67:18, 68:1, 68:3, 68:10, 68:11, 68:13, 68:14, 68:15, 68:18, 68:20, 68:22, 74:7, 74:10, 75:8, 75:9, 75:11, 78:17, 79:11, 79:15, 79:17, 83:2, 83:4, 83:11, 83:13, 83:16, 83:19, 84:17, 87:6, 90:14, 90:24, 91:2, 91:5, 91:8, 92:7, 92:24, 93:4, 93:17, 93:20, 94:1, 97:9, 97:12, 97:20, 97:24, 98:2, 98:5, 98:11, 98:18, 98:21, 101:3, 101:5, 101:8, 104:23, 105:6, 105:9, 109:4, 109:6, 109:11, 111:5, 111:8, 111:10, 111:18, 111:22, 111:24, 112:1, 112:4, 112:6, 112:7, 112:14, 112:23, 112:24, 113:11, 113:16, 113:17, 114:1

MS [37] - 3:9, 3:13, 3:15, 3:17, 3:20, 3:22, 3:23, 3:24, 5:5, 5:7, 5:9, 39:3, 39:6, 41:14, 41:17, 43:3, 43:7, 45:21, 80:4, 80:7, 80:10, 83:6, 83:9, 84:21, 84:23, 87:9, 87:12, 91:4, 93:3, 93:5, 93:7, 97:11, 97:15, 97:23, 98:1, 98:4, 98:7 mudpuppies [1] - 34:7 Mulligan [1] - 17:8

Ν

name [14] - 6:11, 6:13, 6:14, 6:20, 32:20, 39:2, 41:13, 43:2, 51:12, 58:3, 80:3, 93:3, 101:5, 108:11 **name's** [1] - 6:24 named [1] - 91:13 names [2] - 32:17, 32:23 nanoparticles [1] -86:18 National [2] - 13:15, 14:16 nationwide [1] - 16:1 native [1] - 17:7 Natural [4] - 8:17, 8:18, 11:16, 11:19 near [2] - 14:12, 103:9 nearby [2] - 27:14, 30:22 nearly [3] - 61:6, 65:18, 101:15 necessarily [3] -21:22, 53:2, 67:15 necessary [2] - 11:7, 16:4 need [18] - 11:2, 12:5, 14:24, 27:21, 28:5, 29:21, 29:23, 30:3, 45:2, 59:16, 67:14, 67:16, 68:1, 85:13, 91:6, 92:17, 95:2, 96.2 needed [1] - 9:7 needs [1] - 63:17 negative [4] - 8:13, 85:1, 85:4, 87:2 negatively [1] - 85:7 nest [17] - 10:17, 22:7, 25:5, 28:11, 28:12, 30:5, 30:6, 35:18, 41:19, 41:21, 59:20, 60:17, 70:15, 82:2,

87:24, 88:2

nesting [7] - 61:23,
62:3, 71:7, 105:17,
105:18, 105:19,
105:21

nests [21] - 11:13,
21:17, 21:20, 21:22,
60:18, 60:20, 60:21,
62:20, 75:13, 82:4,
87:13, 87:15, 87:17,
100:6, 100:10,
100:15, 105:23,
106:5

never [1] - 100:1

never [1] - 100:1
new [2] - 49:9, 113:1
newer [1] - 50:5
next [24] - 5:18, 9:12,
11:1, 11:14, 12:18,
21:4, 23:7, 43:12,
45:3, 45:20, 56:20,
57:3, 57:14, 59:24,
61:8, 62:17, 67:22,
69:17, 69:22, 70:16,
93:18, 98:6, 101:23,
112:12
night [1] - 6:5

112:12
night [1] - 6:5
NO [1] - 2:5
noise [1] - 41:2
none [21] - 8:5, 38:17,
38:19, 38:22, 46:6,
46:7, 46:9, 54:17,
56:19, 59:7, 59:8,
79:19, 79:22, 79:24,
105:2, 105:4
nonprofits [2] - 7:8,

7:15 normal [1] - 74:1 north [2] - 42:6, 82:2 Northern [2] - 60:15, 71:16 northern [1] - 10:19 nose [1] - 74:16

notes [1] - 74:16 notes [1] - 54:6 nothin' [1] - 78:13 nothing [2] - 8:12, 103:9 notice [1] - 75:12

notice [1] - 75:12 noticed [3] - 41:24, 49:14, 78:5 notify [1] - 110:7 November [2] - 29:20,

112:21

number [30] - 13:9, 16:2, 18:24, 19:14, 20:23, 21:7, 21:17, 21:18, 28:11, 31:7, 36:6, 49:20, 55:2,

36:6, 49:20, 55:2, 68:8, 86:6, 90:5, 90:8, 97:13, 97:17, 97:18, 103:2, 103:3, 103:21, 104:8, 104:10, 104:14, 105:10, 106:10, 106:21, 109:17

Number [2] - 48:8, 48:15

numbers [7] - 18:22, 27:7, 97:7, 97:10, 97:15, 97:24, 104:13

numerical [1] - 103:12

numerous [2] - 108:21, 108:22

0

o-u [1] - 80:8 objection [2] - 8:4, 59.6 objective [2] - 60:2, 60:19 **obligation** [1] - 108:17 observations [5] -61:22, 76:9, 106:12, 106:13, 106:15 **observe** [2] - 60:13, 71:14 observed [7] - 60:10, 60:14, 60:15, 62:19, 78:6, 92:19, 103:16 observer [2] - 64:16, 76.6 obvious [1] - 60:1 obviously [6] - 23:17, 34:23, 54:2, 65:13, 73:12, 110:13 occasionally [1] - 62:3 occupied [1] - 60:21 occur [5] - 9:24, 12:3, 33:4, 33:21, 34:11 occurred [2] - 35:3, 106:20 occurrence [2] -37:15, 37:19 occurring [2] - 35:14, 113:3 occurs [1] - 113:7 **OF** [3] - 1:1, 2:1, 115:1 Offered [1] - 2:5 offhand [1] - 19:15 office [1] - 72:3 Office [1] - 14:7 **OFFICER** [1] - 1:6 officer [2] - 4:7, 4:13 official [2] - 30:10, 115:17 Official [1] - 115:3 often [5] - 42:4, 42:13, 71:19, 74:14, 102:9 oftentimes [1] - 27:14

older [2] - 14:3, 51:22 once [14] - 9:3, 10:6, 10:22, 76:6, 76:12, 77:10, 77:21, 88:24, 89:2, 90:21, 105:1, 106:20 one [64] - 6:5, 7:20,

8:1, 10:3, 10:4,

10:23, 12:7, 16:7,

16:11, 16:12, 17:1, 18:3, 18:22, 25:20, 27:13, 27:17, 28:4, 29:18, 30:17, 31:11, 31:14, 32:13, 35:23, 36:13, 38:4, 41:24, 48:23, 50:11, 50:14, 50:23, 52:15, 52:16, 60:1, 62:23, 64:7, 67:3, 67:6, 67:11, 68:4, 68:18, 69:20, 71:5, 71:15, 73:10, 73:19, 74:12, 74:15, 75:21, 78:13, 80:4, 87:16, 90:15, 91:10, 95:2, 96:8, 101:4, 102:16, 102:19, 103:21, 104:1, 110:3, 111:8, 113:3,

113:24 ones [7] - 16:12, 27:17, 50:11, 52:17, 52:24, 54:19, 71:14 open [1] - 61:2 operated [1] - 63:21 operates [1] - 100:3 operating [1] - 31:8 **Operations** [1] - 15:18 operations [4] - 7:12, 9:5, 22:17, 24:12 operator [1] - 109:1 operator's [1] - 110:7 operators [1] - 88:17 opinion [1] - 84:2 opinions [1] - 10:10 **opportunity** [3] - 48:5, 63:16, 91:5 **opposed** [3] - 68:6,

8:9, 13:17, 17:24, 80:22 ordinances [1] - 46:22 organization [1] -16:15 out-west [1] - 73:1

98:2, 113:23

optimal [1] - 62:7

orchid [1] - 34:5

order [6] - 3:1, 3:2,

out-west [1] - 73:1 outline [1] - 14:21 outlined [2] - 65:12, 79:8 outside [4] - 25:19, 29:2, 87:15, 103:16 overall [3] - 8:7, 9:8, 79:7 overarching [1] -13:14 overlaid [1] - 66:21 overlapped [1] - 62:16 overlay [1] - 67:7 oversee [1] - 102:24 oversight [1] - 33:8 owls [2] - 39:20, 39:21 own [1] - 49:3 owners [1] - 65:14

Р

P.M [2] - 1:4 **p.m** [1] - 113:19 page [4] - 33:11, 50:22, 56:15, 104:8 pages [1] - 47:22 pair [1] - 88:5 parcels [2] - 65:24, 66:4 pardon [1] - 99:4 park [2] - 20:23, 70:18 part [12] - 12:14, 14:4, 25:23, 26:2, 49:3, 49:6, 62:2, 74:19, 74:22, 75:2, 105:16, 112:17 participants [1] -65:14 participating [1] -65:13 particular [3] - 70:10, 78:3, 89:3 particularly [2] - 61:2, 71:9 parties [2] - 38:20, 79:23 parts [1] - 66:5 party [6] - 23:9, 23:10, 25:19, 26:6, 26:10, 63:11 passed [1] - 5:14 passerines [1] - 103:7 passing [1] - 6:2 past [2] - 26:21, 96:5 path [1] - 101:21 patterns [4] - 81:1, 81:9, 81:17, 81:18 pause [1] - 8:1 pelicans [1] - 90:21 pending [1] - 94:2 people [10] - 16:19, 32:17, 43:9, 44:11, 44:14, 65:15, 67:4, 75:22, 93:13, 107:6

per [22] - 16:14, 19:4, 20:19, 20:24, 21:1, 21:11, 22:12, 22:13, 22:16, 36:9, 54:24, 76:6, 80:22, 96:24, 101:12, 101:15, 103:21. 104:11 percentage [2] -37:19, 64:17 peregrine [1] - 101:19 perennial [1] - 13:10 perhaps [1] - 49:18 period [5] - 18:17, 23:18, 29:24, 82:18, 100:4 periodically [1] -59:18 periods [2] - 29:17, 107:9 permanent [1] - 92:20 **PERMIT** [1] - 1:3 permit [8] - 15:14, 15:24, 16:1, 46:15, 95:6, 95:8, 95:11, 111:18 permits [2] - 16:3, 111:20 permitted [1] - 58:22 permitting [1] -111:16 Perry [1] - 3:18 Persimia [2] - 112:15, 112:21 persistence [2] -64:18, 69:11 person [3] - 39:8, 43:22, 101:4 personally [1] - 89:16 perspective [7] -16:21, 20:15, 24:2, 29:16, 80:18, 80:23, pesticides [1] - 86:6 **Petitioner's** [1] - 5:23 phase [2] - 53:18, 72:22 **Phil** [1] - 48:12 phlox [4] - 12:8, 34:17, 59:21, 61:16 physically [1] - 113:4 **PIATT** [5] - 1:1, 1:6, 1:9, 1:12, 1:14 Piatt [10] - 12:14, 42:6, 46:7, 54:9, 81:1, 90:13, 105:3, 107:23, 107:24, 115:9 pick [6] - 16:17, 24:12, 35:24, 37:11, 53:10, 81:24

picked [6] - 20:6, 27:24, 28:3, 37:7, 53:5, 105:21 picking [2] - 76:15, 88:20 picture's [1] - 65:9 piece [2] - 36:15, 101.24 place [3] - 14:21, 74:4, 92.18 placed [1] - 67:3 placement [2] - 55:3, 105:13 Places [1] - 14:17 places 131 - 66:7. 71:22, 88:12 plan [10] - 2:9, 8:22, 10:5, 14:20, 25:16, 65:8, 66:13, 67:22, 112:11, 112:12 planned [1] - 113:12 planning [4] - 36:9, 60:9, 75:24, 76:17 plant [2] - 12:8, 102:11 plastics [1] - 86:20 pledge [1] - 3:3 Pledge [1] - 3:4 plenty [2] - 82:11, 82:12 point [10] - 76:5, 76:7, 89:2, 91:3, 92:3, 95:2, 99:10, 102:18, 110:11, 110:13 points [5] - 76:3, 89:3, 89:5, 89:8, 106:11 policy [1] - 7:4 **pollination** [1] - 86:6 pollution [1] - 41:2 population [8] - 54:6, 54:8, 54:10, 69:8, 71:1, 71:3, 71:4, 73:22 populations [1] - 78:4 portion [5] - 62:22, 78:1, 88:8, 88:9, 108:12 position [1] - 104:11 positions [1] - 47:6 possible [12] - 33:20, 33:22, 34:15, 36:4, 37:15, 38:8, 44:21, 66:8, 78:23, 79:2, 85:12, 113:5 possibly [2] - 35:23, 85:24 post [17] - 24:10, 31:5. 40:3. 42:13. 63:10. 64:4, 69:3, 69:18,

77:6, 77:9, 89:21,

94:15, 94:17, 94:21, 109:13, 109:15 post-build [1] - 69:3 post-construction [14] - 24:10, 31:5, 40:3. 42:13. 63:10. 64:4. 77:6. 77:9. 89:21, 94:15, 94:17, 94:21, 109:13, 109:15 potential [16] - 8:9, 9:24, 10:10, 16:8, 18:13, 18:21, 25:15, 28:3, 33:4, 33:5, 37:15, 52:20, 61:20, 63:2, 85:7, 89:22 potentially [5] - 43:24, 85:24, 96:3, 101:22, 102:15 power [1] - 102:11 PowerPoint [2] - 5:23, 57:18 **PP**[2] - 2:6, 2:8 practicable [4] - 7:24, 15:22. 35:2. 35:9 Prairie [2] - 17:5, 17:6 prairie [9] - 17:8, 17:10, 29:4, 34:5, 61:3, 61:17, 61:24, 62:7, 62:12 prairies [1] - 61:24 predated [1] - 108:13 predators [2] - 69:6, 69:23 preemptive [2] -111:21, 111:22 preferential [1] - 39:16 prehistoric [1] - 14:1 Preliminary [1] - 2:9 preliminary [2] -66:13, 67:22 presence [2] - 56:6, 70:9 present [8] - 11:8, 38:5, 38:13, 47:17, 57:19, 68:3, 70:1, presentation [5] -5:24, 17:11, 23:15, 50:23, 75:12 presenting [1] -112:22 Preservation [4] -11:19, 13:15, 13:17, 14:7 presumptively [1] -110:22 pretty [9] - 26:19, 52:7, 53:8, 60:12,

78:11, 82:8 previous [3] - 76:22, 78:22, 93:8 previously [6] - 13:19, 48:11. 54:23. 59:1. 59:2. 112:17 previously-identified [1] - 13:19 **primarily** [1] - 7:9 primary [2] - 32:18, 105:12 problem [3] - 72:10, 80:13, 82:16 problems [3] - 72:8, 89:12, 89:13 proceed [5] - 4:2, 18:2, 39:4, 43:5, 55:23 proceedings [3] -114:2, 115:6, 115:9 PROCEEDINGS [1] -2:1 process [7] - 8:10, 9:8, 14:4, 95:6, 95:11, 111:11, 111:16 **produce** [1] - 85:9 produced [1] - 74:18 producing [1] - 19:5 **Proection** [1] - 9:17 professional [2] -58:17. 84:2 profile [1] - 24:12 program [2] - 16:6, 16:11 progressing [1] -23:18 Project [1] - 1:18 project [106] - 7:18, 8:12, 8:19, 8:23, 9:2, 9:3, 10:21, 11:4, 13:2, 14:13, 15:16, 16:23, 18:9, 24:23, 25:11, 25:14, 27:9, 27:15, 27:19, 29:15, 29:22, 33:19, 34:3, 36:10, 38:1, 38:7, 38:12, 39:12, 39:15, 41:18, 47:3, 50:9, 50:10, 51:8, 52:6, 52:17, 53:4, 59:13, 60:2, 60:3, 60:13, 60:22, 61:5, 61:7, 61:12, 61:20, 61:22, 62:9, 62:14, 62:18, 63:4, 63:5, 63:9, 63:13, 63:14, 63:18, 63:21, 64:8, 64:11, 64:24, 65:2, 65:17, 65:24, 66:6, 69:13,

76:4, 76:5, 76:14, 76:19, 77:5, 78:1, 81:4, 81:11, 81:17, 87:16, 87:19, 87:21, 88:17, 89:3, 92:15, 94:8, 94:18, 95:5, 95:7, 96:8, 98:22, 99:5, 99:8, 100:9, 100:14, 102:13, 102:21, 105:11, 106:1, 106:13, 106:16, 107:19, 107:23, 108:1, 108:10, 108:11, 108:13, 108:14, 111:14, 111:20 projected [1] - 30:12 projects [23] - 7:10, 7:11, 7:21, 8:9, 9:9, 9:23, 16:9, 16:17, 27:14, 31:3, 31:8, 52:14, 58:20, 58:21, 58:22, 71:19, 72:2, 80:21, 89:22, 96:5, 100:12, 109:21, 110:5 property [3] - 5:16, 65:13, 102:1 proposed [4] - 24:18, 58:21, 62:21, 76:13 protect [2] - 15:4, 45:23 protected [7] - 12:4, 18:17, 19:9, 87:18, 104:1, 107:12, 107:13 protecting [1] - 85:22 **Protection** [1] - 11:18 **protection** [1] - 44:4 protective [1] - 99:10 **protocol** [1] - 26:8 protocols [1] - 15:11 provide [10] - 7:19, 31:10, 48:7, 62:6, 67:20, 97:9, 97:13, 110:9, 110:18 provided [4] - 12:13, 16:14, 30:16, 55:9 provides [2] - 61:12, 62:23 **providing** [3] - 26:6, 61:20, 97:10 public [12] - 15:9, 38:23, 41:12, 42:24, 46:5, 80:1, 83:14, 84:18, 93:1, 98:12, 105:1, 112:12 publically [3] - 9:21,

31:2, 31:5

publically-available

70:17, 70:18, 73:10,

[1] - 9:21 raise [1] - 57:21 received [3] - 12:11, 98:22 represent [1] - 75:3 **publication** [1] - 31:12 references [1] - 70:20 raised [2] - 55:7, 12:13, 92:9 representative [2] publications [1] -113:2 recent [3] - 96:16, referencing [2] -51:11, 76:8 49:15 ran [1] - 36:14 96:21. 106:3 66:24. 98:2 represented [2] published [1] - 72:4 random [1] - 76:15 recently [5] - 72:4, regard [2] - 50:21, 38:20, 79:23 72:19, 74:15, 77:4, pull [3] - 26:22, 27:5, randomly [1] - 76:3 71.13 reproducing [1] -65:10 range [7] - 18:13, 105:24 regarding [2] - 51:2, 92:20 112:17 purchasing [1] - 17:7 28:11, 28:13, 96:15, recess [9] - 17:17, reproduction [1] purpose [3] - 37:18, 96:24, 100:20, 17:22, 48:18, 48:20, regards [2] - 37:8, 41:4 65:6, 105:12 103:17 57:8, 57:11, 113:18, 50.24 reptiles [1] - 58:24 purposes [1] - 65:22 raptor [8] - 10:16, 113:24 regional [2] - 16:15, requested [3] - 19:12, 25:5, 54:7, 56:12, rechecked [1] - 23:19 27:15 45:11, 111:19 put [13] - 10:3, 15:11, 59:20, 60:17, 71:13, recited [1] - 3:5 regionally [1] - 26:21 44:23, 64:15, 64:18, required [16] - 9:14, 64:19, 67:10, 69:5, 71:15 recognition [1] -Register [1] - 14:16 11:17, 12:12, 13:12, 69:12, 76:1, 80:18, raptors [3] - 54:8, 67:24 register [1] - 14:17 14:4, 15:2, 15:13, 92:17, 104:16 70:24, 71:4 recommend [2] regular [2] - 4:6, 22:17 46:18, 46:21, 57:1, puttin' [1] - 72:14 rare [8] - 59:14, 71:15, 21:19, 106:8 regularly [2] - 4:5, 99:15, 105:13, putting [2] - 8:11, 71:16, 85:22, 88:6, recommendation [1] -107:19, 108:15, 4:15 88:10, 88:13, 91:15 108:23, 110:19 80:23 21:20 regulations [5] - 9:20, rarely [1] - 90:22 recommendations [6] requirement [1] - 64:6 11:17, 13:14, 13:15, rate [8] - 64:18, - 14:8, 22:4, 60:10, requirements [4] -Q 15:1 103:11, 103:12, 63:20, 77:20, 79:5 relate [1] - 105:10 13:22, 46:18, 46:23, qualifications [1] recommended [5] -103:13, 103:20, 63:4 related [2] - 27:11, 103:22, 103:23 13:9, 18:15, 99:16, requires [1] - 64:7 105:16 questions [63] - 17:13, 99:17, 100:12 rates [4] - 30:12, relation [2] - 23:17, Research [1] - 7:14 17:14, 17:18, 18:2, 40:18, 52:4, 71:7 recommends [3] research [3] - 21:24, 111:14 22:9, 22:23, 26:15, rather [3] - 20:22, 60:23, 63:23, 100:8 42:11, 55:14 relationship [1] - 27:8 28:6, 28:7, 30:8, 66:3, 67:9 reconvene [1] - 48:21 resident [1] - 105:20 relatively [2] - 71:15, 32:11, 37:1, 38:15, rattlesnake [2] record [24] - 5:23, 77:11 residue [1] - 82:11 38:17, 38:20, 38:22, 60:24, 61:4 6:12, 6:20, 12:20, released [2] - 56:23, resilient [1] - 74:3 39:7, 39:11, 41:11, 12:24, 32:23, 39:2, Rayford [1] - 1:16 112:8 resource [2] - 14:22, 42:23, 46:4, 46:7, **RAYFORD**[1] - 48:9 43:2, 45:9, 49:2, remember [3] - 36:7, 87:18 46:11, 47:19, 48:3, 58:4, 59:1, 64:9, re [1] - 68:23 44:13, 103:3 **Resource** [1] - 13:16 48:5, 48:17, 48:18, 65:12, 66:3, 66:23, re-asking [1] - 68:23 remnant [1] - 62:8 **Resources** [2] - 8:18, 49:9, 49:11, 50:17, 67:14, 67:16, 68:2, reach [1] - 14:24 remnants [1] - 61:18 11:16 51:15, 54:13, 54:15, 68:4, 68:7, 80:3, read [3] - 45:7, 45:8, remove [2] - 35:15, resources [11] -54:18, 55:6, 55:22, 107:18, 107:23 45:10 13:13, 13:18, 13:20, 40:13 56:17, 63:24, 68:14, recorded [1] - 91:18 readable [2] - 67:15, removed [1] - 35:4 13:23, 13:24, 14:2, 68:16, 74:7, 78:17, records [2] - 59:14, 67:24 14:16, 14:18, 14:19, removing [2] - 35:6, 79:17, 79:19, 79:22, 68.5 ready [3] - 5:14, 72:9, 35:19 79:24, 80:11, 83:10, **Recross** [1] - 2:2 respect [2] - 107:2, 72:22 renewable [2] - 7:9, 83:14, 84:18, 87:7, red [2] - 71:14, 78:4 real [1] - 65:10 112:18 7:16 92:24, 94:10, 98:8, realize [1] - 107:6 redirect [3] - 46:10, respond [2] - 9:6, **Renewable** [1] - 7:13 98:12, 104:24, 54:17, 105:4 really [23] - 8:10, 16:8, 24:13 repeat [4] - 20:7, 105:2, 105:10, Redirect [1] - 2:2 25:10, 25:20, 27:11, response [2] - 45:2, 73:15, 85:2, 93:17 109:4, 109:7, 111:6, **REDIRECT** [3] - 46:13, 28:17, 28:20, 36:18, 45:3 replace [1] - 67:20 112:4 54:21, 105:8 responsibility [1] -53:19, 61:24, 73:4, report [6] - 30:12, quick [4] - 53:9, 54:19, reduce [4] - 36:4, 77:15, 80:18, 84:13, 110:7 53:6, 96:22, 98:3, 55:6, 65:10 63:6, 67:10, 72:6 86:11, 95:22, 96:1, restarted [1] - 57:13 102:7, 110:19 quickly [2] - 72:12, 102:20, 104:2, reduced [3] - 22:17, restore [2] - 17:3, 17:6 reported [3] - 95:1, 110:19 105:19, 110:3, 28:16, 67:23 result [1] - 41:3 104:13, 115:5 quite [3] - 51:24, 52:2, reducing [1] - 55:2 111:15 Reporter [2] - 115:4, results [8] - 10:24, 100:16 reduction [10] - 18:23, realtime [1] - 52:11 115:17 12:17, 14:5, 58:13, quorum [1] - 4:2 19:13, 22:15, 22:20, reason [7] - 67:10, 59:18, 63:14, 77:8, reporter [4] - 6:6, quote [1] - 20:20 73:21, 76:23, 81:14, 22:21, 96:13, 96:19, 102:23 45:8, 45:10, 57:22 96:23, 97:2, 97:17 90:8, 112:2, 112:24 resume [1] - 59:3 **REPORTER** [5] - 1:19, R reasonable [1] - 49:21 reference [4] - 23:14, retain [1] - 73:24 6:17, 20:5, 80:6, reasons [2] - 60:2, 37:15, 97:10, 113:22 return [1] - 17:19 115:1 R-y-a-n [1] - 43:4 referenced [5] - 23:4, 74:12 returns [1] - 48:12 reports [3] - 30:14, raccoons [1] - 69:8 receive [1] - 16:22 47:22, 56:2, 77:24,

30:16, 30:21

review [15] - 12:1,

13:19, 14:7, 14:10, 15:7, 17:17, 32:8, 46:18, 46:23, 48:15, 55:6, 59:17, 65:6, 76:23, 112:1 reviewed [2] - 63:18, 102:23 revisit [1] - 77:19 Ridge [1] - 17:4 risk [14] - 9:5, 21:22, 22:1, 24:11, 27:15, 40:1, 40:7, 40:8, 50:8, 50:12, 70:24, 73:3, 73:6, 112:20 40:13 risks [1] - 25:15 risky [2] - 11:10, 19:11 river [2] - 78:7, 81:5 79:20 River [3] - 61:14, 61:19, 77:24 32.24 rivers [1] - 100:21 Rivers [2] - 15:3, 46:19 roadkill [4] - 73:13, 88:16, 88:20, 95:5 roadmap [1] - 8:8 roads [1] - 15:20 Rogers [2] - 112:15, 102:10 113.13 **roll** [2] - 3:8, 5:2 60:23 room [2] - 113:20, 113:21 roost [1] - 61:11 roosting [3] - 18:17, 29:18, 38:2 rotor [1] - 51:24 routine [1] - 41:12 63.7 row [1] - 75:1 rows [1] - 75:5 107:8 **RPM**[1] - 101:12 rules [1] - 57:2 running [1] - 22:11 RYAN [12] - 43:3, 43:7, 45:21, 93:3, 93:5, 93:7, 97:11, 107.18 97:15, 97:23, 98:1, 98:4, 98:7 Ryan [2] - 43:3, 93:5 S 78:3 Section [1] - 15:24

S-t-a-I-t-e-r [2] - 41:15, 87:10 safety [2] - 113:9, 113:14 sample [1] - 90:5 **Sandpiper** [8] - 12:9, 13:4, 28:1, 34:17, 42:15, 59:21, 60:16, 91:13 Sandpipers [5] - 30:2,

35:10, 61:21, 62:10, Sangamon [8] - 12:8, 34:17, 59:21, 61:14, 61:16, 61:19, 77:24, saw [5] - 52:17, 53:4, 71:15, 84:19, 96:16 sayin' [1] - 78:14 scare [1] - 72:13 **scavenged** [1] - 64:19 scavenger [1] - 40:18 scavengers [1] scavenging [1] - 69:6 school [2] - 38:18, Schorg [2] - 32:18, SCHORG [1] - 32:24 science [1] - 52:10 scientific [1] - 50:14 scientist [1] - 83:21 scientists [1] - 52:11 Scott [1] - 4:6 seagulls [2] - 101:24, search [2] - 40:19, searcher [1] - 64:17 searches [1] - 64:12 searching [1] - 40:19 season [8] - 11:10, 11:11, 13:7, 35:1, 35:4, 35:13, 63:3, seasons [2] - 69:12, second [18] - 4:20. 4:21, 4:22, 8:1, 10:4, 18:22, 19:4, 19:17, 20:19, 21:11, 22:12, 22:13, 22:16, 36:9, 45:1, 96:24, 107:16, seconds [1] - 4:23 section [5] - 49:14, 49:19, 52:23, 53:6,

sector [1] - 7:9

sectors [1] - 7:8

see [31] - 39:19, 39:20,

53:13. 65:11. 65:22.

67:4, 67:11, 67:16,

69:15. 70:4. 70:7.

70:21, 71:2, 71:15,

73:4, 82:6, 82:15,

88:5, 90:22, 91:9,

40:7, 44:3, 44:9,

91:16, 91:17, 101:16, 101:22, 102:16, 107:14, 110:3, 113:22 seeing [13] - 38:17, 38:19, 38:22, 46:6, 46:9. 54:17. 56:19. 59:7. 79:19. 79:22. 79:24, 105:2, 105:4 **seem** [3] - 52:1, 70:14, 85:18 select [1] - 76:3 selected [1] - 16:22 **send** [1] - 76:6 Senior [1] - 1:18 sensitive [4] - 66:6, 83:23, 87:18 sent [1] - 4:7 September [2] - 31:23, 32:2 series [2] - 59:16, 69:11 serve [3] - 4:9, 4:12, 4:18 served [1] - 109:20 serves [1] - 93:12 Service [39] - 8:19, 9:13, 9:14, 9:16, 10:2, 10:7, 10:24, 11:11, 12:16, 18:7, 18:14, 18:16, 19:13, 22:4, 23:21, 26:3, 28:16, 32:19, 33:1, 34:2, 34:6, 40:5, 40:16, 55:15, 59:12, 60:23, 76:1, 77:7, 77:18, 85:8, 88:18, 100:7, 100:14, 103:18, 104:3, 104:7, 104:13, 106:4, 110:6 **Services** [3] - 21:19, 39:23. 60:7 session [1] - 49:11 set [3] - 26:4, 29:3, 47:8 setback [3] - 18:15, 63:4, 106:4 setbacks [1] - 12:5 sets [1] - 10:2 seven [1] - 7:11 seventy [2] - 92:5, 92:6 seventy-eight [2] -92:5, 92:6 severe [1] - 54:10 share [2] - 39:1, 87:17 **shatter** [1] - 112:20 shook [3] - 44:6, 44:18, 90:16

short [2] - 62:1, 72:5 **shorthand** [1] - 115:5 **show** [2] - 65:7, 65:11 showed [1] - 102:22 showing [1] - 65:23 SHPO [1] - 14:10 **Shumard** [1] - 3:24 **shut** [10] - 96:2, 96:7, 96:9, 98:22, 99:18, 100:1, 100:4, 102:19, 102:21, 108:11 **shutdown** [1] - 108:22 **shutting** [1] - 106:22 sic [2] - 59:9, 106:8 significance [1] -100:5 significant [4] - 14:18, 14:19, 97:4, 97:6 significantly [1] -106:7 similar [5] - 42:16, 50:9, 53:2, 66:22, 81:22 similarly [4] - 12:15, 15:6, 46:21, 61:16 simply [2] - 25:21, 67:3 sit [2] - 26:2, 76:7 site [23] - 2:9, 8:10, 8:22, 9:21, 10:6, 10:9, 11:21, 13:20, 18:8, 18:12, 23:15, 25:13, 25:16, 26:19, 43:20, 64:15, 65:8, 66:7, 66:13, 67:22, 88:11, 110:6 site-specific [1] - 8:22 sited [13] - 11:12, 34:13, 34:15, 34:16, 39:15, 39:17, 44:1, 63:1, 75:2, 76:8, 88:13, 89:24, 99:13 sites [3] - 9:22, 73:3, 76:13 siting [5] - 8:20, 9:2, 11:5, 15:16, 34:13 sitting [1] - 66:10 situation [2] - 98:23, 99.9 situations [1] - 77:17 six [1] - 22:16 Sixth [1] - 115:4 **size** [4] - 61:11, 68:4, 103:5, 103:9 sized [1] - 68:4 **skipped** [1] - 53:9 **slide** [9] **-** 6:23, 8:7,

9:12, 9:19, 11:14,

59:24, 61:8, 62:17

slides [2] - 6:22, 41:24 slightly [2] - 18:10, 19:8 slow [2] - 19:22, 19:24 slower [2] - 54:2, 54:4 slowing [1] - 79:1 small [7] - 39:24, 40:22, 56:12, 62:22, 88:8, 103:7, 104:20 smaller [8] - 28:22, 50:7, 50:9, 50:11, 51:24, 52:15, 52:24, 67:20 snake [6] - 10:20, 12:9, 34:7, 59:23, 62:11 snakes [1] - 34:17 **solar** [2] - 7:10, 7:11 Solar [1] - 17:8 sole [4] - 44:10, 44:15, 45:24, 93:11 sole-source [4] -44:10, 44:15, 45:24, 93:11 solution [1] - 40:10 someone [5] - 4:11, 4:15, 16:21, 32:7, 86:24 somethin' [1] - 37:7 sometimes [1] - 72:6 somewhat [1] - 77:2 somewhere [2] -28:12, 66:11 sorry [12] - 6:15, 6:18, 8:18, 19:20, 31:13, 31:24, 32:21, 38:11, 81:13, 89:8, 93:15, 97:11 sort [6] - 62:2, 69:6, 75:19, 85:6, 86:11, 86:13 sound [3] - 37:6, 83:24, 84:3 sounded [2] - 37:14, 38:10 sounds [5] - 21:9, 67:6, 97:5, 97:6, 113:17 source [6] - 44:10, 44:15, 45:24, 93:11, 97:10, 97:14 south [3] - 77:24, 87:16, 87:18 southeast [1] - 61:14 space [1] - 81:15 speaker [1] - 78:22 special 131 - 46:15. 78:13, 78:15 **SPECIAL** [1] - 1:3 species [58] - 9:24,

11:24, 12:3, 12:7, 13:3, 13:9, 27:23, 30:7, 30:13, 33:14, 34:3, 34:9, 35:11, 38:2, 38:13, 40:1, 47:13, 54:7, 56:13, 58:23, 58:24, 59:14, 60:4, 60:5, 60:11, 60:14, 60:15, 61:1, 61:11, 61:13, 61:23, 62:15, 62:20, 62:24, 63:12, 64:13, 71:13, 71:19, 72:7, 74:13, 77:16, 85:17, 85:21, 85:22, 91:15, 92:14, 92:19, 94:24, 95:21, 96:14, 99:7, 103:24, 104:2, 105:20, 107:13, 107:14, 108:7 **Species** [2] - 9:17, 11.18 species-specific [1] -72:7 specific [9] - 8:22, 16:16, 28:20, 30:11, 47:6, 72:7, 74:2, 98:3, 103:15 specifically [6] - 10:2, 19:2, 34:1, 71:5, 74:14, 95:20 speed [6] - 20:17, 20:18, 21:2, 51:19, 54:5, 101:10 speeds [2] - 19:3, 19:4 **spell** [9] - 6:11, 32:22, 39:1, 41:13, 43:1, 58:3, 80:3, 80:6 Spencer [1] - 3:18 **SPENCER** [1] - 3:19 spent [2] - 7:6, 7:8 **spinning** [3] - 19:7, 50:8, 52:21 spotters [2] - 43:9 **spotty** [1] - 82:23 spring [1] - 60:18 staff [3] - 14:23, 46:7, 105:3 stage [2] - 39:1, 76:17 **STALTER** [6] - 41:14, 41:17, 87:9, 87:12, 91:4, 91:7 Stalter [3] - 41:14, 87:9, 91:2 stand [4] - 26:20, 27:18, 48:18, 113:24 stand-alone [2] -26:20, 27:18 standardized [1] -76:10

start [12] - 5:22, 8:10, 13:19, 15:6, 20:4, 20:16, 28:23, 57:4, 57:17, 68:19, 69:1, 69:4 started [5] - 23:20, 59:12. 77:3. 89:10. 100:2 starts [1] - 32:20 **STATE** [1] - 1:1 state [28] - 6:11, 8:16, 9:15, 11:17, 11:23, 12:4, 12:8, 12:9, 12:10, 13:3, 13:13, 13:22, 15:5, 28:1, 34:8, 41:12, 43:1, 46:17, 46:22, 58:3, 60:15, 71:16, 80:2, 94:24, 105:12, 109:2, 110:23 State [3] - 7:5, 13:16, 14.6 State's [1] - 3:17 state-listed [8] -11:23, 12:8, 12:9, 12:10, 13:3, 28:1, 60:15, 71:16 state-protected [1] -12:4 statewide [3] - 16:3, 26:22, 27:6 statistical [4] - 40:15, 40:21, 58:9, 64:22 statisticians [1] -58:11 stem [1] - 80:11 steps [2] - 11:2, 12:18 stick [2] - 29:1, 60:19 still [10] - 4:14, 16:12, 24:9, 28:22, 34:12, 50:4, 53:22, 72:8, 97:4, 110:15 stories [2] - 71:6, 89:23 strategies [6] - 58:15, 88:19, 92:17, 95:4, 109:13, 110:22 Stream [1] - 46:19 streams [10] - 13:10, 15:5, 15:8, 15:14, 15:19, 15:21, 51:1, 63:5, 86:22 Streams [1] - 15:3 stress [1] - 84:4 strike [1] - 30:10 strips [1] - 62:5 structures [2] - 14:2, 56:5

studied [3] - 50:5,

65:20, 83:1

studies [57] - 8:23, 9:1, 10:14, 11:22, 23:21, 23:22, 23:23, 26:4, 26:19, 26:21, 26:23, 26:24, 27:2, 27:6, 27:9, 27:12, 27:16. 27:19. 27:20. 27:21. 30:17. 36:16. 41:23, 49:20, 51:22, 52:12, 58:12, 59:9, 59:16, 59:19, 60:1, 63:11, 63:19, 65:2, 69:10, 74:2, 82:13, 84:6, 84:14, 85:14, 85:21, 86:9, 86:12, 89:11, 90:1, 94:8, 96:22, 100:11, 102:23, 106:11, 107:7, 107:9, 108:18, 109:22, 112:2 study [27] - 8:22, 25:20, 26:20, 27:4, 27:10, 36:14, 51:19, 58:13, 59:17, 66:1, 69:18, 70:5, 70:19, 72:19, 81:8, 85:10, 85:19, 94:14, 94:21, 95:24, 96:16, 99:12, 102:18, 102:21, 107:17, 112:21 studying [3] - 94:15, 94:18, 95:24 stuff [3] - 4:14, 64:21, 68:24 **styrofoam**[1] - 68:6 subject [1] - 112:8 submit [9] - 31:11, 31:18, 32:5, 57:17, 67:9, 67:18, 67:19, 112:19 **submittals** [1] - 92:8 **submitted** [6] - 14:6, 14:9, 14:11, 59:1, 59:3 **submitting** [1] - 5:22 substantial [1] -104:14 substations [1] -15:18 subtract [1] - 65:19 success [1] - 71:6 successful [2] -19:24, 53:21 suggest [2] - 48:2, 57:6 suggesting [2] -66:20, 106:4 suggestion [1] - 32:6

61:10, 61:12, 61:22, 62:10, 62:15, 62:23 summarizes [1] -96:22 summer [5] - 38:5, 39:20. 61:13. 63:7. 107:8 summers [1] - 18:17 **support** [5] - 36:18, 36:21, 36:24, 96:19, 97:16 supposed [2] - 26:5, 76:2 surfaces [1] - 51:5 **surround** [1] - 31:3 surveillance [1] -109:14 Survey [1] - 106:8 survey [22] - 12:17, 18:7, 25:3, 28:4, 33:4, 33:5, 37:18, 38:4, 47:2, 47:4, 47:7, 58:22, 59:24, 64:5, 75:21, 81:3, 81:10, 81:16, 100:8, 105:22, 106:17, 108:13 survey-dependent [1] - 47:4 surveying [1] - 64:21 surveyor [1] - 110:2 surveys [49] - 10:16, 10:17, 10:20, 10:22, 10:23, 11:1, 11:3, 12:6, 12:15, 13:2, 13:21, 14:4, 14:5, 14:8, 14:9, 14:10, 14:12, 15:11, 23:4, 23:5, 24:14, 24:20, 24:21, 25:5, 27:22, 28:4, 30:22, 33:18, 34:21, 37:24, 38:2, 38:6, 39:22, 40:4, 47:2, 47:14, 59:19, 59:20, 60:17, 62:18, 69:3, 69:4, 81:24, 88:11, 88:22, 89:21, 105:11, 105:13, 105:17 **survival** [1] - 41:3 **Susan** [2] - 43:3, 93:5 **SUSAN**[1] - 43:3 susceptible [4] -71:10, 71:11, 73:2, 73.8 sustainability [2] -

suitable [16] - 11:6,

30:4, 35:4, 38:1,

61:1, 61:5, 61:9,

13:3, 13:5, 29:19,

7:21, 16:7
swans [2] - 42:2,
90:20
swatch [1] - 110:17
swear [2] - 6:6, 57:22
switching [1] - 77:9
sworn [2] - 6:8, 57:24
syndrome [1] - 74:16
system [2] - 12:12,
78:24
systems [1] - 72:18

Т

Table [1] - 33:11 table [4] - 33:16, 34:24, 37:8, 37:14 tactics [1] - 77:11 tail [1] - 71:14 takeaway [1] - 62:17 talker [1] - 19:19 talks [1] - 70:5 tall [2] - 90:10, 90:12 taller [9] - 49:21, 50:5, 50:7, 51:21, 52:16, 52:17, 52:18, 53:1, 54:23 tallest [1] - 90:13 tame [2] - 104:18 **Tammy** [2] - 1:19, 115:3 tammy's [1] - 19:21 task [4] - 44:4, 44:5, 44:9, 45:23 technician [1] - 58:19 technology [1] - 58:7 **TEMPORARY**[1] - 1:8 temporary [1] - 4:13 ten [4] - 7:9, 28:15, 48:10, 71:8 tend [2] - 70:6, 107:16 tends [2] - 53:6, 61:1 term [2] - 60:1, 103:11 terms [5] - 26:24, 27:18, 49:24, 70:15, 101:17 testified [2] - 54:23, 112:16 testify [7] - 113:1, 113:6, 113:9, 113:10, 113:13, 113:14, 113:15 testimony [1] - 83:5 tests [1] - 69:7 text [1] - 112:17 Thanksgiving [1] -5:21 THE [20] - 1:14, 1:15, 2:2, 3:11, 6:17, 19:18, 19:20, 20:1,

suggests [1] - 40:8

20:3, 20:5, 20:8, 20:10, 21:12, 31:15, 31:21, 31:24, 45:14, 45:18, 47:24, 80:6 there'll [1] - 82:18 therefore [1] - 106:7 they've [7] - 34:11, 48:4, 63:9, 63:18, 79:4, 82:12 thinking [2] - 50:22, 84:8 third [6] - 23:9, 23:10, 25:19, 26:6, 26:10, 63:11 third-party [6] - 23:9, 23:10, 25:19, 26:6, 26:10, 63:11 thousand [5] - 11:6, 16:14, 18:12, 25:9, thousands [3] - 82:21, 82:22 threatened [1] - 74:16 three [18] - 12:7, 16:24, 20:19, 21:11, 22:12, 24:4, 63:9, 64:4, 64:8, 69:17, 75:22, 88:24, 94:14, 94:21, 95:17, 102:17, 106:16, 113:12 three-year [2] - 64:4, 102:17 threw [1] - 97:18 throughout [7] -33:19, 62:6, 68:8, 73:14, 74:4, 76:4, 100:17 throw [5] - 112:18, 112:20, 113:2, 113:7, 113:14 tied [1] - 73:10 time-will-tell [1] -50:13 timing [5] - 13:6, 24:5, 29:10, 29:12, 29:14 tip [7] - 49:18, 50:1, 51:23, 72:13, 91:20, 101:10 today [4] - 4:7, 55:7, 55:8, 100:3 together [3] - 64:19, 69:5, 76:1 tolerant [3] - 70:17, 70:18, 73:24 tonight [3] - 4:18, 112:11, 113:23 tonight's [2] - 4:3, 5:24 tons [2] - 43:19, 43:24

took [2] - 34:12, 48:24 top [2] - 70:19, 91:23 topic [3] - 16:17, 72:4, 109:12 touched [2] - 51:20, 94.19 tower [4] - 24:18, 49:18, 93:16 toxicologist [1] -86:23 transcribed [1] - 115:7 transcript [1] - 115:8 transcription [1] -115:7 transfer [1] - 72:11 transmitter [1] - 71:21 transmitters [1] -53:11 travel [5] - 28:14, 28:19, 74:3, 100:16, 100:20 TRAVIS [1] - 58:5 Travis [26] - 2:4, 19:13, 21:4, 23:6, 24:24, 27:12, 28:7, 29:5, 33:17, 34:22, 36:7, 36:22, 39:7, 41:22, 42:11, 43:11, 52:19, 53:16, 53:23, 53:24, 54:12, 57:15, 58:5, 59:9 **Travis's** [1] - 59:3 Treaty [2] - 9:18, 107:20 tree [4] - 30:1, 63:7, 74:22, 74:24 tree-clearing [1] - 63:7 trees [7] - 11:7, 29:21, 35:19, 60:19, 61:11, 63:8 trial [2] - 69:11, 72:22 trialed [1] - 72:19 trials [2] - 64:14, 69:20 tributaries [1] - 61:15 tried [2] - 51:19, 53:20 true [10] - 65:5, 90:20, 92:13, 100:18, 100:21, 105:15, 106:17, 108:16, 111:12, 115:8 try [11] - 16:8, 58:13, 64:18, 66:7, 69:5, 69:7, 69:9, 85:10, 86:13, 100:9, 100:12 trying [17] - 7:22, 19:21, 19:23, 25:13, 26:12, 31:13, 35:7,

37:11, 45:23, 53:19,

53:22, 63:11, 83:4,

Tuesday [2] - 113:18, 113:19 turbine [26] - 20:14, 21:23. 22:1. 22:6. 25:11, 43:20, 44:1, 47:3, 47:6, 47:8, 49:21, 52:15, 54:24, 55:3, 69:24, 70:16, 71:10, 71:12, 72:15, 73:18, 76:16, 90:18, 93:15, 95:16, 101:14, 103:21 turbines [56] - 11:5, 11:9, 11:12, 15:17, 18:12, 18:19, 19:4, 20:16, 20:19, 24:3, 25:13, 36:3, 39:16, 42:14, 44:23, 49:17, 50:5, 51:21, 52:5, 52:6, 52:8, 52:18, 52:24, 53:1, 54:24, 55:1, 55:2, 55:12, 62:21, 62:24, 64:12, 65:14, 66:1, 66:4, 70:6, 70:14, 73:2, 73:22, 73:23, 75:2, 76:9, 79:9, 80:14, 84:3, 88:8, 88:12, 88:20, 89:12, 89:24, 94:22, 96:7, 101:10, 102:4, 105:13, 105:18, 108:2 turn [1] - 89:5 turned [2] - 19:5, 19:6 twice [2] - 82:21, 105:1 two [39] - 6:23, 10:2, 10:15, 10:16, 12:13, 17:4, 21:21, 21:23, 25:5, 28:15, 28:16, 28:18, 28:23, 29:9, 29:16, 39:22, 41:19, 49:18, 60:6, 60:14, 60:18, 60:22, 66:21, 75:21, 76:16, 76:21, 77:3, 82:10, 87:21, 89:6, 92:22, 100:8, 100:19, 106:13, 107:10, 112:11, 113:4, 113:23 Two [1] - 4:23 two-and-a-half [2] -41:19, 100:19 two-mile [5] - 28:15, 28:18, 28:23, 60:22, 113:4 type [4] - 38:4, 82:23,

88:11, 90:2, 110:9,

110:11

types [3] - 7:7, 30:16, 108:18
typical [3] - 60:12, 75:15, 91:16
typically [15] - 30:15, 50:9, 69:20, 70:13, 71:15, 71:17, 76:6, 101:16, 101:20, 102:1, 102:6, 102:8, 103:6, 103:17, 111:1

U

12:15, 21:18, 32:16,

32:24, 34:6, 59:11,

60:7, 60:22, 74:18,

U.S [29] - 8:18, 9:15,

76:1, 77:7, 77:18, 80:20, 85:8, 88:18, 100:7, 100:13, 103:18, 104:3, 104:7, 104:13, 106:3, 106:8. 108:13, 110:5. 110:14, 110:16 ultimately [4] - 60:21, 63:18, 76:9, 84:10 ultrasonic [3] - 53:11, 71:21, 72:5 ultrasound [2] -72:11, 72:12 unable [1] - 15:23 unanticipated [1] -14:20 under [3] - 4:9, 64:12, 79:1 undergrad [1] - 7:2 underneath [1] - 19:3 understood [4] - 49:7, 49:22, 68:10, 96:12 undertake [1] - 26:24 unfortunately [2] -5:13, 72:15 units [2] - 38:18, 79:20 University [2] - 7:3, 7:5 unknown [2] - 50:12, 52:10 unless [1] - 101:18 unlikely [5] - 33:24, 34:16, 34:20, 101:18, 103:10 Unlimited [1] - 17:3 **up** [62] - 8:7, 15:11, 17:13, 19:14, 20:6, 21:4, 23:6, 24:12, 27:24, 28:3, 30:20, 35:24, 36:4, 36:8,

37:7, 38:24, 40:4,

41:12, 42:4, 42:12, 43:1, 43:12, 43:22, 44:22, 44:23, 47:1, 48:4, 48:16, 49:10, 50:23, 53:10, 55:22, 57:4, 58:14, 59:15, 62:23, 63:24, 73:17, 74:15, 80:2, 81:24, 82:2, 83:15, 84:19, 84:20, 87:8, 88:18, 88:20, 89:4, 91:12, 93:2, 95:19, 96:23, 97:22, 98:14, 100:2, 101:20, 104:2, 105:21, 109:19, 110:2, 113:3 up-listed [1] - 74:15 Upland [11] - 12:9, 13:4, 27:24, 30:2, 34:17, 35:10, 59:21, 60:16, 61:21, 62:10, 63:2 urban [1] - 74:4 **USE** [1] - 1:3 uses [1] - 52:15

V

USGS [1] - 40:16

value [3] - 103:12, 103:13, 103:15 values [2] - 7:21, 16:7 variability [1] - 53:7 variables [1] - 96:17 varies [1] - 96:14 variety [4] - 15:12, 31:4, 36:16, 58:23 various [6] - 7:7, 8:15, 9:20, 15:4, 16:3, 16:19 vary [1] - 111:1 verbal [2] - 45:2, 45:3 version [3] - 67:20, 67:21, 68:6 versus [3] - 50:11. 52:16, 52:24 vet [1] - 69:14 veterinarian [1] -84:13 vibration [3] - 83:24, 84:7, 84:14 **vibrations** [1] - 84:3 Vice [1] - 1:10 Victor [1] - 80:7 violate [1] - 46:16 Virginia [1] - 99:6 visit,s [1] - 110:6 voluntary [1] - 16:13 vulnerable [1] - 102:4

82:24, 85:19

W

wait [2] - 66:9, 98:9 walk [2] - 7:17, 59:9 warblers [1] - 82:2 watching [1] - 110:4 Water [3] - 15:3, 16:1, 46:19 water [8] - 15:19, 16:3, 29:1, 44:10, 45:24, 82:11, 88:15, 93:24 waterbodies 151 -15:5. 15:8. 15:22. 51:2. 73:11 waterfowl [6] - 42:4, 42:12, 70:6, 70:7, 102:7, 102:8 waterways [2] - 62:5, 93:24 **WAX** [21] - 3:1, 3:6, 3:14, 4:1, 4:19, 4:23, 5:8, 5:11, 18:3, 18:5, 20:6, 20:9, 20:11, 21:9, 21:16, 22:14, 35:22, 49:13, 78:19, 78:21, 79:14 Wax [1] - 1:10 wax [2] - 3:13, 5:7 ways [2] - 108:21, 108:22 weather [1] - 4:9 web [1] - 104:8 website [1] - 107:24 week [1] - 93:18 week's [1] - 67:22 weeks [1] - 75:22 weigh [1] - 63:16 welcome [3] - 3:6, 4:3, 5:20 west [4] - 23:6, 58:8, 71:11, 73:1 West [4] - 2:8, 57:16, 89:14, 99:6 western [1] - 58:7 wet [1] - 62:13 wetland [7] - 15:19, 15:21, 23:23, 51:1, 61:2, 61:3, 62:13 wetlands [8] - 15:4, 15:8, 15:14, 29:1, 29:4, 47:5, 62:12, 62:14 whereas [1] - 80:21 WHEREUPON [7] -3:4, 6:7, 17:21, 48:19, 57:10, 57:23, 114:2 **whip** [1] - 19:15 whisper [1] - 31:14

whistle [1] - 72:15

white [1] - 74:16 whole [9] - 24:23, 33:6, 40:15, 40:23, 75:4, 76:14, 95:6, 96.8 112.2 wide [1] - 58:23 wider [2] - 27:9, 27:11 widespread [1] -102:22 wildlife [42] - 7:2, 7:16, 7:23, 8:23, 13:12, 18:6, 20:15, 23:13, 23:22, 24:21, 25:12, 27:2, 29:16, 39:13, 39:16, 41:1, 43:10, 47:4, 58:10, 58:16, 62:18, 63:22, 66:6, 71:6, 85:20, 85:23, 86:1, 86:22, 88:9, 88:13, 89:17, 90:19, 92:18, 94:8, 94:15, 95:9, 95:12, 95:23, 102:22, 103:1, 104:5, 104:10 Wildlife [54] - 2:7, 7:13, 8:18, 9:13, 9:14. 9:16. 10:1. 10:7, 10:24, 11:11, 12:16, 18:7, 18:14, 18:16, 19:13, 21:18, 21:19, 22:4, 23:21, 26:3, 28:16, 31:12, 31:19, 31:21, 32:17, 32:19, 33:1, 34:2, 34:6, 39:23, 40:5, 40:16, 46:17, 55:15, 59:11, 60:7, 60:23, 76:1, 77:7, 77:18, 85:8, 88:18, 100:7, 100:14, 103:18, 104:3, 104:7, 104:13, 106:4, 106:8, 108:13, 110:6, 110:14, 110:16 **Will's** [1] - 68:18 William [1] - 1:11 willing [1] - 88:3 wind [44] - 7:10, 7:11, 10:3, 19:3, 19:5, 19:6, 19:9, 20:18, 21:2, 31:8, 36:13, 40:23, 42:5, 43:16, 43:20, 50:7, 50:21, 54:23, 55:12, 58:10, 58:20, 60:2, 60:7, 70:12, 71:10, 71:12, 71:18, 79:1, 80:21, 84:3, 89:12, 89:15,

90:18, 93:16, 95:16,

99:5, 99:12, 102:4, 102:9, 103:17, 106:23, 108:14, 109:1 WIND [1] - 1:2 Wind [13] - 2:7, 4:4, 10:8, 10:15, 12:6, 14:15, 17:1, 17:4, 31:12, 31:19, 31:21, 33:13, 103:14 window [1] - 90:16 windows [3] - 56:5, 80:19 wing [1] - 101:9 winter [8] - 11:7, 29:19, 35:20, 42:7, 73:13, 81:21, 88:15, 107:8 wintertime [2] - 42:7, witness [31] - 5:18, 6:3, 6:7, 17:13, 17:19, 18:2, 31:16, 38:23, 41:11, 41:20, 42:23, 44:6, 44:18, 45:1, 46:4, 46:8, 47:21, 48:6, 48:17, 56:7, 56:20, 57:4, 57:14, 57:23, 59:7, 68:16, 75:14, 78:2, 93:8, 93:18, 98:13 WITNESS [13] - 19:18, 19:20, 20:1, 20:3, 20:8, 20:10, 21:12, 31:15, 31:21, 31:24, 45:14, 45:18, 47:24 **WITNESSES** [1] - 2:2 witnesses [4] - 5:15, 112:11, 113:12, 113:23 wondered [2] - 82:16, 102.18 wondering [1] - 82:13 wood [2] - 13:11, 61:10 word [1] - 52:19 words [3] - 65:9, 70:10, 83:20 works [2] - 7:15, 53:22

80:21, 80:22, 92:16, 102:17, 104:6, 104:11, 107:15, 107:16, 107:18 years [34] - 7:6, 7:9, 10:15, 10:16, 14:3, 24:4. 24:7. 39:22. 58:10. 58:18. 60:6. 60:18, 63:9, 64:8, 64:9, 69:17, 71:8, 76:16, 76:21, 77:3, 82:18, 89:6, 89:9, 89:10, 89:17, 89:18, 94:14, 94:21, 95:17, 106:13, 106:16, 107:7, 107:10 yellow [1] - 49:2 yesterday [1] - 90:16

Ζ

ZBA [6] - 32:7, 46:15, 48:2, 48:15, 48:17, 57:7 **zones** [1] - 91:11 **Zoning** [9] - 4:4, 18:1, 22:24, 38:16, 54:16, 74:8, 109:7, 111:6, 115:9 **ZONING** [3] - 1:1, 1:6, zoning [20] - 4:10, 4:11, 4:15, 6:1, 6:2, 8:3, 32:12, 37:2, 48:24, 49:8, 50:18, 51:16, 55:9, 55:22, 68:15, 78:18, 79:18, 112:5, 112:8, 112:16

Wyoming [1] - 72:20

worry [2] - 86:13,

worth [1] - 65:9

write [1] - 19:23

86:14

year [17] - 10:23, 62:6, 63:15, 64:4, 64:7, 69:21, 77:7, 77:19,